EXHIBIT 29

KING

VS.

PARKER, et al.

DR. MICHAELA ALMGREN February 04, 2022



Sandy Andrys, LCR, RPR, RMR

Chattanooga (423)266-2332 Jackson (731)425-1222 Knoxville (865)329-9919 Nashville (615)595-0073 Memphis (901)522-4477 www.elitereportingservices.com

1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
2	FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE AT NASHVILLE			
3	TERRY LYNN KING,			
4	Plaintiff,			
5	vs. Case No. 3:18-cv-01234			
6	TONY PARKER, et al.,			
7	Defendants.			
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13	Videoconference Deposition of:			
14	DR. MICHAELA ALMGREN			
15	Taken on behalf of the Defendants			
16	February 4, 2022			
17	Commencing at 9:02 a.m.			
18				
19				
20				
21				
22	Flito-Prontwood Bonomting Commisses			
23	Elite-Brentwood Reporting Services www.elitereportingservices.com			
24	Sandra Andrys, LCR, RPR, RMR P.O. Box 292382			
25	Nashville, Tennessee (615)595-0073			

1	APPEARANCES
2	APPEARANCES
3	
4	For the Plaintiff:
5	MR. ALEX KURSMAN MS. ANASTASSIA BALDRIDGE
6	MS. LYNNE N. LEONARD Attorneys at Law
7	Federal Community Defender Office, E.D. Pa. 601 Walnut Street, Suite 545 West
8	Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19106 (215)928-0520
9	Alex_Kursman@fd.org Ana baldridge@fd.org
10	Lynne_leonard@fd.org
11	
12	MR. JEREMY A. GUNN Attorney at Law
13	Bass, Berry & Sims 150 Third Avenue South, Suite 2800
14	Nashville, Tennessee 37201 (615)742-6200
15	Jeremy.gunn@bassberry.com
16	
17	For the Defendants:
18	MR. ROB MITCHELL MS. MALLORY K. SCHILLER
19	MR. DEAN S. ATYIA MR. SCOTT C. SUTHERLAND
20	Assistant Attorneys General Law Enforcement and Special Prosecutions
21	Division Office of the Tennessee Attorney General
22	P.O. Box 20207 Nashville, Tennessee 37202-0207
23	(615)741-8132 Robert.mitchell@ag.tn.gov
24	Scott.Sutherland@ag.tn.gov Mallory.Schiller@ag.tn.gov
25	

1	INDEX	_
2	Examination	Page 8
3	By Mr. Sutherland	
4		
5		
6	EXHIBITS	Do
7	Exhibit No. 1	Page
8	Notice of Deposition	6
9	Exhibit No. 2	13
10	11-17-21 Expert Report Exhibit No. 3	13
11	1-14-22 Supplemental Expert Report	13
12	Exhibit No. 4 Tennessee Lethal Injection Protocol	28
13	Exhibit No. 5	73
14	USP 797	75
15	Exhibit No. 6 Midazolam storage and preparation	182
16	instructions	
17	Exhibit No. 7 Potassium Chloride preparation	183
18	instructions	
19	Exhibit No. 8 Defendants' Supplemental Responses	189
20	Exhibit No. 9	210
21	Nephron Pharmaceuticals 4-21-17 Form 483	
22	Exhibit No. 10	226
23	Nephron Pharmaceuticals 4-20-18 Form 483	-
24	Exhibit No. 11	230
25	Nephron 508ed	

S ULA Т Т Ι P Ι 0 Ν S

2

1

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

22

24

25

The videoconference deposition of DR. MICHAELA ALMGREN, was taken by counsel for the Defendants, with all participants appearing at their respective locations, on February 4, 2022, for all purposes under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

All objections, except as to the form of the questions, are reserved to the hearing, and that said deposition may be read and used in evidence in said cause of action in any trial thereon or any proceeding herein.

It is agreed that SANDRA ANDRYS, LCR, RPR, RMR, Notary Public and Court Reporter for the State of Tennessee, may swear the witness remotely, and that the reading and signing of the completed deposition by the witness was not mentioned.

19

20

1 DR. MICHAELA ALMGREN 2 was called as a witness, and having first been duly 3 4 sworn, testified as follows: 5 EXAMINATION 6 QUESTIONS BY MR. SUTHERLAND: 7 Good morning, Dr. Almgren. I'm Scott 8 Q. 9 Sutherland. Ms. Andrys, I am with the Tennessee Attorney General's Office. With me on the call are 10 11 Senior Assistant Attorney General Rob Mitchell, and 12 Assistant Attorneys General Mallory Schiller and Dean 13 Atyia. And we represent the defendants in this case, 14 former commissioner Tony Parker, and the Riverbend 15 Maximum Security Institution, Warden Tony Mays? 16 MS. LEONARD: Good morning. My name is 17 Lynne Leonard. I am with the Federal Community 18 Defender Office in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. 19 colleagues from the same office that are also on this 2.0 call are Alex Kursman and Ana Baldridge. And our 21 colleague at Bass, Berry & Sims, Jeremy Gunn is also

MR. SUTHERLAND: All right. Lynne, how are we going to -- we are going to do the exhibits

on the call in Nashville, Tennessee. We represent

the plaintiff, Terry King, in this case.

22

23

2.4

1 like we did the last time. Rob is going to share 2 them, and he'll shoot them to you before we talk 3 about them, if you want to forward them on to 4 Dr. Almgren. 5 And with that, Rob, if you shoot Exhibit 1, the Notice of Deposition, to Lynne. 6 7 MS. LEONARD: We are good with that. 8 I just wanted to ask you, do you want 9 objections to be subsumed in form objections, or do you want to discuss those as they come up? 10 MR. SUTHERLAND: (Nods head). 11 MS. LEONARD: I'll try to keep that brief 12 13 then. 14 MR. SUTHERLAND: Thank you. 15 Exhibit 1 will be the notice. Lynne, you 16 can let me know once you have that. 17 (WHEREUPON, a document was marked as Exhibit Number 1.) 18 19 MR. MITCHELL: For the record, I will 2.0 send both Lynne and Alex the exhibit. 21 MS. LEONARD: Great. Thank you. That 22 will be helpful. 2.3 MR. SUTHERLAND: Lynne, just stop me if I go too fast. Are you good with me asking about it? 2.4 25 MS. LEONARD: Yeah. If you want to get

1 started, I think that's fine. The email hasn't come 2 through yet. 3 Dr. Almgren, I can forward those to you 4 as soon as I get it. That's fine. 5 THE WITNESS: MS. LEONARD: If you need to take a break 6 7 if you are distracted by your email coming in, just 8 feel free to say something. THE WITNESS: I don't have my email open. 9 Do I need to open my email, is that something 10 11 relevant that I need to have opened up right away, or is this something that we will talk about; what's 12 13 your --14 MS. LEONARD: Yeah. If you could open 15 your email and then just look out for emails from 16 either me or Alex, if we send you these documents 17 that are going to be sent to us from the Tennessee AG's office. 18 19 That's, unfortunately, I think the best 2.0 way we could do it, given the Zoom format of this 21 deposition. Normally we would be able to hand you the documents across the table and you'd be able to 22 look at them that way, but this is sort of the 2.3 stand-in for that typical procedure. 2.4 25 THE WITNESS: Okav.

1 BY MR. SUTHERLAND: So, Dr. Almgren, good morning. 2 Ο. 3 Α. Good morning. My name is Scott Sutherland. As you heard, 4 Ο. 5 I'm a deputy attorney general with the Tennessee Attorney General's Office, and I represent the 6 defendants in this case. 7 And on the screen is a Notice of 8 9 Deposition that was provided to plaintiff's counsel for your deposition here today. Do you see that 10 11 document on the screen? 12 Yes, I see it. Α. 13 Have you seen it before? Ο. 14 Α. I believe so. It was -- I received the 15 email, yes. 16 The date of your deposition in that notice is Ο. today's date. It was based on a request that counsel 17 18 for Mr. King, Ms. Leonard and Mr. Kursman, provide us 19 with dates for your availability. 2.0 Is that your understanding why we are 21 here today, this particular day? Α. Yes. 22 2.3 We had to reschedule you due to a Ο.

supplemental report that you tendered recently and

switched it to today, the 4th. And so are you here

2.4

1 today pursuant to this notice, is that your 2 understanding? 3 Α. Yes. 4 Ο. In the case of Terry King versus Tony Parker, et al.? 5 6 Α. Yes. Do you understand the deposition is scheduled 7 Ο. for seven hours, excluding breaks, as provided by the 8 9 Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Essentially, we get to ask you questions for seven hours, it could be 10 11 longer than that with breaks. Do you understand 12 that? 13 Α. Yes. 14 Do you understand you have been sworn, you Q. 15 have sworn an oath to tell the truth during your 16 testimony here today? 17 Α. Yes. 18 And are there any circumstances that you are 19 aware of that would prevent you from being able to be 2.0 here for a seven-hour deposition today? 21 Α. No. Have you taken any medication or are you 22 2.3 under the influence of any medication or substance whatsoever that could affect your ability to provide 2.4

factually correct and truthful responses to my

1 questions? 2 Α. No. Do you have any medical condition that would 3 Q. 4 prevent you from being able to provide factually correct and truthful information to my questions? 5 6 Α. No. The case for which you are being deposed here 7 Ο. is, again, Terry King versus Tony Parker, et al., 8 9 pending in the Middle District of Tennessee. Do you understand you are answering 10 11 questions here today related to the King case? 12 Α. Yes. 13 What is your understanding of what the King Ο. 14 case is about? 15 From what I understand as an expert witness, 16 so I can only speak on the level that I was involved 17 in, we will be discussing today what I saw were the -- are some of the issues that came up with the 18 19 preparation of the lethal injection chemicals and 2.0 their storage. 21 Okay. Do you understand what the lawsuit by Ο. Mr. King -- what the allegations are? 22 2.3 Have you been provided -- have you read anything that's been filed in the case particularly 2.4 25 to inform you as to what the allegations are in the

1 case? Can you explain that further? Do you mean --2 Α. So this lawsuit has been filed by Mr. King, 3 Q. 4 do you understand that? 5 Α. Yes. Do you understand what the allegations of the 6 Ο. 7 lawsuit are specifically? I'm not sure of all of the details. 8 Α. 9 Okay. Have you reviewed the complaint that Ο. was filed or the amended complaint that has been 10 11 filed in the case? 12 Not right off the bat. I did not read all of Α. 13 the details. 14 Have you seen the Complaint that's been Q. filed? 15 16 I quess -- I'll be honest with you. This is Α. 17 not something that I normally do for a living, so I'm not familiar with all of the legal terminology. 18 19 so when you talk about allegations and this, I really 2.0 don't know what you mean by that. I read the documentation that was 21 provided by counsel, and I analyzed the data and, you 22 2.3 know, all of the records that were given to me. not really sure, when you refer to allegations, what 2.4

documents are we talking about.

1 Q. Okay. So were you provided a copy of the 2 amended complaint that was filed by Mr. King in the lawsuit that sets forth the allegations that he is 3 4 making in the lawsuit? 5 I honestly don't know. Α. Okay. Have you read anything that's been 6 Ο. 7 filed in the case, any documents that have actually been filed in the lawsuit? 8 9 Α. Such as? Any of the filings by Mr. King, any of the 10 11 responses that have been filed by the State of 12 Tennessee? 13 I don't think so. I read depositions from a Α. 14 variety of witnesses, records that were provided to 15 me for review. 16 Q. Okay. I'll talk about that in just a minute. 17 So you are here as an expert witness on behalf of the plaintiff, Terry King; is that correct? 18 19 Α. Yes. 2.0 MR. SUTHERLAND: I'm going to go ahead and admit Exhibit 1, which is the Notice of 21 Deposition, as the first exhibit to Dr. Almgren's 22 23 deposition. And ask, Rob, if you would put up what's 2.4 25 going to be marked as Exhibit 2, which is -- and

1 Exhibit 3, which is Dr. Almgren's November 17, 2021 2 report and her supplemental report. I'll start with 3 the initial report. (WHEREUPON, documents were marked as 4 Exhibit Numbers 2 and 3.) 5 BY MR. SUTHERLAND: 6 I'm going to -- Dr. Almgren, do you see the 7 Ο. document on the screen that's labeled Expert Report 8 9 of Dr. Michaela Almgren? 10 Α. Yes. 11 And at the bottom of it, it's got your Ο. signature and dated November 17th? 12 13 Α. That's correct. 14 And, then, Rob, if you'd MR. SUTHERLAND: 15 scroll down, I think it's her CV following that. 16 BY MR. SUTHERLAND: Does this appear to be the initial report 17 Ο. that you provided plaintiff's counsel in this case? 18 19 Α. Yes, it does. 2.0 MS. LEONARD: Can I just interject for 21 one second? Dr. Almgren, I did forward you that email 22 2.3 a couple minutes ago that has the full copy, full-length exhibits from Mr. Mitchell. 2.4 25 THE WITNESS: Yes.

1 MS. LEONARD: They are making that an 2 If you want to open that and look at the 3 full-length exhibits and make sure that that is your 4 report, make sure it's your CV and that you are happy with it. 5 Perfect, that will be 6 MR. SUTHERLAND: 7 good. 8 THE WITNESS: Okay. 9 BY MR. SUTHERLAND: Will you let us know when you have received 10 11 it and have reviewed it, Dr. Almgren? I have received it. I'm going to review it 12 Α. 13 right now. 14 MS. LEONARD: Thank you. 15 THE WITNESS: Okay. 16 BY MR. SUTHERLAND: 17 Ο. Does that appear to be a true and correct 18 copy of your report, your initial report? 19 Α. Yes. 2.0 Okay. Dr. Almgren, you understand the court Q. 21 reporter is recording the questions that I'm asking you and your answers today? 22 2.3 Α. (Witness nodded.) And because of that, and we are on video, you 2.4 Ο. 25 will need to answer my questions verbally so that she

can record your answer. And, of course, that goes for me, too.

Feel free to use hand gestures or whatever, but just make sure, if you shake your head, make sure you also shake it and give an affirmative or a negative answer so that she can record your answer.

- A. Absolutely.
- 9 Q. Okay. I will ask you to allow me to state my
 10 entire question before you give an answer. And I
 11 will try to do the same for you so that we are not
 12 talking over each other, so that she gets my question
 13 and your answer and they are not all jumbled up,
- 14 fair?

3

4

5

6

7

- 15 A. Sounds good.
- Q. If you need to take a break during the
 deposition, all you need to do is ask. The only
 caveat to that is, if we are in the middle of a
 question, you will need to answer the question before
 we go off the record.
- 21 A. Okay.
- Q. And is there anyone in the room with you today where you are?
- A. Unless you count the dog, it's only me.
- Q. We'll note the dog's presence.

1 Are you at home? 2 Α. Yes. Okay. And so if anyone enters the room 3 Q. 4 during your deposition, I ask that you let me know, 5 identify the person and the reason they have entered the room, if you know. Hopefully, you will know. 6 Also, if anyone associated with this case 7 contacts you by any means during the course of the 8 9 deposition, please notify me so that we can put it on the record. Do you understand that? 10 11 Α. Yes. You shouldn't be discussing your testimony 12 Ο. 13 with anybody associated with this case while I'm 14 asking you questions; do you understand that? 15 Α. Yes. 16 In other words, you can't ask questions and I Ο. 17 can't -- and they can't ask you questions while you are under oath answering questions for this 18 19 deposition; do you understand that? 2.0 Α. Yes. 21 Okay. Other than your computer, do you have Ο. anything else in the room with you, other than your 22 2.3 dog? Well, my bottle of water, my daily planner, 2.4 25 it's my office.

1 Ο. Any notes related to this case, papers? 2 Α. No. Notes of any kind? Okay. 3 Q. I will tell you, as a lawyer practicing 4 5 in the case, as in all cases like this, my job here is to endeavor to learn about areas in which I don't 6 have any formal education, so that I can and we can 7 as parties fairly and accurately present that 8 information to the court in this case. 9 Does that make sense? 10 11 Suffice it to say, I'm here to learn about your knowledge and your opinions on the issues 12 13 you have discussed in your report and about which you 14 plan to testify in this case. 15 You are the person with the specialized 16 knowledge here; I am certainly not. And the purpose 17 of me asking questions is for me to understand. mean, at the end of the day, hopefully, I'll 18 19 understand where you are coming from in terms of your 2.0 opinions and the facts and scientific principles that 21 you believe support those opinions. I always hope to leave a deposition 22 23 knowing more than when I started, so if I can accomplish that today, I will have accomplished what 2.4 25 we set out to do here.

1 That being said, if you don't understand 2 my question, please ask me to repeat it or restate 3 Sometimes lawyers ask questions -- may not ask 4 the questions the way you as a, for example, 5 pharmacist may. And so if it's more appropriate to phrase something differently or I can rephrase it in 6 7 a way that we both understand, please don't hesitate to let me know that. 8 9 Α. Okay. The goal here is to sort of -- I always hate 10 Ο. 11 to use the word "dumb it down," so I won't necessarily say dumb it down, but I want to be able 12 13 to -- for you to be able to give your answers in a 14 way that a layperson, certainly a lawyer and judge 15 can understand these particular facts. Do you 16 understand that? 17 Α. Yes. 18 I want to talk to you about deposition preparation, your preparation for today, ask you some 19 2.0 specific questions about your preparation. Is that 21 okay? Sure. 22 Α. Would you think about and explain to me what 2.3 Ο. all you have done to prepare for your deposition 2.4 25 testimony today?

- 1 A. So I have looked over my testimony just to
- 2 kind of remind myself of what all I discussed. And
- 3 so I looked at the electronic copies that you emailed
- 4 | me, as well of those testimonies, and I looked over
- 5 those.
- 6 Q. When you say "testimonies," what do you mean
- 7 by that?
- 8 A. I quess, again, my legal terminology perhaps
- 9 isn't the most appropriate, considering I am not a
- 10 lawyer.
- 11 Q. No, that's okay.
- 12 A. Because I'm the expert, my expert analysis or
- 13 expert reports is what I looked at.
- 14 Q. All right. So before today, you reviewed
- 15 your report?
- 16 A. Yes. I reviewed both reports prior, and I
- 17 looked at the USP quidelines, specifically at the
- 18 | quality requirements for midazolam, just to
- 19 double-check that I have -- not double-check, but
- 20 | just to remind myself of what were some of the
- 21 requirements.
- 22 Q. And when you say you looked over the quality
- 23 | requirements for midazolam, what specifically -- what
- 24 specific provisions are you talking about?
- 25 A. So those are the actual monographs. There is

- 1 a monograph for midazolam and there is a monograph 2 for midazolam injection, and so I wanted to look at both. 3 And where are they located within the USP? 4 Ο. 5 Α. These are part of the USP. I have electronic 6 access, and so I just search "midazolam." And it's a 7 chapter, it's a monograph.
- 8 Q. So it's a specific chapter within USP?
- 9 A. So it's a specific monograph. When you look
 10 at the USP guidance, you have the book that has
 11 initial all the chapters and you have general
- chapters of methodology, and that's followed by
- monographs.
- 14 Q. I understand. What's a monograph?
- 15 A. It is basically kind of like a summary in
- this case specific to, for example, midazolam. A
- monograph will contain all of the quality
- 18 requirements for the midazolam, what you need to test
- in order to show that is in compliance with USP
- 20 requirements.
- Q. So is it -- all right. So let me back up and
- 22 ask you.
- Can you just define generally what a
- 24 monograph is?
- 25 A. As in what a monograph --

1 Ο. What is a monograph? It is a set of quidelines, I quess, set of 2 Α. information, certain information that is provided. 3 4 Ο. Okay. And is a monograph sort of -- is it always the same? I mean, not -- obviously, for 5 different drugs it would be different. 6 But does it contain the same information, 7 each monograph, related to the specific drug 8 involved? 9 So --10 Α. 11 I'm trying to get an idea of what generally Ο. 12 is a monograph. 13 So, basically, like I said, in the case of Α. 14 USP, it provides you with quidance on quality 15 standards. What are the requirements for each drug 16 that the drug needs to meet in order to be USP 17 compliant. So if you are going to say that midazolam 18 19 is USP compliant, you need to perform all of the 2.0 testing that is listed in the USP monograph. The monograph, as you stated, will vary 21 between drugs, because different medications will 22 2.3 have different quality requirements. Okay. Does it also -- so does this have to 2.4 Ο.

do with compounding midazolam?

1 Α. It does, because when you are preparing a 2 compounded midazolam, you are basically making a midazolam injection. And so there is a monograph 3 that's for midazolam injection that is different from 4 midazolam raw material, which is an API or active 5 6 pharmaceutical ingredient. Does the -- so the monograph itself simply 7 says all of the things that you -- provides all of 8 the guidelines for what you need to do in order to 9 quarantee that the compounded midazolam is USP 10 11 compliant? 12 So those would be two separate monographs. Α. 13 So if you were to look at the API, meaning active 14 pharmaceutical ingredient, if you purchase dry 15 powder, you are going to test it according to 16 midazolam monograph, and that's just for the raw material itself. 17 18 If you are going to compound an 19 injectable midazolam, you are going to pull up 2.0 monograph for midazolam injection. 2.1 Ο. And that's what you did? I looked at both. I looked at midazolam API 22 Α. 23 and midazolam injection. Do you happen to know specifically where 2.4 Ο.

those monographs are located, like what page numbers?

1 Α. These are not in a -- I don't have a printed 2 USP is available -- the compendium is Every year they publish it. But most of 3 available. 4 the places nowadays you will not buy the book because 5 it comes with quarterly updates. 6 Ο. Right. 7 So what you do is you purchase the Α. subscription. And so they don't really have page 8 numbers that I'm aware of, you would just search the 9 compendium. 10 11 You would search the -- search the Ο. 12 compendium. What did you -- tell me how you put it 13 in there so that I would know how to --14 Α. So there's a search bar at the top, it's 15 basically a screen where you pull up a USP. You will 16 see this, you know, main page. And on there, there 17 will be -- you know, there's a search bar in the top. There's some disclaimers at the bottom. 18 19 They typically will show you on that main 2.0 page what is the upcoming changes. They will give 21 you kind of a heads-up, so if you have any changes you need to make in any of your current standards or 22 23 current procedures, you can do that. 2.4 And so at the top is a search bar, and 25 you type in "midazolam" or "midazolam injection,"

1 and --2 Is that what you did? Q. 3 Α. Yes. So what did you type in first? 4 Ο. Α. So first I typed in midazolam. 5 Okav. So if I were to look at the USP 6 Ο. compendium online and I went to the search bar and I 7 type in midazolam, did you put in midazolam monograph 8 9 or will that just -- is that all you put in, was midazolam? 10 11 Α. Just midazolam. It will automatically 12 recognize that you are searching for a drug. 13 And if I type in midazolam and hit Ο. Okay. 14 search, what's going to come up? 15 Α. The page that basically is showing the 16 midazolam electronic monograph, it's showing all of 17 the quality requirements. 18 Is that for the API or the injection? Ο. 19 Α. So if you search just midazolam, it will be 2.0 API. 21 Ο. And you did that? 22 Α. Yes. And when did you do that? 23 Ο. I did that this morning. 2.4 Α.

Okay. So you typed in midazolam and you hit

25

Ο.

1 search and it brought up the API monograph? 2 Α. Yes. All right. And then did you also do a 3 Q. different search? 4 So I looked at that. And then I said, let me 5 Α. look at the midazolam injection to review that, those 6 standards as well. 7 And so what did you search to get that, or is 8 Ο. it in the same --9 I typed in "midazolam injection." 10 Α. 11 Ο. Okay. (An off-the-record discussion was held.) 12 13 MR. SUTHERLAND: I'll do better and, 14 Dr. Almgren, we'll just do better together. You can 15 just wait until I stop talking, and I'll try to -- or 16 I will not talk while you are talking. 17 THE WITNESS: It might be I have a little delay with my Internet, it could easily be, because I 18 19 feel like I do give you the time, but I wonder if there is some kind of a -- I don't know. 2.0 T think 21 there's a delay in the Internet. MR. SUTHERLAND: I think that's fair. 22 2.3 BY MR. SUTHERLAND: So we were talking about things you reviewed 2.4 Ο. 25 to prepare for your deposition, and one of the things

1 you looked at was the USP quidelines for quality 2 requirements for midazolam, the midazolam monographs, which you looked at this morning, and you have 3 indicated, as I understand it, that you went into the 4 5 USP website in the search bar and typed in midazolam 6 and reviewed the midazolam API monograph, and then you went back to the search and searched midazolam 7 injection and reviewed the injectable midazolam 8 9 monograph; is that correct? That's correct. 10 Α. 11 And if I do the same thing, then I should 12 be -- then I will be able to see what you reviewed 13 today, fair? 14 Α. Yes. 15 Ο. Okay. What else did you review to prepare 16 for your deposition today? 17 Α. As I stated, I did look over both of my testimonies briefly, and I believe that's it. 18 19 don't think I reviewed any additional documents --2.0 no, no, one more thing. I did review -- I went back to the lethal 21 injection protocol from the Riverbend facility, the 22 23 electronic copy, and I specifically looked at pages 35 through 40 to review the handling of the drugs, 2.4 25 but just really briefly.

1 I didn't really spend a lot of time, I 2 just wanted to really familiarize myself with the 3 procedure should the questions come up. 4 MR. SUTHERLAND: Rob, why don't you go 5 ahead -- I'm going to -- strike that. BY MR. SUTHERLAND: 6 Dr. Almgren, when you reviewed the --7 Ο. 8 MR. SUTHERLAND: Rob, did you send 2 and 9 3? MR. MITCHELL: I did. 10 11 MR. SUTHERLAND: Okay. 12 BY MR. SUTHERLAND: 13 So, Dr. Almgren, when you received the email, Ο. 14 did the email contain both your initial report and 15 your supplemental report? 16 Α. Yes. 17 MR. SUTHERLAND: I'm going to move to make exhibits -- Dr. Almgren's November 17, 2021 18 19 report and the supplemental report Exhibits 2 and 3 2.0 to this deposition. 21 Rob, if you could forward the Exhibit 4, which is going to be a copy of the protocol, to 22 23 Lynne. And then I'm going to ask you -- Lynne will forward you the protocol and we can talk about that 2.4 25 for just a minute.

1 THE WITNESS: Okay. Rob, if you could share 2 MR. SUTHERLAND: 3 that when you have a chance. 4 (WHEREUPON, a document was marked as Exhibit Number 4.) 5 MR. SUTHERLAND: Dr. Almgren, if you 6 7 would let me know when you receive that. THE WITNESS: I see it on the screen, but 8 9 I haven't received it in the email yet. I don't have it either, but 10 MS. LEONARD: as soon as it comes to me, I will forward it on to 11 12 Dr. Almgren. 13 Do you want me to wait, MR. SUTHERLAND: 14 Lynne? 15 MS. LEONARD: No, I think if you want to 16 get started, and if we run into a situation where we need to see it, maybe we could just sort of take a 17 18 pause. MR. SUTHERLAND: 19 Yeah. 2.0 MS. LEONARD: It just came through, so 21 I'm going to forward it to you right now, Dr. Almgren. 22 2.3 THE WITNESS: Thank you. BY MR. SUTHERLAND: 2.4 25 Dr. Almgren, I'm going to show you, you can Ο.

- 1 see it on the screen, the Lethal Injection Execution 2 Manual, Execution Procedures for Lethal Injection. Does that look like the document you were 3 just talking about? 4 5 Α. Yes. That you reviewed this morning? 6 Ο. 7 Α. Yes. Okay. At the bottom left-hand corner of that 8 Ο. 9 document --MR. SUTHERLAND: Rob, if you could scroll 10 11 down to the bottom. BY MR. SUTHERLAND: 12 13 It says, revised July 5th, 2018. Is that the Ο. 14 version that you reviewed, Dr. Almgren? 15 Α. I am not 100 percent sure. I would have to 16 go back and look in my electronic copy, because I do
- A. I am not 100 percent sure. I would have to
 go back and look in my electronic copy, because I do
 not -- I'm not sure of that particular revision note.

 Q. Okay. You indicated that you read certain
- pages in preparation for your deposition, pages 35 through 40.
- 21 Have you previously reviewed this entire document?
- 23 A. I have.
- 24 Q. From start to finish?
- 25 A. It was a long time ago, so I did not do that

1 in preparation for this deposition, but I have done 2 this probably whenever I started on this case, whenever last --3 4 Ο. Is it fair to say that you have read every 5 word of the protocol? 6 No, I don't know that that's fair to say, 7 because I really focused on the pages that are truly relevant to me. 8 9 The document is what, 99 pages long. So, no, the pages that were not relevant, I probably 10 11 skimmed through to get an understanding of what goes on, but I don't think that I could, you know, 12 13 summarize exactly what all is contained in this 14 document, because like I said, I really -- I was not 15 hired to analyze the entire protocol. I was really 16 focused on the handling of the medications. 17 MR. SUTHERLAND: Rob, could you go to 18 page 35. 19 BY MR. SUTHERLAND: 2.0 All right. Dr. Almgren, this is page 35. Q. 21 Does that look like the first page that you reviewed in preparation for today? 22 I believe so. Can you scroll above, let me 2.3 2.4 see what is on page 34? MS. LEONARD: Dr. Almgren, if you want to 25

1 check your email and see if the full document came to 2 you, just so you have it handy and can do some scrolling. 3 THE WITNESS: Let me check. Nothing yet. 4 I did look at this. I did see this page. So I guess 5 35 or 34 was -- I quess I looked at this page as 6 well. But this really is just a summary, so I really 7 focused on 35 and so forth. 8 MR. SUTHERLAND: Could you scroll down to 9 35 -- could you scroll to 35. 10 11 BY MR. SUTHERLAND: 12 So you reviewed this page today, or in Ο. preparation for the deposition? 13 14 Α. Yes. 15 Q. And then 36? 16 Α. Yes. 17 Ο. Did you read that page? 18 Okay. 37? 19 Α. Yes. 2.0 And that deals with commercially manufactured Ο. 21 drugs; is that correct? 22 Α. Yes. All right. And then 38? 2.3 Ο. 2.4 Α. Yes. 25 39? Ο.

1 Α. Yes. 2 40? Ο. 3 Α. Yes. Is that it? 4 Ο. That's it. I was really focused primarily on 5 Α. the handling of the drugs. For my expert analysis, I 6 did review more than just the pages that I just 7 mentioned. This is just -- particularly the five 8 9 pages I looked at were strictly in preparation for this morning. 10 11 Ο. I understand. What other documents did you review in 12 13 preparation for your deposition? 14 Α. That was it. You mean -- I prepared -- I got 15 up early this morning and then I reviewed, like I 16 said, my testimonies, the USP monographs, and this. 17 Ο. All right. Before this morning, have you reviewed -- I quess your report, Exhibit 2, and your 18 supplemental report indicate that you reviewed a 19 number of other documents. 2.0 And while you may not have technically 21 reviewed them in preparation for the deposition, they 22 23 are certainly, I quess, part of your knowledge. I want to talk to you a little bit about those, okay? 2.4 25 Α. Sure.

1 MR. SUTHERLAND: Rob, can you pull up Exhibit 2, the initial report at page 2. 2 BY MR. SUTHERLAND: 3 Dr. Almgren, at page 2 of your initial report 4 Ο. from November 17, 2021 in Section II, Roman Numeral 5 II, you say -- you list materials relied upon. 6 7 you see that? 8 Α. Yes. 9 And in numerical paragraph 6 you list a number of items, and I'd like to ask you some 10 11 questions about those. You were provided -- 6-A is a copy of the 12 13 protocol, which is what we just went over, right? 14 Α. Yes. 15 Ο. And then 6-B is depositions of the drug 16 procurer; the executioner; the pharmacist; Warden 17 Tony Mays; Associate Warden Ernest Lewis; pharmacy owner; IV team member 1; IV team member 2; IV team 18 19 member 3; Commissioner Tony Parker in both his 2.0 individual capacity and as an official designee of 21 the Tennessee Department of Corrections, which would be two separate depositions. 22 2.3 Are you familiar with that? There was a lot of documents that I reviewed. 2.4 25 It has been a while since I have reviewed them, but

1 I'm assuming I listed them -- they were in the 2 I probably glanced at them, yes. Debbie Inglis, a physician; EMT 1; EMT 2; and 3 Q. EMT 3. 4 5 So those documents were provided to you 6 in the Dropbox? 7 Α. Yes. Did you review each of those depositions from 8 Ο. start to finish? 9 So, yes, I reviewed them. And depending how 10 11 relevant I found them, is how much I paid attention. There are some that were less relevant to what I was 12 focused on than others. 13 14 Did you read each deposition from beginning Q. 15 to end? 16 Α. Yes. All of the ones that are listed here? 17 Ο. 18 Α. Yes. 19 Ο. Laboratory reports for compounded drugs, 6-C. 2.0 6-D, midazolam storage and preparation instructions. 21 6-E, potassium chloride preparation 22 instructions. 23 6-F, handwritten inventory list. 2.4 And 6-G, prescriptions and sample 25

1 prescription for lethal injection chemicals. 2 So are there any documents -- and I quess 3 your supplemental report cites to another production 4 of information that you reviewed which resulted in 5 your supplemental report; do you recall that? 6 Α. Yes. 7 Other than the documents that I've just gone Ο. over that you have listed here, and the documents 8 9 that you refer to in your supplemental report, are you relying on anything else in forming your 10 11 opinions? So I provided a list of resources that I had 12 Α. 13 used, USP Chapter 797 being one. There are a few 14 other USP chapters that I quote throughout my 15 testimony that I also have relied upon. 16 There is a document, it's a guidance from 17 FDA, that tells you about how comparable -- or, 18 really, not comparable, on how to make decisions 19 about whether you can use EP, BP, or other 2.0 pharmacopeia monographs to make decisions about 21 purchasing and using your API, so I used that quidance as well. 22 Those are the things that I can think 23 right off the bat. But I did supply a list of all of 2.4 25 my references for your review. I supplied it.

1 uploaded it to the Dropbox for the attorneys that I 2 work with, and they had supplied this to you. That includes the FDA quidance on EP and BP 3 Q. 4 purchasing? 5 Α. And that quidance is really just a Yes. 6 link, so you click on it and you get a document. 7 Got it. Did you review any of the expert Ο. 8 reports, other expert reports in this case? 9 Α. I reviewed whatever is listed in the Dropbox. Do you recall having reviewed the expert 10 Ο. 11 reports of any other experts in the case? 12 I reviewed whatever is in the Dropbox. Α. 13 I understand that. What I'm asking Ο. Okav. 14 you is, do you have a recollection of having reviewed 15 any report of any other expert in this case that has 16 provided a report like your report? 17 Do you have any recollection of having reviewed any other reports? 18 19 I am trying to remember. I do not believe 2.0 I work on another case, and so I think that 21 that's where maybe my confusion comes from, but I believe that that was all. 22 I can look back at the Dropbox and see 23

what else is in there. I mean, I'm assuming really

the testimonies that you have, those were the main

2.4

25

1 I think there may have been -- like I said, 2 I'd have to double-check my notes. I do not have 3 those. 4 Ο. I understand. And as you sit here this 5 morning, do you have any independent recollection in this case of having reviewed the report of any other 6 7 experts? I do not remember. I want to say that there 8 Α. 9 were -- no, those were -- those were all testimonies, what you have listed. 10 11 What happened is, I think when we 12 uploaded the -- when we -- no, that's a different 13 Yeah, no, I believe that that's all that I case. 14 have. 15 For some reason I want to say -- let me 16 look at this document, give me one second. It's the 17 document that you have emailed. I want to scroll up, if there was anything else, but I don't think so, 18 19 because these were just all depositions that I've 2.0 read that I have formed my opinion upon. 21 I apologize, there is not a case Yeah. that I've been working on. 22 23 Ο. I understand. When I think about the documentation I have 2.4 25 reviewed in a more recent one, and because of that I

1 sometimes make, like, a distinction of which one it 2 is that I'm trying to remember. 3 Q. I understand. Let me repeat my question. 4 As you sit here this morning, do you have an independent recollection of, in this case, whether 5 that you have been provided with any other expert 6 7 reports, persons who have given expert reports in this case? 8 I'm trying to remember. It would be helpful, 9 Α. can I look in the Dropbox and see what documents are 10 11 in there for me to remind me? I don't remember. don't want to say yes or no because I just honestly 12 13 don't remember. 14 Yeah. I mean, if you have access to it, that Q. 15 would be fine; fine with me. 16 Do you have ready access to that, 17 Dr. Almgren? I do. 18 Α. 19 Ο. Okay. 2.0 I don't see any expert -- I don't see Α. Yeah. 21 anything in here. I see the depositions. Let me just scroll down and make sure there's not any. 22 These are all -- all I see is I have the 2.3 folder with the depositions, and then I have the 2.4 25 background packet which includes the protocol that

- 1 you have shown.
- 2 Q. Okay.
- 3 A. And I don't see anything relevant there. And
- 4 then I have another folder that just shows the lab
- 5 reports sample. It's different files, but that's
- 6 all. I don't see any expert witness communication
- 7 here in my Dropbox.
- 8 Q. You don't have any memory of reviewing any in
- 9 this case?
- 10 A. Like I said, I honestly do not, but it
- 11 doesn't mean -- it's that I worked on another case,
- 12 and I did have some analysis there.
- 13 Q. Okay. In preparation for your deposition,
- 14 did you meet with -- have any meetings with anyone?
- 15 A. Yes, I did meet with the attorneys on
- 16 Wednesday morning.
- 17 Q. Just one meeting?
- 18 A. For the preparation, yes.
- 19 Q. And how long was that meeting?
- 20 A. A couple hours.
- 21 Q. And who was present for the meeting?
- 22 A. I know Lynne was present and Alex Kursman
- 23 were present. The others, I don't recall the names.
- 24 \ Q. How many people were there?
- 25 A. I would say five or six.

- Q. Maybe five. Do you know if they were people that worked in the same office with Mr. Kursman or Ms. Leonard?
- 4 A. I am assuming so.
- Q. Okay. Was it a video, a Zoom meeting?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Okay. And you just had that one meeting?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. How many times have you met with attorneys
- 10 for Mr. King all together in the case?
- 11 A. Via phone or in person?
- 12 Q. Any meetings.
- 13 A. I honestly am not sure, a handful of times.
- 14 Q. More than five?
- 15 A. No, I don't think so.
- 16 Q. Was it more than three?
- 17 A. Probably, maybe three or four; five probably
- 18 at the most.
- 19 Q. Okay. And you say the one on Wednesday
- 20 lasted a couple of hours?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. And do you bill for those meetings?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. Do you bill for your review of the
- 25 depositions?

1 Α. Yes. So do you know how many hours you have billed 2 Ο. so far for your services in this case? 3 I would have to look. 4 Α. 5 Ο. Approximately? I would say maybe upwards of maybe 30 or so. 6 Α. 7 Ο. Do you know approximately how many hours you have spent reviewing depositions? 8 9 Α. The majority of that time was spent on that. Okay. So you would say more than 15 hours? 10 Ο. 11 More than 15 or 50? Α. 12 Well, you said -- 15, I'm sorry. More than Ο. 13 15? 14 Α. Oh, yeah, you mean for review of depositions? 15 Q. Yes. 16 Α. Yes. 17 Ο. Okay. More than 20? 18 Α. Probably, yes; I would say so, yes. 19 Ο. Twenty-five? 2.0 Very likely, yes; somewhere between 20 and Α. 21 25, yes. Okay. Other than counsel for Mr. King, 22 Q. 2.3 Ms. Leonard, Mr. Kursman, have you discussed any matters in your report or your testimony about the

2.4

25

case with anyone else?

- 1 Α. Like who? 2 Ο. Anyone other than those people. 3 Α. No. Other than Ms. Leonard, Mr. Kursman, someone 4 Ο. on the legal team there, have you discussed your 5 report or your testimony with any other human being? 6 I don't discuss the 7 Α. My husband. technicalities, but I would say, you know, I'm doing 8 9 a deposition this morning. And so he's aware that, you know, I do that. 10 I teach at the university, so I'll say 11 12 things like, you know, I work as an expert witness. 13 We don't discuss specifics of the course -- or the 14 case or anything, but --15 Ο. I understand. 16 -- that level where I just mention that Α. 17 that's something that I sometimes do. How much time do you think you have spent 18 Ο. 19 total preparing for your deposition today? 2.0 Maybe a couple hours, probably less than --Α. 21 do you mean including our meeting with the attorneys, or do you mean --22
- Q. Yes, ma'am.
- 24 A. -- just my personal?
- Q. Yes, ma'am.

- 1 Α. I quess a couple hours with the attorneys, 2 and then maybe I would say another hour or maybe an hour and a half of separate. 3 4 Ο. I'd like to go through your CV, if we could. 5 Α. Okay. Rob, can you put up 6 MR. SUTHERLAND: 7 Exhibit 2 and scroll down to Dr. Almgren's CV. And, Dr. Almgren, do you 8 MS. LEONARD: 9 have that, a copy that is available in front of you from the email? 10 11 Yes. I will open it right THE WITNESS: 12 now. 13 Okay, great. MS. LEONARD: 14 MR. SUTHERLAND: Let me know when you are 15 there, Dr. Almgren, so you will have the ability to 16 look at it. 17 THE WITNESS: Okay, I'm there. BY MR. SUTHERLAND: What's on the screen, does that appear to be
- 18
- 19 2.0 a true and correct copy of your CV that you provided
- 21 to Mr. King's lawyers?
- 22 Α. Yes.
- I'd like to go through and spend some 2.3 Okav. Ο.
- time talking about your CV and I want to start with 2.4
- 25 your education and employment.

- 1 So as I read your CV, you have a bachelor of science degree in both biology and chemistry, '97, 2 from Columbia College of South Carolina? 3 4 Α. Yes. Not to be confused with the University of 5 South Carolina? 6 7 Α. No, separate. So Columbia College is, I quess, a small 8 Ο. liberal arts school there in Columbia; is that right? 9 Yes, that's correct. 10 Α. 11 And what did you do after you obtained your Ο. undergrad degree in '97? 12 13 So I worked for a few years. I'm originally Α. 14 from Czechoslovakia. So I went back home, I got 15 married. There were a few things that I did. 16 Are you asking career wise or are you 17 asking just the general what --Yeah, let me back up just a second. 18 Ο. 19 So you got your degree in May of '97; is 2.0 that right? 21 Α. Yes. Your CV shows that you started your first job 22 Q. 2.3 in '99. 2.4 Α. I see.

May of '99?

25

Ο.

1 Α. Yes. 2 Ο. So that's two years? 3 Α. Yes. 4 Ο. Two years between the time you got your 5 degree and the time you started your first job? So I worked actually -- looking at 6 Yes. 7 this, I guess I must have put my CV -- I guess when I was preparing the CV initially, there are a few 8 9 irrelevant jobs that I had in between where, you know, I worked as an intern places. And, like I 10 11 said, I got married and I went back home. Let me stop you for just a second. 12 Ο. 13 So you got your degree in May of '97. 14 And if you could walk me from May of '97 until May of 15 '99, when you started working for GlaxoSmithKline, 16 that would be helpful? 17 Α. Okav. So I worked as a chemist for a chemical company. I want to say I actually worked, 18 19 maybe, there all the way to '99. I was a chemist in 2.0 a chemical company. 21 Ο. And where was that? That was Columbia, South Carolina. 22 Α. 2.3 Ο. What was the name of the company? I think it was called Lindau Chemicals. 2.4 Α.

think that's what the name was. It was small.

25

1 Ο. How do you spell that? 2 Α. L-I-N-D-A-U, Lindau. And what did you do at Lindau? 3 Q. I was a chemist. 4 Α. 5 And what were your duties there? Ο. I worked in a chemistry lab, analyzing 6 Α. 7 samples. Samples of? 8 Ο. 9 Α. The chemicals that the company made, raw materials. 10 11 So what was your job, I quess is what Ο. 12 I'm trying to get at? What were you doing as a 13 chemist there? I mean, what did the company do? 14 What did they make, and what was your position with 15 them? 16 Because you have given lots of detail in 17 your CV about your jobs with GlaxoSmithKline and with 18 I'd like to have similar detail about those Pfizer. 19 other chemistry jobs. 2.0 Okay. Well, with Lindau, I really, you know, Α. 21 did something along the similar lines. just -- I think one of the reasons it's not listed on 22 my CV is because it wasn't directly pharmaceutical 2.3 related, and so maybe that's why I did not list it; 2.4 25 it was relatively short.

But I worked there as a chemist, and what I did is I analyzed samples that we made. If I remember correctly, the company was an industrial chemical manufacturer. And I don't remember the products, I apologize. It's been a long time.

2.0

2.3

2.4

But I do remember we made different industrial-grade chemicals. And as a chemist, when I started there, I basically analyzed raw materials that came into the factory. I analyzed finished product.

There were also some intermediate chemicals, you know, in the process -- when we worked in the process in a plant. And so I would go and get the samples and analyze and, you know, and then give instructions to the manufacturing on how to proceed.

So now that you remind me, I think that's, actually now looking at the amount of chemistry and things that I do, I do need to add that to my CV, because I think it is relevant.

Sometimes you think those things, you really don't -- it didn't really make that much impact, but it is something, you know. I mean, I was, like I said, really helping to manage the manufacturing process of the company, in the manufacturing.

- 1 Q. So if I understand what you are saying is, 2 you were a chemist and you were reviewing certain products of the company at different stages? 3 4 Α. Yes. 5 For quality or for --Ο. Yes, the quality. I also --6 Α. Go ahead. 7 Ο. -- provided the instructions. 8 Α. So, for 9 example, you know, the process would stop and we would analyze the sample to determine whether we need 10 11 to add more of something or if we need to heat it up 12 more. 13 We had a whole algorithm that basically I 14 was doing. 15 guess, because really I was helping controlling the 16 manufacturing process.
 - I was kind of like a chemical engineer, I
- 17 Ο. Were there other chemists that you worked 18 with?
- Α. 19 Yes.
- 2.0 Ο. How many?
- 21 Α. Oh, boy, I'm trying to remember.
- 22 Were there --Q.
- So there were different shifts too, so, you 23 Α.
- know --2.4
- 25 Which shift did you work? Ο.

- 1 A. I worked on the first shift, but I helped out
- 2 if there was a need for second or third, if they
- needed somebody to cover when somebody went on
- 4 vacation.
- 5 Q. And you say you did that job full time
- 6 between '97 and '99?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. So was that your full-time employment during
- 9 that period?
- 10 A. I believe so, yes.
- 11 Q. Is that company still in business?
- 12 A. I honestly am not sure.
- 13 Q. So from May of '97, when you got your degree,
- 14 until May of '99, you were a chemist at Lindau
- 15 Chemical.
- 16 Is that the name of the company, Lindau
- 17 Chemical?
- 18 A. Lindau Chemicals, because they made all
- 19 different kinds.
- 20 Q. Okay. Do you remember some of the chemicals
- 21 they made?
- 22 A. They had the proprietary blends. It was not
- 23 | like a chemical like magnesium stearate or something
- 24 that you find. It was something that you make. Like
- 25 I said, that they made their own blends. You know

1 what I'm saying? These were --2 Ο. And what were they used for? 3 Α. So I don't know the scope of all of them, because there are different kinds. Some of them were 4 5 something that you make the plastics out of. So they were -- one of them was some type 6 7 of a plastic that you cure with heat. And you can make the -- I remember we had -- in the lobby of the 8 9 company, we had like for a bow and arrow, the plastic handle that you hold. That plastic part was actually 10 made from the products that we made. 11 So that was one of the things, one of the 12 And I think there are probably some other, you 13 uses. 14 know, people that ordered similar products. 15 Ο. So in May of '99 you went to work for 16 GlaxoSmithKline? 17 Α. Uh-huh. And you list your position as senior 18 Ο. pharmaceutical chemist; is that correct? 19 2.0 I didn't start as a senior, because obviously Α. 21 when I started, I was just a chemist coming from a chemical company. 22 2.3 The senior pharmaceutical chemist, whatever the title is, it really was something that 2.4 25 was the highest level that I had achieved.

- Q. When you were a senior pharmaceutical chemist at GlaxoSmithKline, can you kind of tell me what you did day-to-day?

 A. So I performed analysis of the products. I also helped with development, like, of the methodology. We had a product that we had to
 - also helped with development, like, of the methodology. We had a product that we had to transfer -- we had a site transfer where a product came from one site, and we brought it to our site or to a different site. So you would have to do all of the paperwork and you have to do all of the method transfer.
 - So you have to, basically, take the methodology that was done at the original site, and when the product is transferred to your site, you basically show that you are capable to perform that same methodology at your site.
 - Q. What are you actually doing as the chemist?
 You know, GlaxoSmithKline, among other things,
 manufactures drugs; right?
 - A. Yes.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

Q. So as the chemist -- as the senior

pharmaceutical chemist, what is your role in that?

A. So depending. So in the sites where you are

located, you basically, you know, analyze chemicals

that come in that are used in manufacturing.

1 Or, you know, as I progressed in, you 2 know, the range, like I started as a chemist doing analysis, and so, you know, I performed quality 3 control testing of products. And then, you know, you 4 get involved in other things, administrative type of 5 6 things. So, you know, I supported the internal 7 audit team. I supported, like I said, the transfers 8 9 of the products. But generally chemistry is one of the key skills that you need to have. 10 11 So were you primarily just analyzing raw materials that were being used in the manufacture of 12 13 drugs? 14 Α. That was one of the things that I did, yes. 15 Q. Was that the primary thing that you did? 16 I didn't analyze just the raw materials. Α. Ι 17 also analyzed actual products. Okay. And you were analyzing the actual 18 Ο. 19 products for what purpose? 2.0 Quality control. Α. 21 Okay. And you indicate in your CV that you Ο. assisted with development of the internal audit 22 2.3 system and issuance of appropriate protocols to assure compliance with, I quess, good manufacturing 2.4 25 practices, USP 797; is that correct?

- 1 A. Yes, that's correct.
- Q. How does USP -- for example, how did USP
- 3 requirements apply to what you were doing as a
- 4 chemist?
- 5 A. So the USP requirements really are applicable
- 6 across the board. And that's just because the USP is
- 7 a chapter that -- not a chapter. USP is a compendium
- 8 that basically provides guidance for you, whether you
- 9 work in industry or you work in health care as a
- 10 pharmacist. The USP is just a set of guidelines and
- 11 standards that, for example, the industry has adopted
- 12 as a part of their quality measures.
- 13 Q. Was that your first exposure to USP
- 14 requirements, in that production?
- 15 A. Yes. Absolutely, yes. Back then, actually,
- 16 we still had the book. That tells you how long ago
- 17 it was; we still had the book.
- 18 Today, I haven't seen a book. I was
- 19 trying to get a book to show my students, because
- 20 it's a really huge book. And I wanted to show my
- 21 students in school in the College of Pharmacy how the
- 22 book looks, and I could not even find a printed copy
- 23 | anymore. I said, I'll take an expired one, I just
- 24 want one.
- 25 O. I understand. And then from December -- so

- 1 you were there from May of '99 to December of 2004,
- and then you took a position with Pfizer?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. As a senior pharmaceutical -- did you start
- off as the senior pharmaceutical formulation
- 6 specialist?
- 7 A. I did. I was there a relatively short time,
- 8 because I ended up going back to pharmacy school.
- 9 And so I took the job, and basically it was a
- 10 promotion. It was a better job, and so it was a good
- 11 step for me. And Pfizer just was a better package,
- 12 better from the perspective of what they offered for
- 13 their employees. And so I --
- 14 Q. You said -- I'm sorry.
- 15 A. That's all.
- 16 Q. Okay. Was it essentially the same sort of
- 17 position that you had with GlaxoSmithKline?
- 18 A. Very similar, yes.
- 19 Q. You were a chemist with Pfizer?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Doing different sorts of analysis and that
- 22 type of thing?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. So in the fall of '96 you left Pfizer and
- 25 started pharmacy school; is that right?

1 Α. Yes. As I read your CV, it looks like -- while you 2 Ο. were in pharmacy school, it looks like you had two 3 4 positions, two employment positions that sort of --5 while you were going through pharmacy school; is that 6 right? 7 Α. Yes, that's correct. You were a student intern at Rite Aid 8 Ο. 9 Pharmacy in Columbia, South Carolina, from September of '06 until May of 2010? 10 11 Yes. Α. And then you also were a hospital pharmacy 12 Ο. 13 student intern at Lexington Medical Center, West 14 Columbia, South Carolina, from June of '08, which I 15 guess was like your third and fourth year of pharmacy 16 school? 17 Α. What happens, a lot of times -- well, what happened to me -- it doesn't happen a lot of 18 19 But what happened to me is, after -- I worked 2.0 at Rite Aid as a student intern, you know, worked in 21 retail. And I honestly at the time thought retail was my calling, and so I enjoyed it. 22 2.3 So then after my second year of school, you go on another APP. They call it APP, which is 2.4 25 advanced pharmacy practice experience. And so the

school sends you for a month to do an internship.

2.0

2.3

2.4

And so I went and had an internship in the hospital at Lexington Medical Center, and I absolutely loved it. And then so then I took on that job as an intern at a hospital.

And I still worked at Rite Aid, because I still liked the job at Rite Aid as well, and I really liked the people I worked with and so I didn't want to leave that. And so from there on I had two jobs.

It seems like it's a lot to manage, but actually it was very -- it was really well balanced, because I was able to work during the week in the shorter spurts in retail, which is common. You can just work three or four hours, so I was able to do that around my school schedule.

And then the hospital requires typically for me to work -- or required a time for me to work full shift as in eight hours, and so I worked on the weekends at the hospital.

- Q. When you were working as a student intern at Rite Aid, are you just like filling prescriptions and dealing with customers, is that fair?
- A. Yeah. You basically shadow a pharmacist, and so you learn about, you know, what a practitioner does. You help with counseling, filling. You help

- with ordering. You help with -- you support the
 pharmacist's efforts.

 Q. Was there any compounding done at that Rite
 Aid Pharmacy?

 A. There was very little. It's not sterile, of
 - course. This was a nonsterile compounding per USP 795. And this is where my chemistry skills came in really handy, because I was very comfortable with weighing and measuring.
- 10 Q. Got you. So you did some of that at Rite
 11 Aid?
- 12 A. Uh-huh.

6

7

8

9

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

2.4

25

- Q. Okay. And what kind of work did you do at the hospital?
 - A. Well, I really was inclined towards the sterile compounding, because it was very comfortable and similar to what I have done working in industry where you work with medications directly, you prepare them.

And so I worked in a cleanroom, compounding medications for patients, preparing things, even complex things like chemotherapy batching. So I would make these really large-scale batches of medications, but I also supported any other efforts.

1 So if they needed me to do any other work 2 as a pharmacy intern, whether it was filling the 3 Pyxis machines or whether it was, you know, delivering medications, I did whatever was needed. 4 5 But I did have preference for cleanroom, 6 and when I had a chance, if there was an opportunity 7 for me to work in the cleanroom, I was definitely always up for it. 8 9 So you were doing -- were you doing sterile Q. compounding at the hospital? 10 Yes. 11 Α. And as a student intern, how does -- how are 12 Ο. you able to do that? 13 14 You are able to because you are being Α. 15 overseen by the pharmacist. So as long as you are, 16 you know, supervised by a licensed pharmacist, you are -- as an intern you can compound, the same way as 17 18 the pharmacy technicians do. 19 Ο. And you got your PharmD degree in 2010? 2.0 Α. Uh-huh. 21 At the University of South Carolina; is that Ο. right? 22 2.3 Α. That's correct. And then you also got a master of science in 2.4 Q.

pharmacy in 2010 in pharmaceutical chemistry?

25

1 Α. That was a good year. 2 Q. It was a good year. I got two advanced degrees in the same year. 3 Α. 4 Ο. Yeah. How did you do -- how did that work? 5 So the way this actually worked, it's really Α. interesting, because I worked -- I had my -- when I 6 7 was at GlaxoSmithKline, I actually started on this program with a master's degree in pharmaceutical 8 9 chemistry. So I started all of this, we are talking way back when I was at Glaxo. 10 11 And at the time I already knew I wanted to pursue a graduate degree, and so I was kind of 12 13 slowly chipping away on some of the courses that I 14 could do in this program. 15 And so I took some courses, and then 16 actually when I went to Pfizer, Pfizer, I believe, 17 offered to pay for some of the coursework. when I worked at Pfizer, I continued on it. 18 19 So then when I got into pharmacy school, I was able to transfer some of the courses because 2.0 21 there are overlapping, as in I took pharmacology at a pharmacy school, and it's the same pharmacology 22 2.3 course that I would need for my master's degree. I was able to transfer probably about four or five 2.4 25 courses towards the program, towards my master's

degree. And then I had to take, I think, two or three more classes.

So I graduated in May from PharmD. And then I worked on my coursework, and basically was able to complete my pharmaceutical chemistry degree in December. So it was fantastic. It was one of the best years of my life. I graduated twice that year.

- Q. Was the work at the University of Florida -- was that remote learning?
- 10 A. The majority, yes, thankfully. I had to go 11 there. There are some things I had to go there for.
- 12 Q. Like what?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

2.4

25

- 13 A. They had a course that I had to attend that was in person.
- Q. And was this while you were in pharmacy school or while you were --
- 17 A. No, that was after. That was the last course -- sorry, my dog.

That was the last course I took, and it's basically as the final exam. So you take all this coursework online, but you have to come and take the last course in person. And you take this humongous exam that basically tests, making sure that all of the other courses that you have done distant, that you actually did.

So you have -- I mean, the exam is -- I 1 2 think it was a four-hour exam and it was very difficult. And it was questions in pharmacology, 3 4 medicinal chemistry, all of these courses that I took 5 over a period of years, and so you basically have to 6 show proficiency. 7 How many hours is that master of science Ο. 8 program? 9 Gosh, I do not remember. I would have to go Α. and look. 10 Do you have a rough quess? 11 Ο. I am sorry, I do not. 12 Α. 13 Is it more than 20? Ο. 14 Α. How many credit hours, are you asking? 15 Q. Yes. 16 I am not sure. I think so. I mean, it is a Α. standard. You can look online. It is a master's in 17 pharmaceutical chemistry. You can look online at the 18 19 University of Florida. 2.0 You say you had an industrial pharmacy focus; Ο. what does that mean? 21 So there are a couple of different 22 Α. Yes. 2.3 tracks, because you have electives that you take within that course, within that degree. So you can 2.4 25 kind of, you know, focus on what are some of the

1 coursework, because, you know, some students in that 2 class were focusing more on like management, and some 3 of us really focused more on the industry. And so that was my interest, was really focusing on 4 5 industry. So what is industrial pharmacy, what does 6 7 that mean? So you learn more about some of the general 8 Α. 9 regulations like quality control, where do those quidances come from, and how would you create them if 10 you were opening your own. Or how can you 11 effectively manage, maybe, your, like, raw materials, 12 maybe a new raw material or product development, and 13 14 so you need to develop quality procedures to put in 15 place. 16 And so this is where you would -- you 17 know, if you have this education, you know, what are the correct steps, how would you do that. 18 19 And this may sound like a dumb question, but 2.0 is that like -- are you talking about the pharmacy 21 industry, or are you talking about -- when I think of industrial pharmacy, I think of Big Pharma --22 23 Α. Yes. -- as like Pfizer and Abbott, that kind of 2.4 Ο. 25 Is that what that is?

- 1 Α. Yes, that's exactly what it is. 2 basically are --3 Q. As opposed to how to run a Rite Aid? 4 Α. Yes, exactly. 5 Okay. Am I right that the PharmD degree, is Ο. that the current entry level degree for all 6 7 practicing pharmacists? 8 Α. Yes. And has been since about 2004; is that right? 9 Ο. I do not know the exact year, but, yes, it 10 Α. 11 has been a while. 12 It's a four-year degree? Ο. 13 Α. Yes. 14 And so the pharmacists at Kroger -- if I go Q. 15 to Kroger and get a prescription, if they graduated 16 after a certain point in time, they have a PharmD 17 degree; is that right? 18 Α. Yes. 19 Let me ask you this. Would you say that most 2.0 people who get a PharmD degree either practice in the 21 area of clinical pharmacy like prescribing and filling prescriptions for patients, or the industry 22
- A. So I would say I believe -- and I'm not

 100 percent sure of the statistics, but somewhere in

of bringing medications to market?

2.3

1 the 70 percent-something range percentage of 2 pharmacists works in retail. So those are the pharmacists exactly as 3 you mentioned, folks working in Rite Aid, folks 4 5 working in Kroger. And they have a small, slim chance -- proportion of pharmacists who work clinical 6 as in hospital, or maybe -- in nursing homes you have 7 to have consulting pharmacists, so you will have the 8 9 small percentage of those pharmacists. So, yes, the majority does work in 10 11 retail. And there is a small proportion that works 12 in hospitals, and then there's still a small 13 proportion of pharmacists who are working in 14 industry. 15 Ο. All right. So as I read your CV, after you 16 got your PharmD degree in 2010, you had two jobs. 17 One was a PRN pharmacist. And correct me if I'm wrong, does PRN 18 19 pharmacist mean basically as needed? 2.0 Α. Yes. 21 So you worked sort of as needed from 2010 Ο. through August of 2012 --22 2.3 Α. Yes. -- at Rite Aid? 2.4 Ο. 25 Α. Yes.

- Q. Is that the same Rite Aid you worked at while you were in pharmacy school?
- A. No, you have to float. When you don't take a
- 4 full-time position, they usually don't need you in
- 5 the same store, so you are going to be a floater. So
- 6 you just get sent to whichever store needs a
- 7 pharmacist at that time.
- Q. So you were a PRN pharmacist for Rite Aid from September of '10 to August of 2012.
- 10 A. I was, but that's officially when I kind of
- 11 stopped. I don't think I worked there much, because
- 12 it was just -- you know, I had a full-time job. I
- 13 was already a faculty member at a university. And
- so, honestly, these PRN jobs -- this is before I had
- 15 children and I had time on the weekends.
- 16 Q. I understand.
- 17 A. So at that time I would work extra, because I
- 18 had a lot of student loans that I needed to pay off.
- 19 Q. And so that's -- so that's what I wanted to
- 20 get at.
- 21 So between -- really in the fall of '10
- 22 to the fall of 2012, you were working PRN pharmacy at
- 23 | Rite Aid and PRN pharmacy for UnitedHealthCare?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. Right? And those were part-time jobs?

- 1 A. Yes.
- Q. And tell me about the PRN pharmacy job for
- 3 UnitedHealthCare. What were you doing for them?
- 4 A. So I was a consulting pharmacist, so I worked
- 5 in -- it's a small pharmacy, and I'm not even sure if
- 6 they are still around. I think they got bought out
- 7 by somebody.
- 8 But it was a small pharmacy that
- 9 basically -- what they did is they managed nursing
- 10 homes. And so what we would do, is I worked on the
- 11 weekends strictly and we would do the -- you know,
- 12 when a patient -- most of the patients that come into
- 13 the nursing homes get admitted on the weekdays. So
- 14 | weekends we just kind of maintain status quo. You
- 15 don't get that many orders on the weekends. So we
- 16 | would verify orders if needed, and then fill
- 17 | prescriptions for patients who got admitted over the
- 18 weekend.
- 19 Also sometimes it involved, you know,
- 20 communication with a hospital, because we would have
- 21 to double-check if the orders are correct, so
- 22 consulting pharmacists. I would oversee operations.
- 23 I would be the only pharmacist there --
- 24 Q. Okay.
- 25 A. -- sometimes.

- 1 Q. So from the time you graduated in 2010 until
- 2 the fall of 2012, your primary job was an academic
- 3 position?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. And that was at South University --
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. -- was your pharmacy?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. That's also not South Carolina University?
- 10 A. That's right. It's confusing, I know.
- 11 Q. So South University is another pharmacy
- 12 school?
- 13 A. Yes. It's a private small school, yes, for
- 14 pharmacists.
- 15 Q. Columbia, and they also have a campus in
- 16 Savannah; is that right?
- 17 A. That's correct.
- 18 Q. And so that was your full-time job?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. And you took that -- so you took that job
- 21 | right after you got your PharmD degree?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. Have you ever been a full-time pharmacist,
- 24 | practicing pharmacist?
- 25 A. Well, I work at the Palmetto Health Richland

- as a pharmacist; not full time. So I guess not full
- 2 time.
- Q. Yeah. But since you graduated with your
- 4 degree, have you ever been a practicing full-time
- 5 pharmacist?
- 6 A. Well, according to South Carolina Pharmacy
- 7 Practice Act, in South Carolina a teaching pharmacy
- 8 is considered practicing pharmacy, so I guess the
- 9 answer would be yes.
- 10 Q. Okay. Other than -- but your primary job is
- 11 | not -- you are primarily teaching pharmacy students,
- 12 | that's your main job, right?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. And it has been since you graduated from
- 15 | pharmacy school?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. And your part-time positions?
- 18 A. Right.
- 19 Q. Right? Is that correct?
- 20 A. I'm sorry. You got -- I didn't hear what you
- 21 said, the last part.
- 22 Q. You have had part-time positions working as
- 23 | a, I quess, retail or clinical, however you want
- 24 to -- different positions, but your primary job since
- 25 you got your PharmD degree has been as an academic,

1 right? 2 Α. That's correct. 3 Q. Okay. And that you worked as an assistant 4 clinical professor at South University from May of 2010. 5 Is that like right after you got your 6 7 degree? It is. 8 Α. So you graduated in May of 2010, and you took 9 Ο. a position as an assistant professor of clinical and 10 11 pharmaceutical studies at South University, and you 12 did that for three years until August of '13? 13 Α. That's correct. 14 Okay. And tell me what you -- and your PRN Q. 15 work, was it strictly weekend work? 16 Α. Yes. Okay. So tell me, if you would, day-to-day, 17 Ο. say May of 2010 after you graduated from pharmacy 18 school to August of '13, day-to-day, what were 19 2.0 your -- what were you doing? 21 You mean teaching at the university at South, Α. what I did at South? 22 Kind of give me the day in the life of 2.3 Yeah. Dr. Michaela Almgren for those three years; what were 2.4

25

you doing?

A. I was very busy. I was teaching a variety of courses, had to develop a lot of my own teaching materials. When I started there, I taught, you know, just a couple courses, because, you know, I really didn't have a teaching portfolio at the time. I kind of had to develop my lectures. And so I started just, you know, mostly helping -- coordinating the courses.

2.0

2.3

2.4

And then I started teaching. I took on a really large teaching load. I took over one of the most consuming courses, the pharmaceutical calculations. And so I would go every day to work and basically work on developing my teaching materials.

I precepted some students. I tutored students. I helped with teaching labs; really a variety of things that I do on a day-to-day basis.

Q. So I want to ask what may seem like a silly question, but how does a -- how does a person who has never really been a pharmacist start just teaching pharmacy?

I mean, you went to pharmacy school and got your pharmacy degree. How do you just turn around and start teaching pharmacy when you have never been a pharmacist?

1 Α. Well, keep in mind that about half of faculty at universities do not have a PharmD. We have PhDs 2 at our college that are not pharmacists. 3 4 So in my college right now at the University of South Carolina, many of my colleagues 5 are not practicing pharmacists, because they teach 6 7 subject matters that are not necessarily pharmacy practice related. 8 So, you know, I did not teach directly, 9 you know, things that I would not know anything 10 11 about. But, for example, sterile compounding, I have done that as a student. 12 13 And, you know, when you are -- when you 14

And, you know, when you are -- when you learn as a student to perform sterile compounding, you know, it is the same on the level -- I mean, I do the same things that a pharmacist would. So I experienced sterile compounding, for example.

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

2.4

25

Pharmaceutical calculations, do you really need to be a pharmacist? I mean, this is a course that can be taught by a PhD, by somebody that, you know, has understanding of how to calculate.

So I don't think that you -- it depends, of course, on your level of expertise on subject matter, but there are definitely areas that you can teach and you don't have to be a pharmacist or a

1 practicing pharmacist, you just have to have an 2 in-depth knowledge. In your CV where you talk about you are 3 Ο. 4 assistant professor of clinical and pharmaceutical 5 studies, you say you taught the majority of hospital-related lab class work, including TPN 6 compounding, IV and chemical -- I'm sorry, IV and 7 chemotherapy preparation and USP 797 training. 8 9 Is your compounding experience, your sterile compounding experience related to pharmacy, 10 11 what you learned in pharmacy school, plus what you 12 learned in your internships? 13 Absolutely that, and also I took some other Α. 14 I took -- there was a course that was 15 offered through -- I think it's called Critical -- I 16 think they are called Critical Point. It's a company 17 that offers this in-depth sterile compounding training. I took that. 18 I take a number of continuing education 19 2.0 courses every year to further my understanding of 21 sterile compounding. You know, truth be told, sterile compounding, it's a very unique area of 22 23 pharmacy. And I can tell you there are a lot of 2.4 25 pharmacists out there who have never done sterile

1 compounding, so it's something that's unique. (WHEREUPON, a document was marked as 2 Exhibit Number 5.) 3 BY MR. SUTHERLAND: 4 I want to go back to 2010, though, when you 5 Ο. graduated from pharmacy school. 6 Uh-huh. 7 Α. You began teaching about compounding. 8 Ο. 9 what I want to know is, where did you get the sterile compounding experience that you were starting to 10 teach in May of 2010; where did that come from? 11 12 Well, I was a pharmacy intern in the Α. 13 hospital, and so I performed sterile compounding 14 You know, you perform it under USP guidelines 15 under USP Chapter 797 under the guidance of 16 pharmacists, and you work side-by-side with the 17 pharmacists. And those were part-time positions while you 18 Ο. 19 were in pharmacy school? 2.0 Α. Yes. 21 Ο. Okay. MR. SUTHERLAND: Lynne, I think, 22 23 Dr. Almgren, we have been going for about an hour and 40 minutes. If it's okay, why don't we take about a 2.4 25 10-minute break.

1 MS. LEONARD: That sounds fine to me. Then we'll come back and 2 MR. SUTHERLAND: 3 get started again. MS. LEONARD: 4 I quess 10:47, I quess, 5 something like that for you? MR. SUTHERLAND: Let's just say 10:50, 6 7 11:50 Eastern. 8 MS. LEONARD: Great. Thank you. (An off-the-record discussion was held.) 9 BY MR. SUTHERLAND: 10 11 Dr. Almgren, you are on mute, so I'm going to 12 remind you -- thank you. 13 We were talking about your CV and your 14 experience. And when we broke, I had -- we talked 15 about you graduated from pharmacy school in 2010, and 16 your full-time job after you graduated was an 17 academic position with South University College of 18 Pharmacy. And then you worked for a couple of years 19 on the weekends, as you have described it, either for 2.0 Rite Aid or for UnitedHealthCare; is that accurate? 21 Α. Yes. And then in 2013 -- oh, I left something off. 22 Q. 2.3 You were also -- you also list adjunct faculty at University of Florida Distance Program, 2.4 25 University School of Pharmacy, January '11 to May

- 1 '14. So that was just you were teaching remotely for
- 2 the University of Florida?
- 3 A. That's correct.
- 4 Q. And then in the fall of 2013 you went back to
- 5 | the University of South Carolina; is that right?
- 6 A. That's correct.
- 7 Q. In another academic position as a clinical
- 8 assistant -- I'm sorry, no.
- 9 As a clinical assistant professor at the
- 10 University of South Carolina College of Pharmacy,
- 11 right?
- 12 A. That's correct.
- 13 Q. And you have been doing that until the
- 14 present?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. You also during that time have had a couple
- of other jobs, and I want to talk to you about all of
- 18 it. But your full-time job is teaching, right?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. And has been -- well, it has been since you
- 21 | graduated from pharmacy school, but since '13 at the
- 22 University of South Carolina College of Pharmacy?
- 23 A. Correct.
- 24 Q. You also list two part-time jobs -- well, I
- 25 shouldn't say part-time. You tell me.

1 From 2013 to 2018, you were a hospital 2 pharmacist for Palmetto Health Richland Hospital, 3 correct? 4 Α. Yes, correct. And first of all, was that a part-time 5 Ο. 6 position? The way that this works is, typically in 7 Α. professional type of programs like the College of 8 Medicine, College of Pharmacy, a lot of times the 9 faculty has a dual appointment. 10 11 And so this is what hospital pharmacists 12 and the outsourcing pharmacists -- both of those 13 positions are basically part of my full-time job. So 14 I split my work. I'm not at the college full time. 15 I split my work hours between hospital --16 initially, it was between hospital, between Palmetto 17 Health and the university. 18 And then there was an open opportunity 19 that I took because I felt it fit better my 2.0 qualifications, and now I work as an outsourcing 21 pharmacist and, again, as a part of my faculty appointment. 22 So I'm actually half the time at the 23 college, and half the time at the -- wherever your 2.4 25 position, your dual appointment is. So I am a

1 hospital pharmacist and I work for a hospital as, you 2 know, a regular part of a hospital team as a regular pharmacist, and I was teaching. 3 So let's talk about the position of hospital 4 Ο. 5 pharmacist at Palmetto Health Richland Hospital Pharmacy, Columbia, South Carolina, August of '13 to 6 September of '18. 7 So from the fall of '13 when you took the 8 position as a professor at the University of South 9 Carolina College of Pharmacy, until September of '18, 10 11 you are splitting these duties. And what I'd like to know, if you can 12 13 help me, is what does a day-to-day, week-to-week, 14 month-to-month look -- what does your work look like? 15 That would be helpful. 16 So as a faculty member, when I got hired in Α. 17 August of 2013, I was teaching in the health systems pharmacy labs. And that course ran Tuesday, 18 19 Wednesday and Thursday from 1:30 to 4:30. 2.0 And I was actually teaching everything 21 that's related to hospital pharmacy practice. sterile compounding, you know, compounding, sterile 22 23 compounding, anything that relates to that area. And, of course, hospital practice, how you review 2.4 25 orders, how you fill prescriptions in a hospital

1 setting, what goes on a prescription, what are some 2 of the regulations. 3 So I did that on Tuesday, Wednesday and 4 Thursday. And then my Mondays and Fridays I was at a 5 hospital working as a hospital pharmacist, just like all of the other pharmacists in that position. 6 7 So I would work in a cleanroom, depending on what your assignment for the day was. And there 8 9 is a schedule that you would go to and you could see, okay, you know, I'm working in a cleanroom, or I'm 10 verifying orders, or I'm verifying orders in a 11 cleanroom. You know, whatever the assignment was, is 12 13 where I was located for the day, and that would be my 14 Mondays and Fridays. So Monday and Friday was 15 hospital; and then Tuesday, Wednesday, Thursday was 16 university. 17 Ο. Okay. And that was the way it was -- that's 18 the way it started, but was it that way every 19 semester? 2.0 Yes, spring and fall. It was two separate Α. 21 courses. So there's an Introduction to Health Systems Pharmacy, which is a full course for the P2 22 2.3 year. And so I would teach the introduction in the fall, and in the spring semester it was Advanced 2.4 25 Health Systems Pharmacy.

1 Ο. Did you --2 Α. I'm sorry. Go ahead. 3 Q. What I was going to say, that pattern 4 Α. continued spring and fall. And in the summer I would 5 just work two days in the hospital, and it didn't 6 have to be Monday and Friday. It could be other days 7 at that point because I didn't have class. 8 And then the rest of the time I was at 9 university trying to revamp the course and, you know, 10 11 make sure that I have sufficient supplies and kind 12 of, you know, get things together for the class. 13 All right. And was that the way it was from Ο. 14 August of '13 until September of '18? 15 Α. That's when I do the labs. 16 So I quess my question is, for that five-year Q. period, or '13 to '14, '14 to '15, '15 to '16, '16 to 17 '17, '17 to '18, you were Monday and Friday in the 18 hospital, Tuesday, Wednesday, Thursday at school? 19 2.0 I think what happened, about two years in the Α. 21 contracts stayed the same, but my days shifted around, because what happened is there was another 22 2.3 course that was being taught at university that needed the lab on Thursday, and so we had to switch 2.4

the lab to move it to be taught Monday, Tuesday,

25

Wednesday, so it changed the schedule, so then I would be in the hospital Thursday and Friday.

2.0

2.3

2.4

Also, my contract changed in the sense that I ended up switching. Instead of having two days in the hospital and then three days in the lab, what I did instead is I ended up still having the same amount of time contract-wise, I believe, you know, in the hospital, just change it where I would work in a hospital in the summer a little more to make up for the time that I was out.

You know, I would only work one day a week in the hospital instead of two, because it was really difficult to manage the course. When you think about it, you have 110 students three days a week, managing, you know, the supplies, the grading. I mean, the labs have a lot of paperwork that the students submit. It's a very busy course. There are quizzes. There are exams.

And so if you are two days in the hospital and then three days in university, you really have no time to regroup. And you have to set up the lab, which is practicals, for the next week.

And so I always felt extremely frazzled, because, you know, you are always on the go. You are either in the hospital or you are in the college, and

1 when you are in the college you are teaching, and so 2 I really never had time to regroup. And so I requested of the college if they 3 4 could change things around so I could have more time at the college, and then one extra day to regroup, 5 change things around, you know, for the lab to get 6 things together, and then move on the next week, you 7 know, and then just go from there. 8 9 So that I understand, for the first two years Ο. you were a professor at the University of South 10 11 Two days a week you were working at the 12 hospital. Three days a week you were at the 13 university? 14 Α. Yes. 15 And then in the summer you worked two days a 16 week in the hospital, and the rest of the time at school --17 18 Α. Yes. 19 -- doing preparation work? 2.0 And then in your third year you cut back 21 your time in the hospital to account for increased coursework? 22 2.3 Α. Yes. 2.4 Ο. Course preparation work? 25 Α. Yes.

- 1 Q. One day in the hospital, and then maybe a little more time in the summers? 2 3 Α. Yes. 4 Ο. Okay. 5 So in the summer I would be in the hospital Α. two days as doing the contract, but I would try to 6 7 make up those one days that I missed during semester. We would spread them out over summer. 8 9 And so you would go to the hospital, and who Ο. would -- were you working under the direction of 10 11 somebody at the hospital? 12 Α. Yes. 13 And who would that be? Ο. 14 Α. So there was a different manager. 15 started, Jennifer Bayer was my direct supervisor.
 - A. So there was a different manager. When I started, Jennifer Bayer was my direct supervisor.

 And she was more or less a supervisor for the department the rest of the time too.

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

2.4

25

There were direct supervisors that would change, because people came and go, but Jennifer Bayer was the one that was really supervising the operations.

Q. I apologize. I'm not asking for you to tell me their names. I don't really need to know their names. But the position of the person that was supervising your work at the hospital was who? What

- 1 was the position; the pharmacy director?
- 2 A. No, it was not pharmacy director. Well, the
- 3 | pharmacy director is the one who oversees that whole
- 4 department. I believe it's called lead pharmacist.
- 5 Lead pharmacist is who does the scheduling and
- 6 managing of the hours.
- 7 Q. All right. And so you were being directed by
- 8 the lead pharmacist at the hospital?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. And you'd just do whatever they needed you to
- 11 do the days you were there?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. All right. And did that involve dispensing
- 14 | medication?
- 15 A. Sure.
- 16 Q. Filling prescriptions --
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. -- for patients at the hospital?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Counseling patients?
- 21 A. Sometimes, yes.
- Q. What would you say the majority of the work
- 23 at the hospital is or was during that period,
- 24 five-year period? The majority of the day you are
- 25 | there, what are you doing?

1 Α. Probably verifying orders, reviewing orders, medications. 2 And so that's how that went until September 3 Q. of '18; is that right? 4 5 Α. Yes. Then you took this position with 6 Okav. Ο. 7 Nephron Pharmaceuticals Company? 8 Α. Yes. 9 Tell me about Nephron Pharmaceuticals Ο. 10 Company. 11 So at Nephron I am a clinical advisor, so I work more in the drug development area. So it kind 12 13 of goes back to my roots of coming from the Pharma. 14 And what I do is I help with whenever we 15 have -- for example, we are a 503B compounding 16 company, so it is a compounding pharmacy. 17 Nephron is a 503B outsourcing facility, and so we are a compounding pharmacy. We perform 18 19 aseptic compounding. All of our products that we 2.0 make are injectables. 21 I help with a lot of different tasks. You know, you have to keep in mind, when I started, 22 23 we just started the outsourcing. Like, it was not in operation that long, so there was a lot of tweaking 2.4 25 going on in terms of standard operating procedures,

- in terms of formulation procedures. And so I helped with development of some of those.
- I helped with -- nowadays, we have come a
- 4 long way, and we definitely have a lot of this now
- 5 already figured out in terms of how to do things in
- 6 the best way to follow CGMP.
- 7 Q. Let me stop you for just a second. So in
- 8 September of '18 to the present -- and I'm going to
- 9 get into some of the details. But from September '18
- 10 to present, you have been part time, or I quess
- 11 | full-time academic with this part-time component of
- 12 outsourcing pharmacists and clinical specialists,
- 13 right?
- 14 A. Correct.
- 15 Q. Like you said before. So tell me, does it
- 16 work similarly?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. How does it work between, you know, your
- 19 teaching and what you do -- like, what you do
- 20 day-to-day?
- 21 A. It's very similar to what I have described
- 22 previously. So I'm in my practice side portion of
- 23 the week and I'm at the university a portion of the
- 24 week.
- 25 O. Give me a little more detail. How many days

1 are you teaching? And then when you are not teaching at the university or doing teaching work, where are 2 3 you and what are you doing? 4 Α. So it really depends. So some weeks I'll be 5 more at Nephron, and then some weeks I'll be more at 6 the university. It really depends on my teaching schedule. 7 8 Ο. Okay. Has it been variable since you started 9 at Nephron? 10 Α. Yes. 11 About how much time percentage-wise are you Ο. at school and how much percentage at Nephron; is it 12 13 50/50? 14 Α. It's supposed to be 50/50. But I'm probably 15 more at Nephron, because I also precept. So I do 16 clinical teaching, meaning I have my pharmacy 17 students with me, so that's another component of teaching that I do now on a larger scale. 18 19 I precepted before, but I precept on a 2.0 much larger scale now. So what I do is I have 21 pharmacy students every month, and this is a part of their required curriculum. 22 2.3 So, as I was mentioning earlier, the APPE, the Advanced Pharmacy Practice Experience, this 2.4

is in order for the pharmacy students to be licensed

25

to be pharmacists. They have to have a certain number of hours that they complete as a part of their rotations, the clinical rotations.

2.0

2.3

2.4

And so part of their training in their last year of pharmacy school are these clinical rotations. And so they come with me to Nephron and they basically spend an entire calendar month working on different projects. They shadow me and they shadow on some of my other co-preceptors as well.

- Q. One question I forgot to ask you, when you were -- for both of these situations. So when you are the hospital pharmacist at Palmetto Health Richland Hospital Pharmacy, were you a hospital employee?
- A. No. I don't believe -- well, I'm not really sure in terms of exact employment arrangement there, but I think it's something along the lines of the university has a contract with the hospital, because I did have a badge at the hospital.

I did have -- you know, I am a part of -you know, I appear to be like a regular employee, so
I have to follow all of the policies and procedures
at the hospital, have to go to all of the training
that a hospital requires. So I'm assuming, from that
perspective, yes.

- 1 Q. Do you get paid by the hospital?
- 2 A. The hospital pays directly to the university,
- and I am considered a university employee.
- 4 Q. So is your salary -- do you have a salary for
- 5 your professor position and do you get paid
- 6 separately, are those distinct components, or you
- 7 just have one salary?
- 8 A. It's just one.
- 9 Q. Okay. So there's no -- is it the same with
- 10 Nephron?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. So you are not an employee of Nephron?
- 13 A. I don't know technically how that works
- because, again, I have a badge. I have to follow
- 15 their requirements in terms of all of the employee
- 16 requirements. I have to go to training. Whatever
- 17 policies they have, I follow all of them.
- 18 Q. So do all pharmacy professors do, sort of,
- 19 part-time things where they are working for hospitals
- 20 and pharmaceutical companies?
- MS. LEONARD: Object to the form. You
- 22 can answer.
- 23 BY MR. SUTHERLAND:
- 24 Q. Are you aware of other pharmacy professors
- 25 who do similar work to what you are doing?

- A. Absolutely. The majority of my colleagues does, yes.
- Q. Okay. And so in the case of Palmetto Health
- 4 Richland, you were aware that they were paying the
- 5 university for your work?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. And the same with Nephron, they pay the
- 8 University of South Carolina for your work there?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Okay. So you are not compensated in any way
- 11 by Nephron Pharmaceuticals Company?
- 12 A. No. If I wanted to work separate -- let's
- 13 say if I wanted to get another position, you know,
- 14 that would be different. But as a part of what I
- 15 | have, my clinical assignment, I am not directly
- 16 compensated.
- 17 Q. When you go to the company, most of the time
- 18 you are going by yourself, right, to work there, when
- 19 you go to work at the company?
- 20 You mentioned taking students with you,
- 21 but most of the time you are going out there and
- 22 doing work for them by yourself; is that right?
- 23 A. Truth be told, I have my students with me
- 24 probably nine out of 12 months.
- 25 Q. Every time you go to the company?

- 1 A. They are there.
- Q. Okay. And so you said that Nephron is a 503B
- 3 compounding pharmacy. Tell me, what is a 503B
- 4 compounding pharmacy?
- 5 A. So 503B compounding pharmacy is a type of
- 6 | pharmacy where you can compound medications on a
- 7 | larger scale. You have to follow CGMP requirements,
- 8 of course, but you don't have to have a prescription.
- 9 So that is a part of the DQSA of 2013,
- 10 the law that was passed due to the NECC, the New
- 11 | England Compounding Center.
- 12 Q. I'm going to stop you because you are using
- 13 lots of initials and acronyms here.
- So you said that a 503B does large-scale
- 15 compounding and has to comply with CGMP. What's
- 16 | that?
- 17 A. Current Good Manufacturing Practices.
- 18 Q. Okay. And it was -- the CGMP was based
- 19 upon -- as part of what? I think the DQ --
- 20 A. -- SA, Drug Quality and Safety Act [sic] of
- 21 2013.
- 22 Q. Go ahead.
- 23 A. That's all.
- Q. So you have to comply with CGMP, it's part of
- 25 the Drug Quality Safety Act. And what else that

would encompass a 503B compounding pharmacy?

A. So before 2013, the New England Compounding

Center, that was another acronym I used, the NECC,

New England Compounding Center, was a compounding

pharmacy in Massachusetts that had produced

large-scale batches of medications, and they sold

them to pharmacies.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

2.4

25

These drugs were contaminated because they did not use proper aseptic technique, and many patients were harmed and even died. And so because of that, this DQSA Act was passed, because we have such a severe drug shortages right now in the health systems in general.

There are just medications that are unavailable, and the patients need them. And a lot of times these medicines don't have another alternative, they are the only medicine that you can use.

And so because of that, this DQSA was passed, which allows pharmacies to do -- pharmacies to do large-scale compounding.

So up until 2013, you could only compound per USP 797 according to 503A regulations. And so with the passage of the DQSA Act, now we have an alternative.

And so you have pharmacies that can practice pharmacy and compound on a large scale as per 503B regulations, so those prescriptions -- those medications do not require a prescription.

So if you are going to compound for a 503A, you have to have a patient-specific prescription. If you are compounding for 503B, you do not have to have a patient prescription. You compound just a general large amount of medication. You can make big batches, and then sell those.

Q. How big?

2.0

2.4

A. They can be anywhere -- it really depends on the size of the pharmacy. So there is no -- I don't know that there is any, you know, guidance on the minimum, but most of the time it can be in the thousands.

You just have to follow -- you just have to follow CGMP requirements, so you have to put in place quality and safety measures to make sure that the entire batch produced is safe.

Q. So if I understand correctly, so there are 503A compounding pharmacies, which are like sort of a retail pharmacy that does small batch compounding based on a specific prescription from a provider to a patient, right?

- 1 A. Correct.
- Q. And the 503B does large-scale compounding
- 3 | that provides medications for multiple patients, and
- 4 is that through a retail pharmacy? Do you-all sell
- 5 to retail pharmacies?
- 6 A. No, you sell directly to the hospital.
- 7 Q. So Nephron's products are sold to hospitals?
- 8 A. Yes. Now, we also have a manufacturing
- 9 division, because Nephron has a tradition -- they
- 10 have been in the business before the 503B was even
- 11 around.
- 12 And Nephron is a manufacturer of
- 13 albuterol, ipratropium, so some of the inhalation,
- 14 also sterile preparations. So they have been around,
- 15 and that was the main core business with them for
- 16 years.
- 17 Q. There's a Nephron compounding -- there's a
- 18 compounding component of Nephron, and then there's
- 19 another, a manufacturer?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. You said earlier that they only do
- 22 injectables, are you talking about the compounding,
- Nephron compounding?
- 24 A. Yes. The injectables are the compounding
- 25 | side, that's our 503B division, and then we have a

1 manufacturing division which is mostly inhalation 2 products. However, we have filed for a few ANDAs, 3 so we are now becoming a generic manufacturer for 4 5 some injectables. So when you talk about -- when you said the 6 compounding portion of Nephron, is that Nephron 7 Sterile Compounding Center? 8 Are you asking about exact business name? 9 Α. Well, there's Nephron Pharmaceuticals 10 Ο. 11 Corporation, and then there's Nephron Pharmaceutical 12 Corporation, doing business as Nephron Sterile 13 Compounding Center. 14 Do you work with the sterile compounding 15 center? 16 Α. I work with both, but primarily the sterile 17 compounding, yes. 18 Okay. Are you familiar with Nephron Sterile Ο. 19 Compounding Center? 2.0 Α. Yes. 21 Ο. Okay. And you work directly with them? 22 Α. Yes. As part of your arrangement with the 23 Ο. university? 2.4

25

Α.

Yes.

1 Q. Okay. And what are the differences between 2 the requirements for a 503A pharmacy and a 503B 3 pharmacy? 4 And I know -- I'm sure there are varying 5 degrees of complexity, and we don't have all day, but if you could just sort of give me a thumbnail sketch 6 of the primary differences between a 503A and a 503B, 7 that would be good in terms of --8 Okay. So the 503A pharmacy follows USP 797 9 Α. Those are the minimum requirements to 10 11 follow. So the USP 797, that chapter basically 12 13 describes for a practitioner how to prepare safe, 14 sterile compounded products. So you need to follow 15 that exactly as stated or better. If you can have 16 your procedure better than what the 797 requires, 17 better yet. So the 503B compounding requires that you 18 19 follow CGMP, and so CGMP is more focused on like a 2.0 manufacturing type of a setting. So there are a lot of additional tests 21 that are required per CGMP than they have to do in 22 23 the manufacturing setting to assure that our products are safe and effective for the patients. And so 2.4 25 while --

Q. Why is that? Why is that?

2.0

2.4

A. That is because while the USP 797 typically requires -- you have a prescription and you are going to dispense it to the patient and the medication will be used in a relatively short time, it's assumed. You have a prescription that's patient specific and it's written to be used.

When we compound our products on the large scale in the outsourcing, what happens are these products are drugs that will be shipped to hospitals, and so it may be another week or two before they are used. And so because of that -- or maybe even longer. And so we have to assure that the packaging withstands the shipping.

So, like I said, there are multiple quality measures in place to make sure that the drug itself gets where it needs to get safely, that it does not degrade, that it has the potency -- when it gets to the patient, it has the potency that we promised it would, that we say it does, and that type of stuff. So it is a little more intricate.

And, of course, there are multiple precautions put in place in terms of 503B compounding to minimize the potential for -- potential, for example, of microbial contamination.

1 So while in a 503A environment you don't 2 gown -- you don't gown much. Like, you can still have certain areas of skin exposed, for example. 3 4 Because 503B, again, the assumption is 5 the beyond use date, which will be relatively short, and so there is not as much concern with 6 contamination, because, hopefully, the drug will be 7 8 dispensed soon. 9 When it comes to 503B, we compound and these medications will be sent and transported, and 10 11 so the quidelines on how to manufacture are very 12 stringent. 13 And that's because it can affect a lot more Ο. 14 people too, right? 15 Absolutely, yes. Absolutely, that's 16 definitely. You know, I didn't mention that, but, 17 yes, that's definitely a big concern as well. So Nephron Compounding Center is under the 18 Ο. 19 503B requirements that are much stricter because they 2.0 are producing large quantities of compounded 21 medications that are going to be used in hospitals all over the country, right? 22 2.3 Α. Correct. MS. LEONARD: Object to the form. 2.4 /// 25

- 1 BY MR. SUTHERLAND:
- Q. You say in your CV that you oversee
- 3 formulations and filling operations for Nephron; is
- 4 that right?
- 5 A. So I collaborated the departments that do --
- 6 I used to do more of that when I started. Now the
- 7 | scale is so large that I cannot oversee every single
- 8 | formulation and every single filling operation, but I
- 9 do assist with that.
- 10 Q. Tell me -- so at Nephron, what -- tell me
- 11 again how many days a week on average you are working
- 12 at the company.
- 13 A. Again, it depends. It depends on -- it's
- 14 week to week, depending on what goes on at the
- 15 | college, how many students I have on rotation with
- 16 me.
- 17 Q. On average, how many days a month are you at
- 18 Nephron?
- 19 A. So a month, I can't say. But a week, I would
- 20 say at least three. Two to three is very common,
- 21 sometimes more; three probably would be the least.
- 22 Q. All right. And when you are at Nephron, tell
- 23 | me what you are doing while you are there during the
- 24 day.
- 25 A. Again, it really depends. Nephron is a very

unique site. We do -- I'm involved in a lot of different things, anything from product development, where I attend meetings where we discuss short-term plans, as in what are we making this week; looking at shortage lists and determining what are we going to be making moving forward.

2.0

2.4

I have to look at -- if we decide, let's say we are going to do a new product, and I will look at the formulation and we will discuss how to best prepare it, we do some trial batches.

If I go to meetings for the long-term planning, we are looking at potentially filing some ANDAs. So I'm involved in providing feedback on how we are going to proceed in terms of the new drug -- Abbreviated New Drug Application plan, what is the next drug that we should focus on.

And we look at our market analysis. I even look at that. Then I look back at formulation, how it's used in clinical setting.

A lot of these products may have multiple strength, multiple different packaging configurations, so we typically don't want to make all of them. You have to focus on what would be -- what would make the most sense in the facility and the workforce that we have, so I focus on that.

1 Then I'm involved in currently we are 2 working on a cleaning validation revamp, so we perform cleaning validation -- well, the cleaning 3 4 team performs cleaning validation of the system in 5 between the products, but I help with determining the cleaning validation limits, and those are based on, 6 7 you know, literature. So a variety of projects. So is there -- there are injectables 8 Ο. Yeah. 9 being compounded at the compounding center, right? Α. Yes. 10 11 In Columbia? Ο. 12 Α. Yes. 13 And are you ever in the compounding center Ο. 14 where they are compounding these injectables? 15 Α. I mean, the plant where I am is all together, 16 so I am at the center. 17 Ο. Are you ever in the place where they are 18 doing the compounding? 19 As a matter of fact, I'm getting gown 2.0 certified next week so I can go back in. Gown 21 certification has to be every six months. So I get gown certified and I take my students back in there, 22 23 and sometimes I'll go and look and see how things are done. 2.4 25 We had some questions, for example, about

- 1 | the way that product was made, and so I would go in
- 2 there and actually observe. I don't -- if you are
- 3 asking if I compound myself; no.
- 4 Q. Do you observe the compounding being done?
- 5 A. I do sometimes; not daily, but I do.
- 6 Q. You said "not daily"?
- 7 A. Right.
- 8 Q. Yeah. Do you frequently observe the
- 9 compounding?
- 10 A. What's considered frequently?
- 11 Q. Well, using your definition. Do you
- 12 regularly observe compounding that's being done there
- 13 when you are there?
- 14 A. I do, because I take my students, and so we
- 15 | will go and observe.
- 16 Q. And how do you do that? How do you observe?
- 17 Is it like glass and you are, like, looking in where
- 18 it's being done?
- 19 A. It depends. For example, once my students
- 20 are gown certified, we go in to observe.
- 21 Q. Right.
- 22 A. Like before they get gown certified -- as in,
- 23 | I have my students starting very recently. And so
- 24 | they will be gown certified on Monday, so we'll all
- 25 go and then we'll go in. So once they are gown

1 certified, they will be able to go in. 2 So maybe the first week of the month -before they get gown certified, we can't go in. 3 might just show them -- like, I show them through the 4 5 glass what happens, but we will go and do an in-person once they are able to. 6 When was the last time you did any sterile 7 Ο. compounding? 8 When was the last time I did sterile 9 Α. compounding, as in I compounded? 10 11 Uh-huh. Ο. 12 That would be -- are you asking about now or Α. 13 any? 14 Ο. Any. 15 Α. I would say when I was teaching and I was 16 still in the hospital, is when I did it. So that 17 would be 20- -- whenever I was a hospital employee, so I would say sometime in 2017-2018. I do not 18 19 remember exactly when, but it would be back then. 2.0 When I was teaching the lab, I prepared a number of 21 sterile compounds on a daily and weekly basis. 22 I would work in the hospital and I would come and demonstrate for students, I would prepare 23 compounds, a great number of compounds, and even very 2.4 25 complex ones.

As in, when I was teaching sterile compounding, we would make a TPN, total parenteral nutrition product -- I'm sorry, when I was compounding with my students and they were preparing things like TPN, total parenteral nutrition, those are extremely complex preparations, and I would -- I would prepare those.

2.0

2.4

I prepared hazardous drug compounds using closed system transfer devices. The CSTDs, that's a relatively complex procedure. So I would say, while I was teaching the lab, I was compounding.

Now as an outsourcing pharmacist, I don't compound directly. And that's because in the outsourcing environment, per CGMP, I would have to do a special certification, and so I don't do that.

Plus, the way that we compound at Nephron, it's really large scale, so it's really not so much hands-on manipulation. You know, we call it compounding, but really it comes down to really mixing and preparing the drugs.

And those are done on a large scale as in, you know, you have bigger bags and you have -- you know, you add -- you weigh out APIs and you add the powders in, and so it's done on a different scale now.

1 So I observe these. I help with 2 providing feedback on those, but I don't practice. am not compounding with my hands, formulating it. 3 4 Ο. I understand. You help people -- you help 5 Nephron and its employees comply with the regulatory requirements, USP and CGMP, and others? 6 7 Α. Yes. And when you said a bunch of stuff about, 8 Ο. 9 when you were in the lab, about compounding you did. When you talked about compounding with the students, 10 11 are you talking about at the school? 12 So you have --Α. Yes. 13 What happens to those compounds? What are Ο. 14 they used for? 15 So these are not used. They are prepared 16 exactly as a normal compound would be, because the 17 lab that we have at the college, it is perfectly ISO Class 5, ISO Class 7 compliant. 18 19 So our facilities at the college, I 2.0 really take pride in that because that's really 21 something very unique about our College of Pharmacy. We have sterile compounding facilities that are up to 22 23 all of the regulations. Simply, you could use the products that we make in patients. They are 2.4 25 sterile --

1 Ο. So what do you do with them? 2 Α. So we don't use them. They are really strictly just to -- you know, we compound them and 3 4 then we dispose of them. 5 And after you compound them, do you do anything with them? 6 Object to the form. 7 MS. LEONARD: What would we do with them? 8 THE WITNESS: I'm not sure I understand. 9 BY MR. SUTHERLAND: 10 Do you send them off for testing or do 11 you-all test them in the school? 12 13 Oh, good guestion. So, yes, in some -- yes, Α. 14 depending on what product we make, we do have 15 exercises where we actually test the accuracy of the 16 preparation. So, yes, there are times when we do test them. 17 Do you always do potency and sterility tests 18 19 on the preparations that you do in the school? 2.0 Not necessarily, because there is no need for Α. 21 These are not going to be used. I mean, if it was used in a patient, in a person, that would be 22 different. 2.3

Case 3:18-cv-**51284-Browntword 8Reporting 05/47/20ceB**age **1615/5295-Page3**D #: **103**64 www.EliteReportingServices.com

recognized specialty in your profession?

2.4

25

Ο.

Okay. Let me ask you this. Do you have any

1 Α. I'm not sure I understand what you are 2 asking. Do you have any recognized specialty as a 3 Q. 4 pharmacist? You mean if I'm board certified? 5 Α. Well, doctors have specialties, I'm just 6 Ο. asking you if you have any particular specialty in 7 the practice of pharmacy. 8 I would say sterile compounding. 9 Α. Okay. And who recognizes that as a specialty 10 Ο. 11 that you have? Is there a certification or from an 12 13 organization that would recognize you as having a 14 specialty in -- I'm sorry, as having a specialty in 15 compounding? 16 Α. So there is -- recently there was an approval 17 for the board certification in sterile compounding, and I'm working on that, but I have not had time yet 18 19 to take the exam. 2.0 So I will be pursuing that, as well as 21 I'm pursuing a RAC certification, which is like a Regulatory Affairs certificate, as well. 22 Let me ask you this. Can you identify for me 23 the sources of your knowledge in the area of 2.4

compounding, sterile compounding?

25

1 Where does your knowledge of sterile compounding come from? 2 So I have practiced -- you know, when I was a 3 Α. 4 student intern at Lexington Medical Center, I performed a lot of sterile compounding. 5 I have performed sterile compounding 6 while teaching the courses, the lab courses. 7 worked in a hospital where I performed sterile 8 9 compounding. I have done a lot of research on sterile 10 11 compounding. I have taken certification, not --12 these are courses, not -- continuing education. 13 That's what I meant to say. Continuing education 14 courses that deal with sterile compounding. 15 I went to the critical point training in 16 Colorado that offers like a three-day or five-day, 17 whatever, boot camp in sterile compounding, so I have 18 So that has been my primary focus in my done that. 19 practice. 2.0 In terms of actually doing sterile Ο. 21 compounding, would you say your experience as a student intern and then your work at the Palmetto 22 23 Health Richland Hospital Pharmacy is the primary sort of actual sterile compounding experience you have 2.4 25 had?

- A. That as well as teaching. I mean, I prepare sterile compounds with my students in the lab. And, you know, we do those, we use real medications in the lab course. We use all of the -- you know, we follow all of the procedures as you would, you know, in the
- Q. But you don't use those on patients, though, right?
- 9 A. Right, but that doesn't matter. They are
 10 still prepared that they could be used. They are
 11 prepared according to all of the regulations.
- Q. What would they have to be -- what would have to be done to them before they could be used on a patient?
- 15 A. Nothing. I mean, they are --

real world.

- Q. Somebody have to -- would somebody have to,
 like, test them to make sure that they were -- that
 they met all of the USP requirements in terms of
 potency and sterility and all of that?
- A. Well, it depends. It depends on how are they going to be dispensed and where are they going to be dispensed to and all of that.
- Q. You listed in your report that you have
 worked as an expert in -- in the last four years you
 have provided testimony at trial or by deposition in

1 three cases; is that correct? I'll have to double-check. That sounds 2 3 right. MR. SUTHERLAND: Rob, can you pull up 4 5 Exhibit 2, the top of page 2? THE WITNESS: Yes, I see them. 6 7 BY MR. SUTHERLAND: In the matter of the Federal Bureau of 8 Ο. 9 Prisons' execution protocol, Swearingen versus Davis and Pizzuto versus Tewalt, a case in Texas and one in 10 11 Idaho, are those the only three cases that you have 12 provided testimony in in the last four years? 13 I believe so. So the first one there was Α. 14 a -- I think there was just one testimony. Looking 15 at this, I think I consulted with the attorneys and I 16 provided them all of the information, and this is 17 what they summarized. 18 THE WITNESS: Is that right, Lynne? 19 I know I provided a list of the cases. 2.0 In that first one, I think there were a couple 21 different expert opinions, if -- I believe that I did, but they summarized them as one because it was 22 23 the same case. I'll interject. 2.4 MS. LEONARD: Yes. 25 think that some of the issue here might be clarifying

1 testimony versus expert report. I understand. 2 MR. SUTHERLAND: MS. LEONARD: Which we all should have 3 4 done, and that's our mistake on the lawyers' end. 5 But for purposes of the questioning, I think there might be a little confusion there. 6 7 MR. SUTHERLAND: I got you. 8 BY MR. SUTHERLAND: Dr. Almgren, have you provided an expert 9 Ο. opinion in the form of expert reports in any other 10 cases in the last three years? 11 I think this is what -- like I said, I think 12 Α. 13 the first one. There may have been a couple, but it 14 was under the same umbrella, so --15 Q. I understand. 16 Α. So that's all, I believe. Yes. 17 Ο. All right. And all of those cases involve 18 the lethal injection protocol litigation; is that 19 right? 2.0 Α. Correct. 21 And your consultation in all of those cases Ο. has been with attorneys for the inmate; is that 22 2.3 right? 2.4 Α. Yes. 25 Have you ever consulted with the state in Ο.

1 regard to any lethal injection protocol? 2 Α. No. 3 Q. Other than this case, have you ever consulted 4 with any state or with any -- I'm sorry, with any 5 attorneys involving a protocol other than 6 pentobarbital? 7 Α. No, it has been so far pentobarbital. So this is the only case that you have 8 Ο. 9 consulted with any attorneys involving a protocol using the three drugs that we are talking about? 10 Correct. 11 Α. Okay. So, Lynne, I'm 12 MR. SUTHERLAND: about ready to move into the substance of the report. 13 14 Do you want to break? Do a short break for lunch 15 now -- I know it's 12:45 for you guys -- before I get 16 into some pretty substantive matters. I'm glad to do 17 it either way. I don't eat lunch. MS. LEONARD: I don't care. 18 19 Dr. Almgren, is this a good time for you 2.0 to take a lunch break? 21 THE WITNESS: I guess the guestion is how much more time are we going to need? Are we going to 22 go for an hour or is it going to be another four 2.3 hours? 2.4 25 MR. SUTHERLAND: It's going to be a

1	while.
2	THE WITNESS: Okay. So then I guess it's
3	probably better if we do take a break so I can
4	freshen up.
5	MR. SUTHERLAND: What would be good for
6	you? How long would you like?
7	MS. LEONARD: Let's see here, so it's
8	about 12:45.
9	MR. SUTHERLAND: About 1:30?
10	THE WITNESS: Yeah, that's perfect.
11	MR. SUTHERLAND: Is that okay, Lynne?
12	MS. LEONARD: That's fine with me.
13	MR. SUTHERLAND: 1:30 Eastern time, 12:30
14	Central. Is that okay with everybody else? Is there
15	anybody else that needs more time than that that's on
16	here?
17	I'm specifically going to ask Rob
18	Mitchell, because he's always hungry. Is 45 minutes
19	long enough for you, Rob?
20	MR. MITCHELL: I'm punching the Jimmy
21	John's app as we speak.
22	MR. SUTHERLAND: Let's plan to be back at
23	12:30 Central, 1:30 Eastern.
24	MS. LEONARD: Okay.
25	(Lunch break.)

- 1 BY MR. SUTHERLAND:
- Q. Dr. Almgren, thanks for coming back. We
- 3 didn't scare you away yet?
- 4 A. No, it's fine.
- 5 Q. When we took the break earlier, did you talk
- 6 to anybody?
- 7 A. No.
- 8 Q. Nobody communicated with you about the case?
- 9 A. No, no.
- 10 Q. Okay. When were you -- can you tell me when
- 11 you were retained to provide expert opinion in the
- 12 case?
- 13 A. When as in what date was I retained?
- 14 Q. I'm not asking you for the specific day, but
- 15 | just generally. Can you tell me approximately when
- 16 | it was? Or if you know the date, you can tell me.
- 17 A. I do not know, I would have to look back. I
- 18 have a very busy schedule and a lot of things
- 19 | happening in my schedule. I would have to look back
- 20 and see when I signed the contract.
- 21 0. Was it in 2021?
- 22 A. Yes, I think so.
- 23 Q. Last year?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. Was it in the spring, summer, fall?

- A. Honestly, I can't even guess, because I don't remember.
- Q. Okay. Was it definitely last year, though?
- 4 A. Oh, boy, I'll have to -- I can look at the
- 5 contract and tell you the exact date. Honestly, I do
- 6 not recall.
- 7 Q. All right. And who contacted you about the
- 8 case, about providing expert help in the case?
- 9 A. I also do not remember. Again, I don't
- 10 remember a date. I'm not sure. I can look at my
- 11 email and determine that.
- 12 MR. SUTHERLAND: Rob, could you pull up
- 13 Exhibit 3 -- I'm sorry, Exhibit 2. That would be
- 14 Dr. Almgren's initial report from November. And if
- 15 you could go to page 2, Materials Relied Upon.
- 16 BY MR. SUTHERLAND:
- 17 Q. Dr. Almgren, under numerical paragraph 5, do
- 18 you see that there on page 2?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. You say, "The attorneys who represent
- 21 death-sentenced prisoner Terry Lynn King asked me to
- 22 submit an expert medical and scientific opinion based
- 23 on the documentation provided to me about whether the
- 24 use of the three-drug protocol, and in particular
- 25 compounded medications, can cause a risk of harm and

unnecessary suffering." Do you see that? 1 2 Α. Yes. 3 Q. Is that the question you were asked to 4 answer? 5 Α. Yes. Whether the three-drug protocol, and in 6 Ο. 7 particular compounded medications, can cause a risk of harm and unnecessary suffering? 8 9 Α. That's correct. And were there any other questions other than 10 Ο. 11 that one question that you were asked to answer? Not that I know of. 12 Α. 13 Okay. And when you say the three-drug Ο. 14 protocol, you are talking about Tennessee's 15 three-drug protocol? 16 Α. Yes. 17 Ο. I want to start and talk to you about your 18 initial report, to start with. And starting at page 3, "Standards governing." It's actually Roman 19 Numeral III on page 3. 2.0 21 "Standards governing the preparation of compounded medications and medication storage and 22 handling." Do you see that? 2.3 Let me see here. Yes. 2.4 Α.

25

Ο.

Okay.

1 Α. I pulled it up on my screen as well. 2 Ο. So just make sure that when you are talking about -- when I'm talking about your report, 3 4 I'm talking about what's on my screen; just make sure 5 that we are talking about what's on my screen as well, okay? 6 7 Α. Yes. It should be the same, but I just want to 8 Ο. 9 make sure I'm asking you questions about what's on my screen, not what's on yours. 10 11 Α. Okay. MS. LEONARD: Just for the record, they 12 should be the same, right? 13 14 MR. SUTHERLAND: Yeah, they should be the 15 same. 16 MS. LEONARD: Yeah. 17 MR. SUTHERLAND: For the testimony -- the record should be clear that I'm asking questions 18 19 about this. If she sees that there's a difference 2.0 than what's on the screen, she can let me know. 21 I'm representing, Dr. Almgren, that this is a copy of the report that was provided to me as 22 2.3 your report. And so when you -- as I understand it, you looked through it earlier and this report 2.4 25 matches -- is your report. So I just want to make

1 sure that we are talking about the same one, okay? 2 MS. LEONARD: Yeah. I think. 3 Dr. Almgren, did you pull up the report that was 4 emailed to you? 5 Yes, I did. THE WITNESS: MS. LEONARD: When you say that you are 6 7 looking at the report, that's what you are talking about, is the one that came out of the email? 8 9 THE WITNESS: Yes, that's correct. Scott, there shouldn't be 10 MS. LEONARD: any differences between what you are looking at and 11 looking at the email. 12 13 MR. SUTHERLAND: Yeah. 14 MS. LEONARD: Just so we have that all 15 clear. 16 BY MR. SUTHERLAND: 17 Ο. So, Dr. Almgren, let me start with this and 18 ask you. What is the United States Pharmacopeia? 19 USP itself; so that's kind of a big question, 2.0 because it's really a set of quidance or quidelines 21 that range from anywhere about, you know, talking about drug quality, to certain procedures, quality 22 2.3 measures. You know, it has a list of procedures that you are to follow, you know, when you perform quality 2.4 25 analyses.

1 It has a list of monographs that basically, you know, delineate the quality standards 2 for different drugs. So, you know, it's a really big 3 question. When you say: What is USP, that's kind of 4 5 like me asking you what is U.S. law. You know, it's a collection of many 6 7 different things, and that's what USP is. Tell me if you'd agree with this: 8 Ο. 9 compendium of quality requirements, quality specifications, practices and guidelines to achieve 10 11 the highest pharmaceutical quality for pharmacy 12 practice as well as the pharmaceutical industry. Is 13 that a fair definition? 14 Α. A fair definition, yes. 15 Ο. Okay. I'd next like to ask you, what is 16 USP 797? 17 Α. So that is a chapter from the compendium. So the compendium has a number of chapters. 18 19 chapters zero to 1,000 are required, you must follow 2.0 The ones above 1,000 are guidelines. those. 21 And a lot of times they are really more of explanations, like a follow-up, more of an 22 23 explanation for what the chapters stand for. So the Chapter 797 is a requirement, you 2.4 25 have to follow that one because it's below 1000.

1 that chapter basically describes what are the 2 appropriate practices for sterile compounding. 3 MR. SUTHERLAND: Rob, can you put up 4 Exhibit 5? We'll go ahead and send that to 5 Ms. Leonard and Dr. Almgren. BY MR. SUTHERLAND: 6 I think, Dr. Almgren -- well, we'll wait 7 until he pulls it up here. It should be a PDF of 8 9 USP 797. So Mr. Mitchell has got up there what 10 11 purports to be the most recent version of the 12 USP 797. And we are sending it to you, and if you 13 could look at it and tell me if you agree with that. 14 MS. LEONARD: It just came through to me, 15 so I just forwarded it to Dr. Almgren. 16 BY MR. SUTHERLAND: 17 Ο. Are you familiar with USP 797, Dr. Almgren? I am. 18 Α. 19 Ο. Have you seen this particular version of it? 2.0 I apologize, the dog. Α. 21 Yes, I have seen -- I have seen this This is the most recent one. 22 version. 2.3 Okay. Did you send a version like this to Ο. your counsel to provide to us? 2.4

25

Α.

Yes.

1 Q. Okay. If I told you that this was the 2 version, I believe, that they provided to us, do you have any reason to disagree with that? 3 4 Α. No. 5 Okay. Let me ask you this. From a regulatory standpoint, who is regulated by USP 797? 6 So this is a good interesting question that 7 Α. my students often ask. So the USP 797, the 8 9 compendium itself is written by experts in a field. And so those are folks that work in and are very 10 11 familiar with that particular -- whatever expertise 12 is addressed in a specific chapter. 13 So the USP itself is nongovernmental type 14 of organization, but the USP itself is -- the 15 quidelines themselves are enforced by FDA. 16 All right. And so they are enforced by FDA. Q. 17 But who is regulated by the -- I want to know who the regulated parties that FDA would enforce USP 797 18 19 against would be. 2.0 Who would those people be? 21 Α. I see. So, for example, drug industry, you know, the manufacturers of the drugs, they follow the 22 23 USP -- not 797; USP in general. We are talking about USP, right, not 797? 2.4 25 Are we talking about 797 specifically?

- 1 Q. I'm talking about 797.
- 2 A. Okay, specifically. So USP 797, obviously,
- 3 the FDA enforces, requires. And so these are the
- 4 best practices for the compounding.
- 5 So depending on how -- the different
- 6 | boards of pharmacy set up different Pharmacy Practice
- 7 Acts, a lot of the states in the United States have
- 8 accepted USP Chapter 797 as their quality standard.
- 9 Q. Right.
- 10 A. So if that happens, then that guideline is
- 11 acceptable and it's seen forceable by the Board of
- 12 Pharmacy of the state that had accepted this guidance
- as their sterile compounding guidance.
- 14 Q. Who is --
- 15 A. Also a lot --
- 16 Q. Let me finish my question.
- 17 Who is it enforceable against?
- 18 A. The pharmacies that compound according to
- 19 503A.
- 20 Q. Okay. Who else?
- 21 A. I mean, that's whoever prepares sterile
- 22 preparations.
- 23 | Q. So USP 797 is enforceable against the
- 24 pharmacy that prepares it?
- 25 A. Right.

1 Q. Is there anybody else that it's enforceable 2 against? 3 Α. I'm not sure I understand the question. As 4 in public? I don't know who you are asking. 5 I'm asking you if this applies -- USP 797 Ο. practices are enforceable by the FDA. 6 Who does the 7 FDA enforce it against? And you just said 8 pharmacies, compounding pharmacies. 9 Is there anyone else? So, as I said, if the Board of Pharmacy in a 10 Α. 11 state accepts USP Chapter 797 as a part of their 12 Pharmacy Practice Act. 13 Yes. Ο. 14 And the Board of Pharmacy will enforce, but, Α. 15 again, it's the pharmacies, and it can be a 16 compounding pharmacy. It can be a hospital pharmacy. 17 All of these pharmacies will have to follow the USP Chapter 797. 18 As a matter of fact, even in the states 19 2.0 where 797 is not accepted as a standard, which there 21 are just a very few states at this point left that have not accepted 797 in its entirety, what happens 22 23 in those states, typically the pharmacies will accept

and Medicaid Services requires that accreditation

the 797 as standards, because the Center for Medicare

2.4

- 1 bodies audit pharmacies. And the pharmacies must
- 2 follow 797 in order to get the accreditation in order
- 3 to get reimbursement from CMS.
- 4 Q. I understand. Once it leaves the compounding
- 5 | pharmacy, does 797 apply to anyone else?
- 6 A. Well, yes, because you need to make sure
- 7 | that, when the drug is handled, that whether it is a
- 8 | nurse that, maybe, applies the medication, or maybe a
- 9 doctor, whoever handles the medicine, the medication
- 10 should be continued to be handled according to 797.
- 11 Q. I understand it should be handled.
- 12 My question is, is it -- does the FDA
- 13 regulate 797 against anyone once it leaves the
- 14 | compounding pharmacist?
- 15 A. I'm not sure I quite follow your line of
- 16 question.
- 17 Q. Okay. So --
- 18 A. How would FDA -- just, yeah, explain that a
- 19 little more. I'm sorry.
- 20 Q. Okay. Well, if a compounded medication is
- 21 given to a nurse to administer, is the FDA going to
- 22 regulate how the nurse administers 797, or how the
- 23 | nurse administers the compounded medication?
- 24 A. So, technically, yes. If you look and you
- 25 | would like to reference the chapter, we can look at

the chapter itself and I can reference, the chapter covers all of the -- not just the preparation, but also handling.

2.0

2.4

And so technically -- to give you an example, to really explain in the real world what happens, so let's say in a hospital, if we have an audit and we need to show that we follow 797, if I prepare sterile compounded medication and I send it to the floor, the folks who are performing the audit will actually follow the records and follow -- if it's happening in the real time where I just compounded, it would actually follow the medication to see that it is applied according -- or they have an option to -- I'm not saying that they necessarily would -- but an option to go to the floor and see how it is applied to complete the audit.

Q. So does the FDA cite -- are you aware of the FDA citing healthcare professionals who actually administer sterile compounds for not following 797?

A. I am not aware. But the audits -- so whenever there's an audit, the auditors in the past have cited healthcare facilities for improper

If that's your question, then not the FDA, but the other regulatory bodies that would make

handling of sterile compounds, not the FDA itself.

- sure that the USP Chapter 797 is followed in a facility.

 Q. Who else -- who else would -- you say auditors. You are not talking -- you said that wouldn't be the FDA?
- A. So, no, that doesn't necessarily have to be the FDA. It can be an accreditation body that comes to accredit on behalf of CMS.
- 9 Q. Okay. What about common carriers, can they
 10 be regulated? Are they regulated by the FDA for 797
 11 requirements?
- A. So there are, I know -- I'm trying to
 remember what the exact guidance is. And, honestly,
 I can't answer this with 100 percent certainty, so I
 do not know.
- Q. What about patients, do compounding

 pharmacies once a -- let's say in a 503A pharmacy, a

 patient has a prescription and picks up their

 prescription and it's, say, a high-risk sterile

 compound. Does the FDA regulate the patient's use of

 the compound?
- A. So this is a little bit ambiguous, because I
 don't have enough details to really answer,
 because -- technically, no.
- I mean, you don't -- the FDA is not going

1 to come knocking on your door to see what you are 2 doing with your compound. But then why would you have aseptically 3 4 prepared medication given to the patient, like, what, are they administering it themselves at home? 5 6 Ο. Sure. 7 Α. I quess I can't quite --8 Ο. Yes. I'm trying to understand the question. 9 Α. Do compounding pharmacists dispense high-risk 10 Ο. 11 sterile compounds to patients that store, for example, refrigerated products for 12 13 self-administration? 14 I mean, that can happen, yes. Α. You can 15 definitely, you know, have patients who have 16 medication at home that they give themselves, 17 sterile, yes. How does -- how does the compounding pharmacy 18 19 know or the FDA know whether or not the requirements 2.0 of the USP 797 are followed once it leaves the 21 pharmacy? So you provide the storage conditions for the 22 Α. 2.3 patient. So if I work in, let's say, a home-infusion pharmacy and I prepare all total parenteral nutrition 2.4 25 product that I dispense to a patient, I will provide

- under the Storage Conditions: You must refrigerate
 this, keep it in a temperature span between this and
 this.
- If it gets outside of the temperature,

 please do not use the product, because we cannot

 guarantee that the product will be pharmaceutically

 active, that it will be, you know, working as it's

 supposed to.
- 9 Q. So back to my question about who is
 10 regulated. Is USP 797 -- can the FDA cite anyone
 11 that's a non-healthcare professional for not
 12 following USP 797?
- A. Would that include like -- like patients?
- Q. Any non-healthcare outside the healthcare setting, outside of a hospital, outside of --
- A. No. I don't think FDA -- if you are asking me if FDA would cite them, I don't think FDA would.
- 18 Q. It doesn't apply; does it?
- 19 A. Right.
- Q. In other words, people outside the healthcare setting in which compounded preparations are being administered aren't covered by USP 797?
- 23 A. Well, the medications are covered by 797.
- 24 Q. Sure.
- 25 A. You know, you need to follow the guidance in

- order to maintain integrity of the product.
- 2 Q. I understand.
- A. So, yes, they need to handle it according to
- 4 797. But a person that, you know, handles the
- 5 | medication, you are not going to have the FDA come
- 6 knock on your door to enforce the chapter, if that's
- 7 what you are asking.
- 8 Q. Right. And the reason for that is that 797
- 9 applies to -- the objective of 797 is to prevent --
- 10 excuse me -- harm to patients in a clinical setting;
- 11 isn't that right?
- 12 A. So the chapter in general applies, I believe,
- 13 to anybody who handles the medication, you know, to
- 14 give it to the patient.
- 15 Q. At the top where it says Introduction, it
- 16 says, "The objective of this chapter is to describe
- 17 conditions and practices to prevent harm, including
- 18 death, to patients that could result from" the
- 19 following.
- 20 It applies to the healthcare setting to
- 21 | prevent harm to patients; does it not?
- 22 A. Right.
- 23 Q. Okay. Does it apply in the lethal injection
- 24 setting?
- 25 A. Absolutely.

1 Q. Are non-healthcare people in corrections 2 covered by USP 797? They are handling a sterile preparation. 3 Α. I'm asking you if USP 797 covers that. 4 Ο. 5 I think that's a trick question, because they Α. should be healthcare professionals that handle 6 medication. 7 You would not have your pool guy give you 8 9 an IV, so a person who handles the medications should be a healthcare professional. 10 11 My question is, the purpose -- the objective of USP 797 -- you correct me if I'm 12 13 wrong -- is to protect patients in a clinical 14 setting; would you agree with that? 15 I would say it's to protect patients. 16 not -- the clinical setting, it's a wide definition, 17 because you can be at home receiving an infusion, which by some definition may not be considered a 18 19 clinical setting because you can say it's my living 2.0 room, but if I'm receiving an IV at home, something 21 like a total parenteral nutrition product, you know, it's still a procedure, medical procedure. 22 2.3 Yeah. Actually, let me read the words at the Ο. top, the first sentence. "The objective of this 2.4

chapter is to describe conditions and practices to

- 1 prevent harm, including death, to patients." 2 Α. Uh-huh. So it's designed to protect patients from 3 Q. 4 harm and death, right? MS. LEONARD: Objection, asked and 5 6 answered. BY MR. SUTHERLAND: 7 8 Ο. You can answer the question. 9 I mean, yes. That's what it states. Α. Okay. Let me ask you this. 10 Ο. How does enforcement of USP 797 work? 11 MS. LEONARD: Objection. 12
- 13 BY MR. SUTHERLAND:
- 14 Q. In your experience, how does the FDA enforce
- 15 USP 797, or state pharmacy officials?
- 16 A. Typically, they perform audits. That's how
- 17 it's enforced.
- 18 Q. Who do they audit?
- 19 A. They audit, typically, the pharmacy or
- 20 healthcare setting where the medications are used,
- 21 compounded.
- 22 Q. And if they don't -- if the pharmacy or the
- 23 | healthcare setting in which they are used doesn't
- 24 follow them, what happens, or if they are inspected
- 25 and there's an inspection and they find issues, what

- 1 happens?
- 2 A. So it really depends on the severity of the
- issues, because if it's something minor, you know,
- 4 then you would just get cited and you need to make
- 5 corrections. You may have to pay fines if it's
- 6 something more serious.
- 7 And if it's something extremely serious,
- 8 then they can shut you down. They can close the
- 9 pharmacy.
- 10 Q. How does a regulated party get cited?
- 11 A. So basically they will write you a citation
- 12 as in: This is -- we audited your facility. And
- 13 these are the discrepancies that we found, and you
- 14 get a citation. You know, it will list what are the
- 15 issues that they had come across.
- And, as I said, depending on severity, it
- 17 | might be a fine, may be more serious.
- 18 O. Is that also called a Form 483?
- 19 A. So 483 is really for the manufacturer and for
- 20 | 503B pharmacies; that's where you really see it the
- 21 most. But, yes, 483 is a type of a report.
- 22 Q. All right. Is there a certain citation that
- is used for 503A?
- 24 A. So a lot of times the Board of Pharmacy will
- 25 have their own forms that they use. Again, it

1 depends on the severity. It depends on, you know, 2 what type of violation is going on. But, typically, they will have their own forms that they complete. 3 4 Ο. I want to refer you to --5 MR. SUTHERLAND: Rob, I want to go back to Exhibit 2, page 4, numerical paragraph 11. 6 7 BY MR. SUTHERLAND: Dr. Almgren, you say that: Compounding 8 Ο. pharmacists should be familiar with USP 797 9 quidelines in order to prepare safe and effective 10 11 sterile compounded products. If USP 797 quidance is not followed, it can lead to medication 12 13 contamination, which will cause patient harm and 14 unpredictable effects. Is that right? 15 Α. Yes. 16 And I quess my question to you is, don't Ο. 17 you -- you say a compounding pharmacist should be 18 familiar, but how would you become a compounding 19 pharmacist without being familiar with USP 797? 2.0 It depends on your training. You know, Α. USP 797 has not been around forever. 21 There are pharmacists that I work with that have not read USP 22 23 Chapter 797 because, at the time when they graduated, 2.4 USP was not around. And so, you know, the chapter 25 was not a requirement of their education.

1 Also, the schools of pharmacy in general, 2 you know, have different levels of emphasis on 3 sterile compounding. There are some programs that 4 emphasize sterile compounding. There are others who 5 really don't, and you just get kind of a surface-level look. So it really depends. 6 7 You know, there are pharmacists who, for example, in retail, who maybe compound very 8 9 occasionally sterile preparations. They mostly dispense. 10 11 So it really depends on your level of 12 training and expertise and when you graduated, how 13 much of the continuing education you have done in 14 this realm. So there are a lot of factors. 15 everybody is expert or even familiar with 797, 16 really. 17 Ο. So if you are applying for a license to 18 compound, say, in the state of Tennessee in the last 19 five years, which in Tennessee requires USP -- has 2.0 adopted USP requirements, wouldn't you have to 21 demonstrate some degree of competency in order to get licensed to compound? 22 You don't necessarily have to. 23 I know I practiced in South Carolina, and in South Carolina 2.4 25 there are really no specific requirements.

1 You apply for, you know, a permit for a compounding pharmacy, and you show -- the key here is 2 to show you have the equipment that's needed. 3 terms of specific training, a lot of states do not 4 5 have the specific requirements. The state of Massachusetts does after the 6 7 New England Compounding Center issues. But there are still a lot of states that do not have specific 8 requirements that, you know, require pharmacists who 9 are compounding to have any special training. 10 11 In your experience, the pharmacists that you Ο. have dealt with at Rite Aid or in the Richland 12 13 Hospital, are those pharmacists -- were those 14 pharmacists familiar with USP 797? 15 The experience varied greatly. So there are 16 some who have experience, and there are some who have 17 not. 18 As a matter of fact, I teach continuing 19 education courses, so I actually teach sterile 2.0 compounding to other pharmacists. And typically the 21 pharmacists that come to take the continuing education courses have very little, if any, exposure 22 23 to 797. You say it will cause patient harm. 2.4 Ο.

Chapter 797 quidance is not followed, it can lead to

1 medication contamination that will cause patient It's actually "may cause harm"; is it not? 2 Not every failure to follow USP 797 3 4 results in harm to a patient; does it? Or a person, 5 I should say. I quess if you want to discuss whether 6 Yeah. 7 will or could or can are important, then I guess you could say that maybe there could be a different verb 8 9 used. But, in general, it would be very 10 11 concerning to have medication that's not compounded according to 797 to be applied. I wouldn't want that 12 13 personally. 14 My question to you is, just everything that Ο. 15 doesn't -- every technical deficiency in following 16 USP 797 does not result in harm to people? 17 Are you saying that every time you don't follow everything in USP 797, it results in harm to a 18 19 person? Α. 2.0 No. No. 21 Ο. Okay. What I was saying -- I think you are 22 Α. 23 misunderstanding the sentence, because the sentence If USP Chapter 797 quidance is not followed, 2.4 says: it can lead to medication contamination, which will 25

1 cause patient harm and unpredictable drug effects. 2 So I think you are taking this out of 3 context, because I'm not saying that every contamination will cause, but it can lead to 4 contamination and the contamination will cause. 5 I mean, if you have a contaminated drug, 6 7 there's a very good chance that there will be some 8 type of an issue. 9 So let's go back. Does every technical deficiency in following USP 797 result in harm to 10 11 persons? 12 Α. It may. 13 MS. LEONARD: Asked and answered. 14 THE WITNESS: I don't have statistical 15 data to state clearly. My assumption is I don't 16 know. BY MR. SUTHERLAND: 17 Well, are you saying that every technical --18 Ο. 19 every technical requirement of USP that is not 2.0 followed in 797 may result in harm to a person? 21 Α. So what I'm saying is it really depends on the technical deficiency. 22 That's what I'm asking you, all of them. 2.3 Ο. If you don't follow everything in USP 797 2.4 25 to the law -- to the letter, will that always result

1 in patients or persons being harmed? 2 MS. LEONARD: Same objection. BY MR. SUTHERLAND: 3 4 Ο. You can answer. 5 There is a good potential, but I can't -- I Α. don't know. 6 It would depend on the issue and the 7 Ο. circumstances, wouldn't it? 8 9 Α. Exactly. For example, in the lethal injection context, 10 Ο. 11 the object of administering compounded drugs in this case is death. 12 13 So depending on the specific issues 14 involved, it could result in no issue at all? 15 MS. LEONARD: Is that a question? 16 MR. SUTHERLAND: It is a question. 17 THE WITNESS: What's the question? BY MR. SUTHERLAND: 18 19 I'll give it more -- I'll give it more 2.0 specific context here. 21 How long would it take for a contaminated drug to cause harm to a patient? How long would you 22 2.3 need? I don't understand the question. It depends 2.4 25 on the drug. It depends on contamination.

- 1 Q. Let's say potassium chloride. Are you familiar with potassium chloride? 2 3 Α. Yes. 4 Ο. If potassium chloride was
- 5 contaminated, say, with an endotoxin of some type, 6 how long would it take for a person who is
- 7 administered potassium chloride to be harmed by the contamination?
- I don't know. 9 Α.

- You don't know? Would it be minutes? 10 Ο.
- I don't know. I think it depends, because we 11 Α. are talking about endotoxin contamination. 12
- 13 Are there particulates in there? Is it 14 just microbiological-type? You know --
- 15 Ο. Do you not know -- do you not know the answer 16 to the question, or are you --
- 17 Α. I don't think there's enough information.
- But if you are asking about minutes, I don't know 18
- 19 that answer. I have not -- I can research this, but
- 2.0 I do not know.
- 21 Do you think that a person that was Ο. Yeah.
- administered potassium chloride that was contaminated 22
- 23 with -- you name the endotoxin, would be harmed
- within minutes? 2.4
- 25 Α. I don't know the answer to that question,

1 because I don't know what type of endotoxin. I don't know what --2 3 Q. I'm asking you about any endotoxin. 4 Α. -- quantity. 5 Any endotoxin. Ο. 6 MS. LEONARD: I'm sorry. Just because 7 this has happened a few times, could you please let 8 Dr. Almgren finish her answer before you ask another 9 question? MR. SUTHERLAND: Sure. 10 THE WITNESS: Like, what quantity? 11 know, at what rate was it given? I mean, there are a 12 13 lot of questions that would --14 BY MR. SUTHERLAND: 15 Q. Sure. 16 -- have to be answered. Α. 17 Ο. Yeah. We'll come back to a specific example in a minute. 18 19 MR. SUTHERLAND: Let's look at, if we 2.0 could, Rob, Section 4, which starts on page 5. BY MR. SUTHERLAND: 21 Just Section 4 that starts with numerical 22 Q. 2.3 paragraph 12, Dr. Almgren, says: Unqualified 2.4 personnel perform tasks in the protocol that should 25 be done by professionals with much more extensive

- 1 training and education in pharmacy related issues.
- 2 Do you see that?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. And as I read through Section 4, the only
- 5 people you mention are the drug procurer and the
- 6 executioner; is that right?
- 7 A. Okay.
- 8 Q. Is that correct?
- 9 A. It does appear that way.
- 10 Q. Okay. You don't mention any others. So I'm
- 11 asking, are these the two people you are talking
- 12 | about in this section?
- 13 A. So these are the two I read the depositions
- 14 for, and I felt strongly that they were the most
- 15 issues associated with their practices.
- 16 Q. Are there any other people that you do not
- 17 | note in this report?
- 18 A. No. These are the two that I selected were
- 19 good examples of why I felt very unqualified
- 20 personnel were performing tasks that they were not
- 21 qualified to do.
- 22 Q. If you had other examples that you thought
- 23 were significant, would you have put them in here?
- 24 A. Potentially, yes.
- 25 Q. But you haven't put any others in here?

1 Α. Right. 2 Ο. Numerical paragraph 12 says: The selection process for the extremely crucial positions of drug 3 4 procurer and executioner is not adequate, authorizing team members who have insufficient medical training 5 6 to handle lethal injection chemicals without really 7 understanding the procedures. Do you see that? 8 Α. Yes. And your concerns about this particular issue 9 Ο. are in this section of your report, correct? 10 11 Α. Correct. 12 Ο. Are there any concerns that are not in this 13 report? 14 Α. No, these are valid concerns. 15 Ο. Paragraph 13: The drug procurer lacks the 16 training and professional qualifications necessary to 17 understand how to properly store and handle LICs. If you go to the last -- I won't talk 18 19 about the middle of that paragraph, but the last 2.0 sentence says: This is a highly specialized position 21 that should be held by a person with in-depth training in the listed areas, such as a pharmacist. 22 2.3 Α. Are you asking a question? 2.4 Ο. I'm going to ask you a question. 25 Are you saying that the drug procurer

- 1 should be a pharmacist? It should be somebody who is qualified to 2 3 handle the medications correctly. 4 Ο. Such as a pharmacist? 5 Α. Yes. Isn't that what you said? 6 Ο. 7 Α. Yes. Could a pharmacist administer lethal 8 Ο. 9 injection chemicals professionally? We are not allowed to -- or, we are 10 11 not -- it depends on your Pharmacy Practice Act, I quess, on the training. But, typically, no, 12 13 pharmacists are only allowed to inject things like 14 vaccines or IM injections. 15
 - Here I'm really referring to somebody who is storing and handling the medications, drug procurer, not somebody who is administering the medications.

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

2.4

- Q. Could a layperson be educated and trained to handle compounded sterile products?
- A. Potentially, yes, but they need to be trained and they need to, you know, have a set of standard operating procedures, some type of a manual that will be spelling out all of the responsibilities and all of the procedures that they should follow.

1 Q. So the answer is, yes, a layperson can be trained and educated to do this? 2 Potentially, yes. The pharmacist would be 3 Α. 4 preferred, because as a pharmacist, we have a 5 training to evaluate things. You would almost need to have a 6 7 consulting pharmacist who maybe would oversee the 8 operations of this person so you could address 9 things. Let's say you have issues with the 10 11 storage conditions. How would a layperson be able to determine that that was okay or not okay? 12 13 Is it okay to use the medication or not? 14 How would a layperson know? A pharmacist would. 15 Ο. You'd have to ask -- you'd have to ask --16 what if you asked a pharmacist? 17 What if you were -- if you were a layperson trained and you consulted with the 18 19 pharmacist, would that be good? 2.0 It depends, because as we discussed earlier, Α. 21 not all pharmacists are really thoroughly trained in this realm, and so they may not have the right 22 23 answer. What if a pharmacist is trained and familiar 2.4 Ο. 25 with USP 797, can a person be trained to perform

1 these tasks in consultation with a pharmacist? 2 Sure, you could have a consulting pharmacist 3 there who would oversee this, yeah. And in paragraph 13 you say, in the second 4 Ο. 5 Additionally, the drug procurer does not understand the difference between reagent chemical 6 7 and USP grade APIs, regulations surrounding the drug 8 procurement of controlled substances, drug 9 substitution process, and how to determine the amounts of drugs needed, or the importance of careful 10 11 documentation and drug beyond use dating assignment. 12 Do you see that? 13 Yes. Α. 14 And what are you basing that sentence on? Ο. 15 Α. I base it on the deposition of the drug 16 procurer. Throughout the deposition there are 17 multiple times where he mentioned he was looking at 18 other drugs, and it was apparent from the 19 deposition -- and I would have to go back. 2.0 needed, I'll be happy to. We can pull up the 21 deposition and read through, and I can point out what areas concerned me in the sense that he -- I felt 22 23 that he did not have the qualifications for this 2.4 position. 25 Ο. But you didn't cite to the deposition

1 anywhere? 2 I mean, you cite to the deposition at a 3 number of places, but you haven't cited to the 4 deposition in particular anywhere in regard to these 5 statements, have you? There were so many instances, they would 6 7 probably -- I quess, like I said, we can go back and I'll be happy to do that. 8 Did you cite the specific instances where 9 Ο. these issues were -- that you raise? 10 11 MS. LEONARD: Objection, asked and 12 answered. 13 BY MR. SUTHERLAND: 14 You can answer the question. Q. 15 You have four or five different comments 16 here, but you haven't cited to a single place in the 17 deposition; is that true? 18 Α. Right. Well, yes, not in this statement. 19 Right. 2.0 In paragraph 14 you say: The drug procurer Ο. 21 lacks attention to detail. For example, the drug procurer testified that he believed an entry 22 23 regarding the size of the midazolam vial he made in the drug inventory log was not accurate; is that 2.4 25 correct?

1 Α. Right. Yes. Okay. Have you cited to any other examples 2 Ο. where the drug procurer lacks attention to detail? 3 Are you asking me if I cited other --4 Α. 5 I'm asking you specific instances that you Ο. can tell me -- you say he lacks attention to detail. 6 You cited one. 7 Do you have other specific items, and 8 9 where are they? I will be happy to go -- can we open the 10 11 deposition, and I will point them out. 12 Well, if we had much longer, we would do it. Ο. 13 Unfortunately, we don't have enough time for you to 14 go back and read the deposition. 15 Do you consider this to be a significant 16 lack of attention to detail in the first sentence -or second sentence of paragraph 14? 17 18 Α. Yes. I mean, it appears that -- you know, 19 these are important. This is one of the most crucial 2.0 information about a drug, is the size of the 21 medication, the beyond use date. 22 Those are -- I mean, if you don't keep a 2.3 proper record of that, those are -- that's important. The handling of the medication, that is his job, is 2.4

to procure and maintain the medication.

25

1 I mean, I'm not sure what other 2 responsibilities this person has, but if this person 3 is a drug procurer and his responsibility is to 4 maintain the records, the number one responsibility 5 should be his number one concern, maintain accurate 6 and correct records. My question for you is: Do you consider this 7 lack of attention to detail to be a significant lack 8 9 of attention to detail; yes or no? Yes, this and there are many other examples 10 11 throughout his testimony. 12 Okay. You cited to this one and you don't Ο. 13 cite to any others; is that correct? 14 Α. Correct. 15 Ο. If you thought they were significant, why 16 didn't you cite to them and put them in the report? 17 Α. Just it would be a lot of notes. I just kind 18 of summarized it and pulled an example. 19 Are there anymore significant lack of 2.0 attention to detail that you think should be in here? 21 Α. No, that's a good example. I'm asking you if there are -- if there are 22 23 numerous examples of the drug procurer lacking attention to detail that you think material, don't 2.4 25 you think they should be in here?

1 Α. No. I just demonstrated as one example. 2 mean, it's just a general statement. Yes, we can go back and look at the testimony and I'll point out a 3 handful of others. 4 I just felt that, you know, I'll show an 5 6 example of how -- what are some of my concerns. I felt that this one was -- you are asking if this 7 one is a significant one, that is significant. 8 As I stated earlier, if you are a drug 9 procurer maintaining the records, this is what your 10 11 responsibility is, so shouldn't you maintain the records accurately? 12 13 Paragraph 15 you start talking about the Ο. 14 executioner, so that's all, as I read your report, 15 that you have noted on the drug procurer; is that 16 fair? 17 You don't think there are any others other than those two paragraphs, 13 and 14? 18 19 MS. LEONARD: Objection, form. 2.0 BY MR. SUTHERLAND: 21 Are there any other paragraphs or information Ο. where you are discussing the drug procurer, to your 22 23 knowledge? I will have to look. I do not know off the 2.4 Α. Let me take a look at the document. 25

1 Ο. Paragraph 22. We discussed this further in Section 6. 2 Α. I'm talking about Section 4. 3 Q. So you are looking only for the answer in 4 Α. 5 Section 4; I got you. This is where you say: Unqualified personnel 6 And you talk about the drug procurer 7 perform tasks. 8 in two paragraphs. And I'm asking you, are there are any 9 other paragraphs in this section where you talk about 10 11 the drug procurer? 12 No. I believe the rest are focused on the Α. 13 executioner. 14 In paragraph 15 you say: The executioner Q. 15 should be assigned to a person with medical or 16 pharmacy training. 17 What type of person are you talking about? 18 19 MS. LEONARD: Objection to the form. 2.0 So it would -- you know, a THE WITNESS: 21 pharmacy technician or pharmacist would be more appropriate to prepare the medication, and to 22 2.3 administer, probably a nurse or somebody on that level of training would be more appropriate. 2.4 /// 25

- 1 BY MR. SUTHERLAND:
- 2 Q. Could a nurse ethically administer lethal
- 3 injection chemicals?
- 4 A. I don't know. Is that a question as in --
- 5 Q. Yeah, I'm asking you.
- 6 Could a nurse -- could you ethically
- 7 | administer lethal injection chemicals?
- 8 A. Me as a pharmacist?
- 9 Q. Yes.
- 10 A. No, I'm not qualified. I could prepare them,
- 11 but I cannot administer. The fact is I am only
- 12 allowed IM injections.
- 13 Q. So I guess my question is, doctors take a
- 14 | Hippocratic oath not to -- to do no harm, right? Do
- 15 | you take a similar oath?
- 16 A. Yes. We take a pharmacist's oath, oath of a
- 17 pharmacist, yes.
- 18 Q. So could a pharmacist administer lethal
- 19 injection chemicals that would result in the death of
- 20 a person?
- 21 A. Well, it would depend if the pharmacist is
- 22 retired, not practicing anymore. I mean, I'm
- assuming there are.
- Q. Okay. You are saying somebody who is not
- 25 practicing?

1 Α. Sure. It would have to be a nonpracticing 2 Ο. Okay. medical professional, is that what you are talking 3 about? 4 5 Α. That would be a good example. Okay. Can a layperson be trained and given 6 Ο. 7 instructions on preparing and administering lethal injection chemicals under the protocol? 8 They can be, but it has to be a lot more 9 Α. detail than what the people that were involved here 10 11 You need to have more extensive training, 12 significantly more extensive training. 13 Ο. Tell me what more extensive training you 14 think needs to be provided. 15 Α. For the executioner? 16 Q. Yeah. 17 Α. Or the procurer? 18 The executioner. Ο. 19 Α. So my recommendation would be to have a 2.0 pharmacy technician prepare the medication, somebody who is familiar with how the medication should be 21 handled aseptically. 22 If you care to have a pharmacy technician 23 prepare the medications, then a layperson that goes 2.4 25 through a thorough training, perhaps a pharmacy

1 technician course in aseptic technique, not just a 2 random pharmacy technician course, but maybe an aseptic technique course, could potentially be 3 trained. 4 So let's back up. Let me back up a second. 5 Ο. We are talking about what training a 6 layperson needs to administer, prepare and administer 7 the lethal injection chemical, so I want you to tell 8 9 me what training they need to have. Right. So my recommendation would be a 10 11 pharmacy technician training to compound, to put medications together to prepare the doses. 12 13 And then for administration, some type of 14 a nurse or something that will in detail prepare you 15 how to prepare the medications, how to administer the 16 medications. And what would that -- what would that 17 Ο. 18 administration training involve? 19 The basics of how to handle the medication, 2.0 administer. I'm not a nurse, so I really cannot 21 recommend a specific -- I can make recommendations on the pharmacy side. 22 23 I cannot make specific recommendations for a level of training on the nursing side, but it 2.4 25 should be somebody who has some type of training that

qualifies them to handle and administer medications
appropriately.

O. So tell me what would be involved in the

2.0

2.4

- Q. So tell me what would be involved in the pharmacy tech training in terms of preparing that you think they need to be aware of.
- A. Number one, aseptic technique; how not to touch critical sites; how to accurately handle medications. There are certain procedures, and I teach -- I teach these courses.

So, I mean, it's a really long list, but it includes things like how you reconstitute medications; how do you know if you have a correct volume; how do you equilibrate pressure within the vial and, you know, the solution when you are, you know, pulling up a certain amount or reconstituting. You know, these kind of really basic skills that you need, you know.

How do you assure that the medication will not be contaminated? How do you handle the syringe and needle, you know? How do you hold it?

I mean, they seem like simple things, but they are not. You know, what our instincts naturally tell you how we handle things is very different when we handle sterile preparations.

You know, the way you even attach the

1 needle to the hub, there is a technique for that. 2 The way that you inject. What can you hold when you 3 are pushing the needle into an IV bag. What can you 4 touch when you are handling the vial? 5 I mean, those are very -- like I said, it sounds simple to a lay person because it seems like a 6 no-brainer, but it is not. 7 What in terms of preparation did you -- what 8 Q. issues did you see with the way that the executioner 9 described how he handled and prepared the 10 11 medications? 12 Well, there was a number of things Α. Right. 13 from his description that were incorrect. 14 Are you -- have you put them in there in the Q. 15 report? 16 Α. Yes. Yes, so --17 Ο. Let's go -- hold on a second, I want to go through some of them. 18 19 In paragraph 16 you talk about, as I 2.0 understand it -- and correct me if I'm wrong. 21 has to do with preparing the vecuronium bromide or drawing the vecuronium bromide and potassium chloride 22 23 into syringes two hours before execution; is that fair? 2.4

25

Α.

Yes.

- 1 Ο. You understand the midazolam in lethal 2 injection executions has been drawn into syringes right before its use? Have you ever seen that? 3 4 Α. Yes. I'm not sure why was there a difference 5 in the person administering the midazolam versus the other medications. 6 Let's talk about this. So the reason for 7 that is, you are saying under USP 797 -- well, let's 8 talk about this. 9 The vecuronium bromide is not a 10 11 compounded preparation? 12 Α. Correct. 13 All right. But you are saying drawing it Ο. 14 into the syringe makes it immediate use? Α. Correct, because it is not prepared inside of
- 15
- 16 an ISO Class 5 environment.
- 17 Ο. Right.
- So if you had a sterile hood, you could 18
- what's considered bedside, you will need to use it 2.0

extend it beyond use date. But if it's prepared

21 immediately.

19

- And "immediately" is what? 22 Q.
- Within one hour. 23 Α.
- Within one hour. So we are talking about 2.4 Ο.
- vecuronium bromide and potassium chloride, right? 25

- 1 A. Right.
- Q. What is your specific concern about waiting
- 3 two hours to administer 100 milligrams of vecuronium
- 4 | bromide? What's the specific concern?
- 5 A. We don't know the storage conditions of the
- 6 preparation. Is it laying in the room? What's the
- 7 | temperature of the environment? Has it been exposed
- 8 to any temperature?
- 9 I mean, you start having degradation the
- 10 moment you are constituting the vial.
- 11 Q. So if it was -- if syringes -- if 100
- 12 milligrams of vecuronium bromide was in a syringe at
- 13 room temperature for an extra hour, what would be
- 14 your specific concern that could happen?
- 15 A. There might be microbial contamination.
- 16 0. What kind of microbial contamination?
- 17 A. Stemming from improper -- I quess not even
- improper; just compounding in a non-ISO Class 5
- 19 environment.
- 20 Q. Drawing it into the syringe?
- 21 A. Right.
- 22 Q. Okay. What type of contamination are you
- 23 talking about?
- 24 A. So when you are compounding outside of the
- 25 ISO Class 5 environment, you basically are talking

1 about compounding in the open with no protection from any kind of a contamination. 2 3 So when you -- as opposed to if you compound in a cleanroom in a hood, you have a HEPA 4 filter in front of you and you have laminar airflow 5 that's coming at you of the sterile HEPA-filtered 6 7 air, right? So when you are compounding in a hood, 8 you have this ultra-clean air that's basically 9 washing all over your sterile sites, over your 10 11 critical sites, so there should be no presence of any 12 bacteria or any chemicals or any kind of particles, 13 because it is a particle-free HEPA-filtered air. 14 When you are compounding at bedside, you 15 know, you are in the air. Just because I don't see 16 dust, it doesn't mean that it is dust free. There is 17 There are microbial type of contaminants; maybe a tiny hair. 18 19 I mean, there's a lot more going on in a 2.0 nonclassified or unclassified space when we are 21 talking about compounding. And so --When you are talking --22 Q. I'm sorry. Scott, can you 2.3 MS. LEONARD: let her finish, please. 2.4 /// 25

1 BY MR. SUTHERLAND: When you are talking about compounding 2 Yeah. 3 in this context, you are talking about drawing it 4 into the syringe? Is that what you are saying? 5 You are using the word "compounding." Are you talking about when you draw it into the 6 7 syringe? Yeah, reconstituting. 8 Α. 9 So I heard what you just said. My question Ο. What would be your -- okay. You say a 10 to you is: hair or something like that. 11 My question is: What would you expect to 12 13 be the specific -- a specific problem that would 14 occur by leaving it in there an extra hour? 15 Α. Potential for contamination. Okay. And what kind of contamination would 16 Q. 17 you be afraid that might happen? Any kind; chemical. Could be a particular. 18 Α. 19 Could be microbial. 2.0 Okay. And that vecuronium bromide that Q. 21 gets -- 100 milligrams that gets injected into the inmate, what would be your concern about that, 22 23 assuming that that individual was going to be administered a lethal dose of potassium chloride 2.4 25 within a very short period of time after that?

A. I don't know, because I don't know what type of contamination could happen because the environment is not controlled.

2.0

Q. So your testimony is you think that there's contamination that could occur?

And it's the same with the potassium chloride, you are saying that you think that there could be contamination of the potassium chloride and the vecuronium bromide that would have an effect on the inmate, even though they were going to be dead within five to eight minutes?

A. Well, it's the unpredictability that's the concern. You don't know what could happen, because you don't know what type of a contamination could happen.

You don't know what type of, you know -what could be in the vial, so how could you with
certainty say that it's going to work? You don't
know.

- Q. We are talking about sterility? That's what we are talking about, right?
- A. I did mention you could have a physical or chemical contamination as well when you are working in an unclassified space.
- Q. Would you expect the vecuronium bromide and

1 the potassium chloride, would you expect there to be 2 a potency or sterility problem by being in the syringe an extra hour? 3 4 Α. There could be. I'm asking you, would you expect there to be? 5 Ο. That's a very confusing question, because it 6 Α. 7 would really depend on the conditions of how the drug 8 was prepared and stored and who prepared it and how 9 it was laying for an hour. I would be very concerned if the room was 10 warm; if the person touched critical sites. 11 the -- you know, if there's a potential for 12 13 contamination as in, you know, in the room, if the 14 room was maybe dirty. 15 So there are a lot of -- I can't answer 16 with certainty because I'm not given enough information to make that statement. 17 18 Ο. Well, let me ask you this. In just an 19 average room like at a prison with room temperature, 2.0 would you expect an extra hour of the vecuronium and 21 the potassium chloride -- would you expect, in your professional opinion, there to be a problem with the 22 23 potency or sterility of those medications? Well, it depends on who prepared it. 2.4 Α. 25 a drug preparer who was touching the critical sites

1 as he was preparing it? I think what I'm wanting to know is, would 2 you expect that those drugs aren't going to do what 3 they are supposed to do, which is paralyze and stop 4 the heart? 5 For sitting in there for an extra hour, 6 7 would you expect they are not going to perform what they are supposed to do? 8 Again, I think the question really is, when 9 Α. they were reconstituted, how were they handled. 10 we talking about -- okay, you said they will be in a 11 proper room temperature, but who handled them and how 12 13 were they prepared? 14 If you had a drug procurer handling them 15 where he's touching the needle in the critical sites 16 and there's a really strong potential that the 17 medications will be contaminated, then I would be concerned. 18 My question to you is, based on what's 19 2.0 paragraph 16 in your report, which the paragraph 16 21 says that there is a problem because these drugs weren't administered within an hour. 22 2.3 And I'm asking you, if they are administered within two hours, would you expect they 2.4 25 are not going to have the effects that you would

1 normally expect from vecuronium and potassium chloride? 2 So from the pharmacy practice perspective, I 3 4 would not use them. We do not use expired 5 medications on patients. That's not my question. My question is: 6 7 Based on the time alone, would you expect that these drugs will not do what they are supposed to do? 8 I would not know that. It would have to be 9 Α. tested to see what happened. And that's why I would 10 not use them. 11 You see, those guidances in USP are there 12 for a reason. The reason that the USP cites and says 13 14 they should not be used after an hour, there 15 obviously must have been examples when the one hour 16 was sufficient amount of time to degrade the 17 medication, and so that's what I go by. The chapter says that you cannot use them 18 19 after an hour. It's a simple quidance that you followed. 2.0 My question to you is: Do you expect, based 21 Ο. on your experience and your knowledge of these drugs, 22 2.3 would they do what they were supposed to do, sitting in a syringe for an extra hour? 2.4 MS. LEONARD: Objection, asked and 25

1 answered. 2 BY MR. SUTHERLAND: 3 Q. Do you have an opinion? I don't, because truth be told, there is not 4 Α. enough information for me to make that decision. 5 You said that -- your paragraph 16 says 6 there's a problem with them being expired. 7 I get 8 that. My question is, okay, generally speaking, 9 would you say, in the absence of anything else, would 10 11 the presence in the syringe just for an extra hour 12 make them not do what they are supposed to do? 13 You know, you say an extra hour as if it Α. 14 didn't matter. But, you know, one hour extra is 15 double the time of what the expiration was. 16 expiration was set to one hour, and now we are 17 talking about two hours. So if the USP said not to use it after an 18 19 hour, I certainly wouldn't use it after it's sitting 2.0 in a syringe for two hours. Keep in mind also, let me just bring this 21 up as well because this is also concerning, is that 22 23 the syringes that these medications are compounded in -- not compounded, reconstituted and pulled up in, 2.4 25 those syringes are meant for delivery.

1 They are not meant to store the 2 medications. So they are not airtight. They are 3 not, you know, completely enclosed like a container 4 would be, like a glass vial. You know, a glass vial 5 is sealed. The syringes are not airtight. Is the fact that -- isn't the fact -- are you 6 7 aware of how many lethal injection executions Tennessee has done since 2018, Dr. Almgren? 8 9 Α. No, I'm not aware of how many. Are you aware that there have been lethal 10 Ο. 11 injection executions since 2018? 12 Α. I have not looked, so I cannot say that I do. 13 Are you aware that this protocol has been Ο. 14 used since 2018? 15 Α. Yeah. I mean, of course, yes. If you are 16 asking whether I know that there have been 17 executions. I quess I missed -- maybe I didn't come across clear. 18 19 Ο. Okav. I'm aware that the protocol has been used 2.0 Α. 21 and, yes, I'm aware that the lethal injection has been used. Yes, that's true. I have not looked 22 2.3 specifically at how many. I think that's what stopped me a little bit. 2.4

In paragraph 17 you say: The executioner

25

Ο.

does not have any special advanced aseptic technique training.

And I guess what I would want to know is, again, in the context of a lethal injection execution where death is going to occur within 15 minutes, what is your specific concern about aseptic training?

A. Well, my concern is that you may end up contaminating the products and they will not act as they are expected to.

If that happens, okay, maybe the final effect will be what it is. However, it's the time before that happens, mainly through suffering, pain, because of potentially the medication is taking longer than they should to act, maybe causing severe irritation because they are contaminated.

Those are all factors that play into the fact that you have a person who is preparing a medication who is not qualified, and so they really are not taking all of the precautions and all of the proper steps.

- Q. Let's use the specific example that you talk about in paragraph 19, the executioner describes how he cleans the needle.
- A. Yes.

2.0

2.4

25 Q. This is completely an inappropriate and

1 incorrect aseptic technique, in violation of The needle is sterile when taken out and 2 should not be touched. 3 So if the needle was touched with -- if 4 it was touched with a saline alcohol swab, what would 5 6 you expect the result or the effect of that to be on the medication? 7 No, it's a terrible practice. This is 8 Α. 9 totally unacceptable. And if I saw any of my students or pharmacy technicians do that, they would 10 11 fail the course or be fired. It's step one of 12 learning proper aseptic technique. You do not touch 13 sites. 14 They are critical sites, and you are 15 really increasing potential for contamination in that 16 point, because -- for example, so you say he's, 17 perhaps, using alcohol wipe to wipe the needle. is it a sterile alcohol wipe? 18 19 You know, they sell the alcohol wipes 2.0 that are nonsterile. So you want to make sure they 21 are sterile alcohol wipes, because the nonsterile alcohol wipes actually sometimes contain spores. 22 23 The second concern itself is, so you are wiping the needle with alcohol. So now the needle 2.4 25 has alcohol on it, so when you are injecting and

pushing the needle into the vial, now you are introducing remnants of the alcohol into the solution, so you are contaminating it further with the alcohol, potentially.

Another concern is now the needle is wet because it is wet from the alcohol wipe. So now it's sort of sticky, and so even better chance for, I don't know, dust particles, maybe, I don't know, any kind of a little particle that's floating around in the air sticking to the needle and being introduced into the solution.

Q. What would you --

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

18

19

2.0

- A. Also -- I'm sorry. Also, the alcohol wipe itself has fibers because it is woven, and so the fibers themselves may attach themselves to the needle, and they can also introduce into the solution.
 - Q. What would you expect the type of contamination to be that could result? Give me some examples.
- A. Alcohol, dust particles, fiber from the cloth.
- Q. My question is: What would you expect the results of that contamination to be on the medication?

1 Α. So alcohol -- I do not know, because I have 2 not looked into this part. But I wonder if the alcohol itself, if it is isopropyl alcohol, if that 3 4 would potentially react with the chemicals in the injection and maybe partially degrading some of them. 5 I don't know. I can't say. Dust. 6 What else? 7 Ο. Dust particles could be introduced, and they 8 Α. 9 can cause occlusion of the blood vessel. Fiber, the same thing. 10 Chemicals, let's say something was 11 floating in the air and it had some type of -- you 12 13 know, maybe they cleaned right before and there are, 14 you know, particles of cleaner still floating in the 15 air, that can be introduced. So you could have 16 chemical contamination from just picking up things in 17 the air, dust particles, fiber. I mean, there are a lot of different 18 19 potential. Again, you are working in an unclassified 2.0 space. And I'm not sure how clean the prison is, but 21 my assumption is it's probably not extremely clean. So my assumption is that there's a very 22 23 good potential for dust and dirt and microbial contamination being in the air just from the nature, 2.4 25 probably fungus. I mean, it's all probably floating

1 in the air. Have there been any studies done on the 2 3 air quality? Potentially not. 4 Ο. Yeah. Have you been presented any information about air quality of the prison? 5 And that would be something that would 6 Α. No. be useful. 7 In paragraph 22 you talk about visual 8 Ο. 9 inspection. 10 Α. Yes. 11 Is this the type of visual inspection you Ο. always perform? 12 13 So when you prepare a sterile compound, Α. 14 you need to perform visual inspection. So there's a 15 specific procedure that you need to follow. 16 So you look at the product. You look --17 you are supposed to look against the light background and against the black background so you can detect 18 19 any particles in contrasting colors. 2.0 So then you are supposed to flip over the 21 syringe or vial, whatever you are compounding, or bag. Not too violently, because you may create air 22 bubbles forming. So you want to flip it over in kind 23 of a slower motion and just watch for any kind of a 2.4 25 particle.

1 So it is a procedure that, again, we 2 teach in a sterile compounding course to make sure 3 that those who prepare sterile compounds know how to 4 prepare them. 5 Are you talking about the compounding 6 process? 7 Α. Oh, every constitution when you get ready -during compounding or during reconstitution when you 8 9 are getting ready to -- you know, whenever you have a finished product. 10 11 Is it your opinion that in hospitals, whenever healthcare professionals are administering 12 13 compounded injectables, that they do this -- that 14 they hold it up against white and black all of the 15 time? 16 So keep in mind that this is a different Α. 17 scenario. So it's one thing when in the hospital I 18 compounded it in our downstairs pharmacy, and then I 19 send it to the floor. So the nurse is getting the 2.0 product within a few minutes, maybe. 21 You know, so I have done the examination. It has not been stored. It has not been sitting 22 23 around. Or even if it has, it's a relatively short amount of time. 2.4

And the nurses still do look. They don't

25

1 do the black and white background, but if you had a 2 procedure during which you have reconstituted or compounded the product, you definitely need to 3 perform a visual inspection, because you are not sure 4 that all of the drug -- like let's say if it's a 5 reconstitution, has all of it gone to solution? 6 7 need to perform visual inspection. You specifically mentioned in paragraph 22 8 Ο. 9 that you are aware that the executioner does perform a visual inspection of these chemicals, aren't you, 10 11 from his testimony? I thought he said that the color of the drug 12 Α. 13 is not a large focus of mine. I have that stated in 14 a statement. 15 So it appears that if he does it, he 16 really doesn't look for the attributes that he's 17 supposed to. I don't know that he really knows what 18 goes into doing a visual inspection. 19 And you say: The absence of this procedure 2.0 using the black and white background during the 21 executioner's sterile preparation is very concerning, as there have been issues with the potassium chloride 22 23 prepared for TDOC falling out of solution? 2.4 Α. Correct. What specific issues are you referring to? 25 Ο.

- 1 Α. So there were in the reports statements where 2 they had issues with preparing the potassium chloride, and the potassium chloride had fallen out 3 4 of solution. 5 Ο. At the pharmacy? 6 That can happen because it's a very Α. 7 concentrated solution of potassium chloride. those types of -- you know, it's not a good thing, 8 9 but it can happen. There are other drugs that fall out of 10 11 solution sometimes, and so this is where it's very 12 important that you examine the solutions prior to 13 injecting. 14 You are not aware of any situation where the Q. 15 potassium chloride has fallen out of solution at the 16
 - Department of Corrections after it's been received, are you?

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

2.4

- Well, the executioner is not performing a visual inspection. So, obviously, I only can use the records that I have. So if he is not performing a visual inspection, how would I know?
- Are you aware of any compounded potassium Q. chloride that's gone to the Department of Corrections that has fallen out of solution?
- 25 Α. Again, how would I know when it's not being

1 examined? I'm not at the Department of Corrections, 2 so I do not see the products. 3 So if the drug procurer and the 4 executioners do not perform the visual inspection, 5 then they don't -- then there is no record of it. Ιt 6 doesn't mean it didn't happen, it's just that they 7 have not looked. Now, the records show that there were samples 8 Ο. 9 that were prepared, or batches that were prepared that may have fallen out of solution, but you don't 10 have any information that any potassium chloride that 11 have fallen out of solution was ever sent to the 12 13 department, do you? 14 You are not saying that the pharmacist 15 sent the TDOC potassium chloride that had fallen out 16 of solution, are you? 17 Α. I would have to go back and look and see what 18 exactly this statement from the procurer said, 19 because I do see in my statement that there have been 2.0 issues with potassium chloride prepared falling out of solution. 21 And I do remember a procurer stating that 22 2.3 that was the case. And, of course, the pharmacist did as well. So I would need to go back to that 2.4 25 statement and see what exactly was said.

- 1 Q. Do you think that the Department of
- 2 Corrections has been sent potassium chloride that has
- 3 | fallen out of solution at the pharmacy?
- 4 A. How would I know? I don't know.
- 5 Q. I'm asking you, based on what you reviewed,
- 6 do you think that they have sent --
- 7 A. Well --
- 8 Q. -- potassium chloride that's fallen out of
- 9 solution?
- 10 A. So let me just point out another thing that
- 11 can happen. What can happen sometimes --
- 12 Q. Answer my question first. Do you think that
- 13 the pharmacist has sent potassium chloride to the
- 14 department that has fallen out of solution based on
- 15 what you reviewed?
- 16 A. So they can send the solution that maybe does
- 17 | not have a precipitant. But by the time it gets to
- 18 the Department of Corrections --
- 19 Q. You are not answering --
- 20 A. -- the solution.
- 21 Q. Stop. Stop. You are not answering my
- 22 question.
- 23 A. Why can't I finish my statement?
- Q. Well, because you are not answering my
- 25 question.

1 I'm asking you first: Do you have any 2 information that the pharmacist in this case sent the Department of Corrections any potassium chloride that 3 had fallen out of solution? 4 5 So this is a tricky question, because I do Α. 6 not know which batches were sent to the Department of Corrections --7 I'm asking you if you know if they have. 8 Q. MS. LEONARD: Please let her finish her 9 10 answer. 11 MR. SUTHERLAND: She is not answering my I'm asking her to answer my question. 12 question. 13 I think she is trying to MS. LEONARD: 14 answer the question. If you could let her finish, 15 then we could see. 16 This has happened now two or three in a 17 Could you please let her try to finish? So what I was saying is I 18 THE WITNESS: 19 do not know which batches were exactly sent to the 2.0 Department of Corrections, because I see the quality 21 records from the pharmacy, I saw the pharmacist's statement that they had issues, but as to which 22 23 specific batches were sent and received, those records are marked out, and I really cannot see which 2.4 25 lot number corresponds to which product and if there

1 were any quality issues. So long story short, I do not know the 2 3 answer to your question. And to elaborate further, 4 sometimes you can send a product that maybe in a 5 pharmacy appears fine, but during the transport, if 6 it's maybe exposed to inappropriate temperatures, maybe too high or too low, it may precipitate by the 7 time it comes to the customer. 8 9 BY MR. SUTHERLAND: So I'm going to ask you to answer my 10 question, which is: Do you have any information that 11 the pharmacist that compounds potassium chloride for 12 13 the Tennessee Department of Corrections has sent 14 potassium chloride that has fallen out of solution to 15 the department? 16 No, because I don't have enough -- any Α. 17 records to review specific to that. When a medication falls out of solution, what 18 Ο. 19 do you do with it? 2.0 So it depends on the type of medication. Α. 21 Some medications, when they fall out of solution, you can't really reconstitute. 22 2.3 As in the precipitant stage, it degrades the medication, and that's -- typically most of the 2.4 time we do not use it. 25

1 There are some medications where precipitation is common, and so the dose we might be 2 able to reconstitute or whatever and it can be 3 4 corrected. But a lot of medications, once you have 5 the precipitant, you would not use it. You don't know what they did with it here? 6 Ο. 7 Α. No. Okay. If we could look at Section 5 of 8 Ο. 9 Number 4, it says: Instructions for lethal injection chemicals preparation are not detailed and specific 10 11 enough, and may result in the administration of the wrong dose. 12 13 In paragraphs 23 and 24, are you talking 14 about the instructions for preparation of midazolam 15 and potassium chloride? 16 Right. So from the depositions it appeared Α. 17 that obviously in the protocol you have some standard directions on how to prepare, but there were also --18 19 what was mentioned in the depositions was that the 2.0 pharmacy would actually send a document on how to 21 properly reconstitute or prepare the medication, so they had a separate document that was dealing with 22 specific medications. 23 So I'm going to ask you the question again. 2.4 Ο. 25 Are you talking about the midazolam and potassium

chloride instructions --1 Let me look. 2 Α. 3 Q. -- in paragraphs 23 and 24? I believe it's both. 4 Α. 5 Did you review those instructions? Ο. 6 Α. Yes. The written instructions? 7 Ο. 8 Α. Yes. Well, can you tell me what the specific 9 Ο. concerns you had about each specific instruction? 10 So I don't have concerns as in the 11 instructions alone. My concern is that when you have 12 13 instructions coming with the medications, what if 14 the -- what if a mix-up happens and you don't have 15 the correct instructions and using instructions from 16 the older drugs, because it appears that they had vials from different batches. 17 And so you would want to make sure that 18 19 you are using the proper instructions in the product 2.0 that it came with. 21 Do you have any problems with the Ο. instructions you reviewed? 22 No, they were specific to the product. 2.3 Α. Okay. So of the instructions you reviewed of 2.4 Ο.

midazolam and potassium chloride, you had no problem

25

- 1 with the instructions?
- 2 A. Well, I do have a problem in the sense that
- 3 they are written more for a professional. They
- 4 really should be broken down in much smaller and more
- 5 pointed steps, because I feel like the executioner
- 6 who prepares the medications may not be really
- 7 | familiar with all that goes into drug preparation,
- 8 could probably benefit from having more detailed
- 9 instructions.
- 10 Q. What detail would you want to be in there
- 11 | that's not in there?
- 12 A. Can you open the instructions, and I'll tell
- 13 you?
- 14 Q. I don't have them. I'm wondering -- I'm
- 15 looking at your report and I'm trying to figure out
- 16 what specific problems you have with the
- 17 instructions.
- 18 A. I mean, I think they are summarized from --
- 19 yeah, I think they were just summarized by the
- 20 executioner.
- 21 Q. There were written instructions provided --
- 22 A. In the protocol, yes.
- 23 Q. No. There are separate written instructions.
- 24 A. Yes. Right.
- 25 Q. Have you seen those?

1 Α. I'm trying to remember. I thought I did. Т 2 thought I saw something, but I'm not a hundred 3 percent sure. 4 MS. LEONARD: I think maybe it would be 5 helpful if we pulled up the document that you are talking about so we can make sure we are all talking 6 7 about the same thing here, please. MR. SUTHERLAND: I don't have -- I don't 8 9 have it. Do you have it, Lynne? MS. LEONARD: I do, yeah. Would you like 10 11 me to send that over to you? 12 MR. SUTHERLAND: Sure. Actually, just 13 send it to Rob. Send both of them, if you can. 14 MS. LEONARD: It might take me a second 15 to find them here, but I'm looking. I know I do have 16 them. 17 MR. SUTHERLAND: I think they were in like the first 15 exhibits of you-all's deposition 18 19 exhibits. 2.0 MS. LEONARD: Yeah. Midazolam and the 21 potassium chloride, that's what you need? MR. SUTHERLAND: Yeah. 22 2.3 MS. LEONARD: I should send them just to Rob right now? 2.4 25 MR. SUTHERLAND: Yeah. You can send it

1 to both of us. I'll copy Alex. 2 MS. LEONARD: Do you 3 want me also to send them to Dr. Almgren and send them back --4 5 No, you can send them to MR. SUTHERLAND: her so she can see them. 6 7 MS. LEONARD: Great. I just wanted to make sure that we are talking about the same 8 9 documents here. MR. MITCHELL: I received them. 10 Thank you, Lynne. 11 Sure thing. And I also MS. LEONARD: 12 13 just sent them to you, Dr. Almgren. It may take a 14 minute with the delay, but hopefully everyone can 15 pull them up soon. 16 MR. MITCHELL: Do you want me to pull up 17 potassium chloride, midazolam? MR. SUTHERLAND: Midazolam to start. 18 That will be Exhibit 6. 19 2.0 Let me know when you have them, 21 Dr. Almgren. 22 THE WITNESS: I see them on your screen, 23 I have not seen them yet. Do you mind if I take a five-minute 2.4 25 break?

1 MR. SUTHERLAND: That would be perfectly 2 Let's say 2:15. (An off-the-record discussion was held.) 3 (WHEREUPON, a document was marked as 4 Exhibit Number 6.) 5 MR. SUTHERLAND: Rob, if you could put up 6 what's going to be Exhibit 6, which is the midazolam 7 instructions. Rob, can you put up the midazolam 8 instructions, which will be Exhibit 6? Thank you. 9 BY MR. SUTHERLAND: 10 11 Dr. Almgren, were you able to look through Ο. these? 12 13 I'm looking at them right now. Yes, I got Α. 14 them right as I sat down. 15 Q. Have you seen these before? 16 Α. I have. I do believe I've seen them. 17 Ο. Okay. And are these the instructions that 18 you are talking about in paragraph 23? 19 Α. Yes. And the concern that you identified in 2.0 Q. 21 paragraph 23, as I understand it, is because you understand the instructions that come with a new 22 2.3 batch of drugs might differ, that they might be confused with the previous instructions? 2.4 25 Α. Sure.

1 Q. In paragraph 23 you don't identify any specific problems with these instructions, do you? 2 I mean, I did not address anything in terms 3 Α. 4 of specifics. 5 Okay. And in paragraph 24, you say --Ο. MR. SUTHERLAND: Rob, you can put up the 6 potassium chloride instructions, that will be 7 Exhibit 7. 8 9 (WHEREUPON, a document was marked as Exhibit Number 7.) 10 11 MR. SUTHERLAND: Just to confirm, Dr. Almgren, have you --12 13 THE WITNESS: Now, I do have concerns --14 are you asking me -- I'm sorry. 15 Are you asking me --16 BY MR. SUTHERLAND: 17 Ο. I'm asking you what's -- ma'am, what I'm asking you is, in your report you identify issues 18 19 with the instructions in paragraph 23, right? 2.0 Α. Yes. 21 Ο. Okay. 22 What I'm reading right now. Α. Section 5 of your report deals with the 23 Ο. instructions? 2.4 25 Α. Yes.

Okay. And in paragraphs 23, 24 and 25 you 1 Q. address the instructions. 2 And I asked you if the instructions that 3 4 you are talking about in 23 are the midazolam and potassium chloride instructions. 5 And I understand the answer is yes; is that correct? 6 That's correct. 7 Α. Okay. And the concern that you raise about 8 Ο. 9 these instructions, midazolam and potassium chloride in your report, is that they might change and that 10 11 the executioner might not be able to determine which ones should be used. 12 13 Is that what you say in paragraph 23? 14 Α. Yes. 15 Q. I'm sorry? 16 Yes. Α. 17 Ο. In paragraph 24 you say, "The protocol also provides some general instructions for the 18 19 preparation of two sets of syringes." 2.0 Now, that is referring to the protocol, the Α. 21 lethal injection protocol. Correct, yes. "Depending on the LIC supply, 22 Q. the procedure may be completely different." 23 And you are talking about the distinction 2.4 25 between compounded and commercially available, right?

1 Α. Yes. And you are talking about getting that 2 Ο. confused, is that what you are referring to? 3 There could be potential for medication 4 Α. Yes. 5 How would your executioner know, let's say, if vecuronium came all a sudden compounded, but the 6 instructions in the protocol say to, you know, 7 reconstitute. 8 You know, it's not -- the instructions --9 it's a little confusing, I think, for a layperson to 10 11 keep up with what needs to be prepared according to 12 what instructions, especially if something were to 13 change. 14 MR. SUTHERLAND: We'll make the midazolam 15 and potassium chloride instructions Exhibits 6 and 7. BY MR. SUTHERLAND: 16 17 Ο. In Section 8 -- I'm sorry. In Section 6 of your report you talk 18 19 about: The procedures described in the protocol are 2.0 not being followed as written, which can lead to many 21 potential errors, and I'd like to ask you about that. 22 The first one you mentioned in 2.3 paragraph 26 is the protocol requires LIC on hand to be monitored for expiration dates? 2.4 25 Α. Yes.

- Q. And that that procedure is not being
- 2 followed?
- 3 A. Right.
- Q. Do you have information to believe currently
- 5 that TDOC has ever used any expired LIC?
- 6 A. So in one of the testimonies I had a
- 7 question, because I did read one of the statements
- 8 and it did appear that the medication -- and, again,
- 9 I can only use the records that I have. I was not
- 10 there.
- 11 Q. Yeah.
- 12 A. And so I can only look and see what you had
- at the time and what was legible as well, and what's
- 14 also not redacted. So those are some of the issues
- 15 that I ran into.
- 16 It's sometimes difficult to trace which
- 17 | medication are we talking about. But to the best of
- 18 my abilities, I was trying to follow to see if there
- 19 were any medications that could potentially have been
- 20 used that were expired, so --
- 21 Q. Do you have any -- and maybe we are getting
- 22 to that, but in paragraph 27 you talk about midazolam
- 23 for Donnie Johnson.
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. And I want to talk about that one in a

1 minute. Other than that, do you have any other 2 information that the TDOC has ever used an expired 3 4 LIC? 5 Do I have any information? Α. Do you have any information in front of 6 Ο. 7 you, other than what we are going to talk about in paragraph 27, that the TDOC has ever used any expired 8 9 lethal injection chemical? Well, the ones that were used two hours after 10 11 preparation instead of one hour, those would be 12 considered expired, so --13 Let's set that aside. Anything else? Ο. 14 Α. I can go back and look at the records. 15 Q. As you sit here right now, do you have any 16 other information? 17 Α. No, I don't think so. Okay. So let's look at paragraph 27. 18 Ο. 19 Because you don't mention anything in your report 2.0 other than this in paragraph 27, and what we talked about earlier about the immediate use. 21 22 So have you -- you say that: The drug 23 procurer testified based on a log prepared by the pharmacy there was no unexpired midazolam in TDOC's 2.4 25 possession between May 1, 2019 and July 16, 2019.

1 However, Donnie Johnson was executed on May 16th of 2 2019. 3 Have you seen records that show you that 4 Tennessee Department of Corrections did, in fact, 5 have unexpired midazolam in its possession when 6 Donnie Johnson was executed since you wrote this 7 report? I don't remember. I believe I had logs of 8 Δ all of or a majority -- I'm not sure if I had all of 9 the logs, because I don't know what all records you 10 11 But I had some logs of the medications and when they were received and when they were -- what their 12 13 beyond use date was or what their expiration was. 14 would have to go back and look at the logs that were 15 provided. 16 MR. SUTHERLAND: Rob, can you pull up, I 17 quess, what is actually identified as -- it should be Exhibit 8, but it's going to be Exhibit 6 on what I 18 It's records of midazolam for Donnie 19 gave you. 2.0 Johnson. 21 Actually, that statement, THE WITNESS: now that I'm reading it, this is something that the 22 23 drug procurer had stated. That was in the drug procurer's testimony or deposition, I should say, 2.4 25 where that statement came from.

1 BY MR. SUTHERLAND: I understand. I just want to make sure that 2 you have reviewed -- all right. 3 4 Do you see on page 29 where it says, "Donnie Edward Johnson, midazolam 50 milligrams 5 injection solution"? 6 7 I'm sorry. Are you MS. LEONARD: 8 planning to send this our way, Rob? 9 MR. SUTHERLAND: Yeah, you have already --10 11 MR. MITCHELL: I'm sorry, I forgot to send it. Let me unshare and send it. Give me 12 13 20 seconds. 14 MS. LEONARD: Thanks. It's fine if you 15 want to keep going, I just want to make sure that 16 that's on its way. 17 MR. SUTHERLAND: No, I'll wait until she has it in front of her. 18 (WHEREUPON, a document was marked as 19 Exhibit Number 8.) 2.0 BY MR. SUTHERLAND: 21 Dr. Almgren, it's page 29 of that. 22 Q. This is 23 the group of documents you were provided that resulted, as I understand it, in your supplemental 2.4 25 report.

1 Α. Got it. 2 Q. On page 9 there's a -- 29, I'm sorry. 3 Α. Is this being sent to me as well? 4 MS. LEONARD: Not yet. I don't have it 5 yet either, unfortunately, but as soon as I have it, I'll forward it. 6 7 I just got it. I sent it, so hopefully another couple of seconds. 8 9 MR. SUTHERLAND: Let me know when you have got it, Dr. Almgren. 10 11 THE WITNESS: So far, nothing. Okay, it just came through. 12 13 BY MR. SUTHERLAND: 14 When you open that up, if you would scroll Q. 15 through those documents and tell me if those are the 16 38 pages that were provided to you that resulted in 17 your supplemental report? That's my first question. 18 I believe, but I'm not 100 percent sure, 19 because a couple of these look -- there's a couple of 2.0 them upside-down, but I'm not sure they looked like 21 this in my file. Well, your report says you were provided with 22 23 Defendant's Supplemental Response 1118, pages 000001 through 38. Do you think these are the documents 2.4 25 that you got?

- 1 A. They do look familiar. I'm assuming they are
- 2 the same.
- 3 Q. So I want to take you to page 29.
- 4 A. Okay.
- 5 Q. Do you see where it says Donnie Edward
- 6 Johnson?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. And this prescription is dated 4-16-2019, do
- 9 you see that?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Okay. If that midazolam was frozen
- 12 midazolam, how many days is that frozen midazolam
- 13 good if it stays frozen?
- 14 A. Forty-five days.
- 15 Q. What's roughly 45 days from April 16th?
- 16 A. So, yes, so 45 days is the general beyond use
- 17 date; that's how we establish it by USP.
- 18 Q. I'm asking you, how many days is 45 days from
- 19 | April 16th, roughly?
- 20 A. I quess the end of May, early June.
- 21 O. So if this midazolam for Donnie Edward
- 22 Johnson was frozen and it was maintained properly at
- 23 | a frozen temperature, it would have been good until
- 24 the end of May, early June; right?
- 25 A. Well, let me bring this up again.

1 Q. Can you answer that as yes or no? 2 Α. No, I cannot, because I have to explain my 3 answer. I'm sorry. 4 Ο. Okay. My answer is following. So typically we 5 Α. establish beyond use date based on USP 797, 45 days. 6 7 Yes. However, let's say when I compound and I 8 have multiple ingredients that I add -- so it's one 9 thing if I only have midazolam. If the only thing 10 11 I'm adding is midazolam into sterile syringe for 12 injection, and both of them have expiration set by 13 the manufacturer as a year from now, six months from 14 now, then I can safely assume that the beyond use 15 date according to USP 797 would be 45 days. 16 However, if I'm adding multiple 17 additives, so maybe I adjust the Ph, maybe I'm adding a stabilizer, so I'm doing a formulation that goes 18 19 beyond just these two ingredients, if I add other 2.0 ingredients, now I have to look at the expiration of 21 each ingredient separately. 22 And if any of the ingredients expire before the 45 days, then my BUD will be that date. 23 So I cannot -- so following up on that -- I'm sorry. 2.4 25 Following up on that, if, let's say, I

1 get a compound from a pharmacy, I cannot -- and it 2 does not have a BUD written on it, I cannot safely 3 assume that it is good for the next 45 days, as long 4 as it's frozen, from the date it was compounded. 5 I have to contact the pharmacy and say: 6 What is your beyond use date? What did you do? 7 Because a lot of times these excipients 8 may have a shorter expiration, and so you may not 9 have 45 days expiration on the product just because that's normally what's given. 10 11 Dr. Almgren, what does the prescription say Ο. 12 discard after? 13 Where does it say that? Α. Under midazolam. 14 Ο. 15 Α. 5-31-2019? 16 Yes. Q. 17 Α. So that's the prescription. What are you 18 asking me? 19 Ο. It says, discard after 5-31-2019; does it 2.0 not? 21 Α. All right. But that's written -- that prescription is not written by the pharmacist; is it? 22 2.3 I'm asking you if this record is a -- is part Ο. of a prescription of midazolam that was issued on 2.4 25 4-16-2019 that says discard after 5-31-2019 for

1 Donnie Johnson? Who said to discard after? That is my 2 question. Because that should not be -- I assume the 3 4 prescription came from the physician, right? 5 I'm talking about, what does this look like? Ο. Doesn't this look like what comes with prescribed 6 7 medication from the pharmacy? I do not know. Okay. So my question is, 8 Α. 9 what is it? I do not know. I am assuming that this 10 is a prescription. I do not know what it is, because 11 12 everything on here, except the name of the person, 13 the address, and the actual prescription, is 14 redacted. 15 So for me this was an assumption that 16 this is a prescription, some type of a medical order 17 that came across. Is that not what this is? 18 Ο. When you pick up a prescription at the 19 pharmacy, you get a piece of paper that has your name and the type of medication, and it has the date that 2.0 21 it's -- the 4-16-2019 date, the date that it's given to the patient --22 23 Α. Written. -- or written, and then it has a discard date 2.4 Ο. 25 on it, doesn't that look like what this piece of

- 1 paper is that comes with the prescription? 2 The doctor does not set beyond use date of 3 the prescription. 4 Ο. No, I'm saying --5 It's the pharmacist who does that. So the Α. discard after 5-31, that may be written by the 6 7 physician. If the beyond use date on this 8 Q. Yeah. 9 prescription for midazolam was 5-31, then the TDOC had unexpired midazolam; didn't it? 10 11 Because, is this a prescription? 12 is my -- or is this a label from the vial? I do not 13 know. What is this record? Please clarify. What is 14 it? 15 Ο. I'm asking you if this prescription was 16 filled on April 16th, frozen, and it had a 45-day 17 beyond use date, which would be around the end of May or first of June, there was no -- there was no 18 19 expired midazolam in the possession of TDOC; was 2.0 there? 21 MS. LEONARD: Objection to form. 22 THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the 23 question? I'm sorry, I lost you halfway through.
- Q. I'm going to move on.

BY MR. SUTHERLAND:

2.4

1 Α. My question for you is -- I can't answer 2 when -- if this is a prescription, the prescription is not dated. 3 4 The doctor is not the one that specifies beyond use dating. This what you are showing me, is 5 this a prescription, or is this a label from the vial 6 or some kind of a transfer record? That is what I 7 8 am --9 Q. This record came from the pharmacy. It's confusing. 10 Α. 11 Does that help you? Ο. 12 Α. Yes. 13 And what does that tell you then? Ο. 14 Well, that tells you what the -- when it was Α. 15 prepared and who -- and who it was for, and when it 16 was to be discarded by, but that is not what the 17 records said. That's not what the procurer had in the records. 18 In Section 7, starting on page 10 of your 19 2.0 report, you talk about questionable lethal injection 21 chemical shipping and storage conditions. I'll talk to you a little bit about that. 22 In paragraphs 28 and 29 and 32, you talk 23 2.4 about the LICs storage not being properly monitored. 25 According to what?

- 1 Α. According to the records that were provided 2 for my review and the procurer's statements. Yeah. You talk about how USP 797 requires --3 Ο. 4 it is important to monitor temperature regularly to 5 assure that LICs are stored in acceptable temperature 6 ranges. 7 How do you monitor temperature ranges when you give compounded medications to a patient? 8
- 9 A. I'm talking about storage conditions.
- Q. I'm saying, how does the compounding
 pharmacist monitor temperatures once they are given
 to a patient?
- A. Well, once they are given to the patient, they are gone. They have been given to the patient.
- Q. You don't have any way -- you don't have any way of monitoring temperatures, do you?
- 17 A. I don't understand the question. Do you mean --
- 19 Q. Well, you --
- 20 A. No, no. I mean, are you talking about -- the compounded --
- Q. Listen to me. Let me ask the question. You answer the question.
- 24 Compounding pharmacists compound 25 preparations.

1 Α. Yes. They give them to patients, the patients take 2 Ο. 3 Those compounded preparations aren't 4 monitored for temperature after they leave the 5 pharmacy. You are talking about -- the types of 6 7 continuous monitoring process you are talking about is in a hospital setting or at the pharmacy. 8 So this is -- keep in mind that these 9 Α. medications -- we would not dispense a medication 10 11 that is to be stored in a deep freeze to a patient to take home, because nobody at home will have this type 12 13 of a freezer setup. 14 So these types of medications would 15 definitely be stored in a healthcare setting, whether 16 an oncology practice, home infusion in a hospital; we have freezers in which we store the medications. 17 18 I mean, you would not dispense -- I 19 cannot imagine dispensing like a deep frozen compound 2.0 to a patient to take home, unless they are going to use it within -- you know, they are taking it home to 21 use right away. But you would not -- this is 22 something -- you know, this is not a normal, common 2.3

And, you know, even according to USP 797,

practice.

2.4

25

1 a compounding facility is responsible to ensure that 2 the compounded sterile products, you know, maintain their quality until they are administered. 3 So, you know, we will, you know, advise 4 5 the patients, you know. Like, for example, if we dispense a TPN, total parenteral nutrition product, 6 7 those need to be refrigerated. So instructions to the patient would be: 8 9 Take this home, use it within this long, and keep it in the refrigerator and, you know, maintain the 10 11 temperature. 12 Ο. How long? 13 A day, two. I mean, it depends on -- you Α. 14 know, maybe 24 hours. You know, it depends on --15 Q. Could it be longer? 16 I mean, we ask the patient to monitor to make Α. 17 sure that the temperatures do not get outside of the range, because that's very, very concerning if you 18 19 have a total parenteral nutrition product that's not 2.0 stored properly; it's very, very dangerous. 21 Ο. Do you think that patients monitor the

temperature of their refrigerators?

22

2.3

2.4

25

I mean, they need to. And we have -- a lot Α. of times what we do -- let me finish.

A lot of times what we'll do is we'll

- 1 include a monitoring little strip. It's a part of
- 2 | the packaging of the product, and basically that
- 3 strip will alert you when the product is not to be
- 4 used because the temperature has gone outside of the
- 5 range.
- 6 Q. Those are commonly used in a compounding
- 7 | practice when you are shipping --
- 8 A. Right.
- 9 Q. -- compounds, right?
- 10 A. Yes, exactly. Those are used when you are --
- 11 yes, when you are transporting it and you want to
- 12 make sure that it stays within the range.
- 13 Q. In Section 7 of your report you talk about:
- 14 Analytical reports for compounded medications are not
- in compliance with USP requirements.
- 16 A. Are we moving on to the second supplemental
- 17 report?
- 18 Q. No. If you look at page 11.
- 19 A. Page 11, got it. Okay.
- Q. The majority of analytical reports for
- 21 compounded medications are not in compliance with USP
- 22 requirements.
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. As per current USP compendium, there does not
- appear to be adequate action taken by the pharmacist,

1 drug procurer, or any other TDOC member to address this issue. 2 3 You discuss the testing on midazolam and 4 potassium chloride. 5 When you talk about assay, are you talking about potency? 6 7 So it can be, yes. Potency and assay can sometimes be -- you are basically looking to see the 8 strength or -- you know, sometimes assay can refer, 9 you are looking at the purity, sometimes it's just 10 the strength of the solution. 11 12 Ο. So I quess my question to you is, you list all of the testing for midazolam and potassium 13 14 chloride on pages 13 and 14. So what is -- what is 15 it that you -- tell me your concerns about the 16 testing that was performed. 17 MS. LEONARD: Objection to form. 18 THE WITNESS: So the testing itself, you 19 know, there are USP requirements in terms of what you 2.0 should test for. And actually your lethal injection 21 protocol states that the product should be tested as per USP. 22 2.3 So, you know, so you obviously request this testing to be done, which makes perfect sense, 2.4 25 because for such an important purpose you want to

1 make sure that the medications are potent, that they 2 have the potency and they are made appropriately. So, unfortunately, the testing shows that 3 4 these medications are not always within the specs 5 that they should be. And then some of the testing was simply not done. 6 7 You know, at times -- this is important 8 testing that really should be done. Like the Ph, why 9 was Ph not done? I mean, that's an important test that, you know, basically tells you if the medication 10 11 can be applied safely. BY MR. SUTHERLAND: 12 13 So let me ask you this. As I understand it, Ο. 14 you are saying in paragraph 34 that laboratory 15 testing for midazolam following the USP monograph for 16 midazolam injection, it lists these A through F, 17 riaht? 18 Α. Yes. 19 And so on page 13 you go through the 2.0 midazolam, these tests for midazolam, and you say: 21 None of the compounded preparations of midazolam meet all USP quality standards because not all of the 22 2.3 tests required have been performed. 2.4 Α. Right.

25

Ο.

Is that what you are saying the problem is,

- 1 is that the tests in A through F in paragraph 34
- 2 | haven't been performed for each?
- 3 A. Some of them have not been performed. And
- 4 there are a couple of instances where the tests
- 5 actually were failures. I think there was one where
- 6 the assay failed.
- 7 Q. Which one was that?
- 8 A. When you look at the next page.
- 9 Q. The second one?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Okay. And do you know what happened to that
- 12 midazolam?
- 13 A. I don't remember. I do not know. Was it
- 14 used?
- 15 Q. I'm just asking you if you know.
- 16 Do you know what happened to that
- 17 | midazolam in that entry?
- 18 A. No.
- 19 Q. You are not assuming it was used, are you?
- 20 A. Am I assuming it was used?
- 21 Q. I said, you aren't assuming that it was used,
- 22 are you?
- 23 A. I hope it was not, considering it didn't pass
- 24 the quality control.
- 25 | Q. You don't know what was done with it?

- 1 A. I'd have to go back and look at the records.
- Q. So other than that one, your concerns deal
- 3 with the lack of completing the A through F tests for
- 4 midazolam, right?
- 5 A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. And that would be the same on page 14
- 7 for the testing of potassium chloride A through E.
- 8 The one concern you would have would be
- 9 that all of the tests in A through E weren't
- 10 | performed, right, or based on the records that you
- 11 saw; right?
- 12 A. Right. I'm looking and I see that. Yes,
- 13 there are a number of failures. The potassium
- 14 chloride appears to have a number of failures. There
- 15 | were two failed products --
- 16 Q. Right.
- 17 A. -- with the potency outside of the range.
- 18 Q. Right. But I'm asking you, one concern you
- 19 have is that there are five tests, 37 A through E,
- 20 that you would say you don't have a record were
- 21 performed? That's one concern, right?
- 22 A. Well, in my statement I say all of the
- 23 samples tested for potency failed, so --
- 24 Q. If you will just answer my -- if you will
- 25 | just listen to my question.

1 MS. LEONARD: Scott, can you please let her finish? 2 3 MR. SUTHERLAND: Well, I'm trying to 4 finish my question, Lynne. MS. LEONARD: I know. 5 I think that you 6 asked a question and she started answering, and then 7 she was cut off about halfway. 8 MR. SUTHERLAND: Okay. 9 BY MR. SUTHERLAND: My first question to you, Dr. Almgren, is 10 One of the concerns that you have, is it not, 11 is that in paragraph 37, A through E tests that you 12 13 talk about as required and specified by USP for 14 potassium chloride, one concern you have is not all 15 of those tests were performed, based on the records 16 you reviewed; is that correct? 17 Α. Correct. 18 All right. And another concern you have, of 19 course, is that in paragraph 38 there are two tests 2.0 for potency that failed; is that correct? 21 Α. Yes. You don't know what was done with the 22 2.3 potassium chloride that failed, do you? I do not know. What concerns me is the fact 2.4 25 that this pharmacy is having issues with preparing

1 the drugs when the potency fails. That's a very 2 serious concern that shouldn't have happened. 3 Q. If they prepare potassium chloride that's 4 sent off for testing and it does satisfy the potency 5 requirement, that wouldn't concern you; would it? MS. LEONARD: Objection to form. 6 7 THE WITNESS: Is it the same pharmacy 8 that prepared all of the previous lots that had failed? 9 BY MR. SUTHERLAND: 10 11 Yes. Ο. I would like to see some more steady record 12 Α. 13 that they are able to prepare drugs properly, this is 14 not just the lucky one. 15 So you are saying that if the pharmacy had a test that said that it passed for potency of 16 17 potassium chloride, you would have a problem with that? 18 19 MS. LEONARD: Objection to form. 2.0 I wouldn't have a problem, THE WITNESS: 21 but I would be concerned. Just as I would be concerned if I know a pharmacy has problems with 22 2.3 their records, with their quality compounding. I mean, I can ask you the same question. 2.4 25 Would you be comfortable with having medication

1 compounded for your two-year-old in a pharmacy that 2 has issues with quality? Would you be okay with 3 that, when you know the pharmacy is having lots of 4 issues with their compounds that are made 5 inaccurately? I would not be comfortable with that. 6 7 BY MR. SUTHERLAND: In Section 10, starting at the bottom of 8 Ο. 9 page 15, you talk about: Compounding logs and facility records are necessary to ascertain whether 10 11 the pharmacy is meeting quality requirements. see that on page 16? 12 13 Α. Yes. 14 Did you receive some records, compounding Q. 15 records? 16 Yes. I have received some limited number of Α. 17 pages, some of the compounding records, and I have supplied an additional supplemental report addressing 18 19 those. 2.0 Let's talk about that. So as I read your Ο. 21 supplemental report, you raised three primary issues. You can correct me if I'm wrong. 22 2.3 One has to do with your review of the records, indicating that API was obtained from 2.4 25 sources where the API was manufactured under the

1 European Pharmacopeia or the British Pharmacopeia, 2 and that those APIs haven't been tested against a USP 3 monograph; is that fair? 4 Α. Yes. MS. LEONARD: Objection to form. 5 BY MR. SUTHERLAND: 6 7 Ο. What was your answer, Dr. Almgren? 8 Α. Yes. Okay. And tell me a little bit more about 9 Ο. that. 10 MS. LEONARD: Objection to form. 11 THE WITNESS: What exactly would you like 12 13 to know? 14 BY MR. SUTHERLAND: 15 Ο. Well, the general -- your general objection 16 to that. Well --17 Α. It says the API that were manufactured under 18 Ο. 19 EP and BP. Once obtained, tell me what the problem 2.0 with that is. 21 Well, in general, in order to compound and Α. prepare a USP-grade medications, you have to start 22 2.3 off with a USP grade API, so pharmaceutical grade according to USP regulations. 2.4

So when you receive a drug, typically

25

from foreign sources, because that would be the main
reason why they would not have necessarily the USP
quality records -- when you receive these medications
from foreign sources, you definitely need to test
them according to USP, because the USP may have, and
a lot of times it does have, a different set of

And those different quality standards are not harmonized, so you can't just assume that because it passes EP, that it passes USP as well. So you need to basically take the product or the API and

- test it according to USP prior to use to assure that
- 13 it meets the USP standards.
- 14 Q. And how do you do that?

standards than the EP or BP.

- 15 A. You send it -- if you don't have a lab, you

 16 send it to a contract lab that has USP testing that's
- 17 familiar with the methodology.
- Q. On page 6 and 7 and 8 and 9 of your
- 19 supplemental report, this encompasses Section II of
- 20 the supplemental report, it says: Poor recordkeeping
- 21 practices.

7

8

9

10

11

- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. Did you identify any recordkeeping practices,
- others that you did not put in here?
- 25 A. Well, there's a lot of lack of records, yes.

1 So that's the biggest concern. So, I mean, I know that's a number of concerns that I had. 2 The concerns that you have are in your 3 Q. supplemental report? 4 5 Α. And really there are more that would Yes. 6 just expand further on these. It's such a lack of 7 records, that I really wonder if they are there and 8 they just have not been disclosed. And my hope and 9 assumption is that there are, but I don't know. For example, calibration logs for your 10 11 balances, those types of things, that's lacking too. 12 You know, I didn't even go into great detail about 13 that. My assumption is that, of course, they would 14 have it, but I don't know. 15 You know, another concern I have is the 16 quality of the glassware that they use, what class 17 glass did they use. Again, not mentioned. 18 assumption is they use appropriate, but I don't know. 19 MR. SUTHERLAND: Rob, can you pull up, I 2.0 quess, what will be Exhibit 9. It will be a 21 4-21-2017 Nephron Pharmaceuticals Corporation 483. (WHEREUPON, a document was marked as 22 Exhibit Number 9.) 23 Rob, if you could send that 2.4 MS. LEONARD: 25 my way too, I'll send that along to Dr. Almgren.

1 MR. MITCHELL: It is on its way. 2 MS. LEONARD: Great, thanks. 3 MR. SUTHERLAND: Let me know when you have it, Dr. Almgren. 4 5 THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. SUTHERLAND: 6 While you are waiting on that, so if you can, 7 Ο. again, remind me what a Form 483 is as it relates to 8 9 a 503B compounding pharmacy. Basically when you have an inspection, you 10 11 may be issued a Form 483 if there have been any issues discovered with the quality of the products. 12 13 I mean, mostly CGMP-related type of 14 issues, if you are violating any kind of procedures, 15 so that may translate to impacting the quality of 16 your product, obviously. That's what I mean. 17 Ο. Are you familiar with Nephron Pharmaceuticals having been issued Form 483s before? 18 19 Α. We have in the past, yes. 2.0 And this one in particular which is dated Q. 21 April 21st of 2017, which would predate your working there, it contains two observations; is that right? 22 2.3 Α. Are you asking me? 2.4 Ο. I am asking you, yes. 25 Α. I don't have the document. It's really small

- on my screen. I'm waiting for it to come across, so
- 2 I'm sorry.
- Q. Can you see the screen that's being shared?
- 4 A. It's very small, I have to really come close
- 5 to see it. I have a small laptop. Okay, that's
- 6 better.
- 7 MS. LEONARD: And I just sent it by email
- 8 to you, so hopefully it will be there in another
- 9 minute or two.
- 10 THE WITNESS: Thank you.
- 11 BY MR. SUTHERLAND:
- 12 Q. So do you see this Exhibit 9, which is -- is
- 13 | it a Form 483 that was issued to Nephron
- 14 Pharmaceuticals Corporation?
- 15 A. Yes, that's what it appears to be.
- 16 Q. The outsourcing facility. Is that the
- 17 | outsourcing facility that you work with?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Again, just to be clear, this is dated
- 20 April 21st, 2017, which is before you started working
- 21 with them.
- 22 A. Correct.
- 23 O. But what is Observation 1 in that 483?
- 24 A. So the observations, Observation 1 says, the
- 25 | procedure is designed to prevent microbiological

1 contamination of the drug product. I quess we were not -- did not perform 2 aseptic process simulation. Oh, we have not 3 4 performed aseptic process simulation to validate. am not familiar with this one in particular, but I'm 5 just reading to see what it means. 6 7 So, obviously, we have not performed the aseptic process simulations to validate phenylephrine 8 9 hydrochloride aseptic sterilization process to provide evidence and assurance that sterility is 10 11 maintained throughout the activities of the process, 12 okay? 13 Ο. Okay. 14 Α. Yes. 15 Q. So what does that mean? 16 So we have not performed the media fill test Α. 17 for that particular equipment for that particular product. 18 And what would happen if you didn't do that, 19 2.0 potentially? 21 Α. So you see, I do not know the background, so I can only guess. But let me just give you a little 22 bit of a -- little bit of a background with this 23 particular procedure. 2.4 25 So the way that we typically validate the

1 process to demonstrate sterility is we perform media 2 fill test. So media fill test is basically performed by using sterile media, and you mimic the procedure 3 4 that you are doing. 5 And so basically you run the media fill 6 through the system that you normally would run a 7 sterile preparation through. Then you incubate the media, and if there is any bacterial contamination, 8 9 it will flag and show you that that's a quality issue. 10 11 So I don't know the details and I would have to go back and ask what happened, but what my 12 13 quess is, that we did not perform media fill for that 14 specific product. 15 Ο. So if you don't do that, what can potentially 16 happen? Well, this is the catch here. A lot of times 17 Α. we perform the simulation for the equipment, and I 18 19 think that's what may have happened. This could 2.0 really be a matter of paperwork, because --21 Wait. Stop for a second. You are not Ο. answering my question. 22 23 My question is: If you don't do what they cited in this observation, what can happen? 2.4 25 MS. LEONARD: Scott, do you think you

1 could be a little more specific or rephrase the 2 question? I think that --She wasn't -- sure. 3 MR. SUTHERLAND: THE WITNESS: I'm just confused. 4 5 MR. SUTHERLAND: Yeah. BY MR. SUTHERLAND: 6 Well, you weren't having any trouble earlier 7 Ο. talking about all of the potential problems with 8 9 doing things with the protocol. What I'm trying to ask you is, does this 10 11 inspection reveal a deficiency dealing with aseptic 12 technique? 13 And I'm asking you what is the potential 14 problem that could arise if you don't do this? 15 Α. Okay. So there is a two-prong answer. 16 Q. Okay. 17 Α. So one prong is generally you will need to perform media fill test to validate your procedure to 18 19 be able to demonstrate that you can prepare the 2.0 products in a sterile way. So that's the one prong. 21 So, yes, if you do not perform the procedure, that means that you potentially could be 22 producing products that may be contaminated. 23 that's answer one. 2.4 25 Ο. Okay.

1 Α. In this case what could have happened, and I 2 would have to go back and verify --Whoa, whoa, whoa. Ma'am, wait a second. 3 Q. 4 not asking you what could have happened. That's not 5 my question. I don't care about what could have 6 happened. I'm asking you, under this observation, 7 just like with these other questions I had about the 8 9 protocol and what's done, what can happen? And I think --10 11 Α. Well --12 And you are telling me that contamination can Ο. 13 occur; is that right? 14 Right. But I need to explain the second part Α. 15 of this, because again, it will be taken out of 16 context. 17 What sometimes happens with the manufacturers is you perform the aseptic process 18 19 simulation for a filling line, for manufacturing 2.0 line, for one product. And that product you say: 21 Hey, we performed validation for -- I don't know -epinephrine. 22 23 And so then that same line may have been used for phenylephrine following it, so technically 2.4 25 you did not perform the validation for that process

for the phenylephrine, but it has been validated for other products ran on that same system.

2.0

2.3

2.4

And so because of that -- what we do now is we perform validation that's system related. Back then, we performed validation that was product related.

So you may have used the production line for other products and it was validated, producing perfectly safe products, but if you fail to document that, then you might have issues like this.

- Q. You don't know what happened in this regard, do you?
- A. Unfortunately, this was before I was there, so I do not know.
- Q. What is observation -- what is Observation 2?
 - A. Okay. So lab controls do not include the establishment of scientifically sound and appropriate test procedures designed to assure that components and drug products conform to appropriate standards of identity, strength, quality and purity.

So we have obviously an SOP. Which, by the way, I have to take pride in this. This SOP has been updated after I started. I actually had gone to special training for visual inspection, and I have revamped our visual inspection training completely.

1 So this is not an issue anymore, I'm sure, but let me 2 read on. 3 So the current procedure, well, it says, 4 performing 100 percent visual inspection. Do not 5 include a representative library. Yes, yes, yes. 6 Okay. So I understand. So in order to develop properly your 7 visual inspection quality program, you have to 8 develop a library of potential defects. And so this 9 is where we were cited, because we have a very -- at 10 the time, we had a very small library. 11 So our library was relatively limited 12 because we were just ramping up our 503B production. 13 14 And so at the time I think our library of defects was 15 much smaller than it is today. 16 So this is something that we were cited 17 for, and we have corrected, pretty much. What happens if you don't correct it? 18 Ο. 19 So if you didn't correct it, you know -- and 2.0 again keep in mind, so the way that the visual 21 inspection library is used, so still -- as you see, we were performing 100 percent visual inspection. 22 23 That was performed. It's just that there are reference materials that we used for 2.4 25 identification and for training were not up to date.

1 Ο. What happens? What happens? 2 Α. What happens what? 3 Q. What happens if you don't fix it? 4 Α. Oh, no, we fixed it. 5 I said, what happens -- my question to you Ο. 6 is -- at the time it wasn't fixed. And so my 7 question to you is: What happened -- what would 8 happen if you didn't fix it? So what would happen is we would still detect 9 Α. the visual defect; so that part is done. 10 would not be able to identify what was the failure of 11 the visual inspection defect. 12 13 Does that make sense? Because your 14 library does not contain the potential contaminants 15 that you may have discovered. 16 You didn't have -- Nephron didn't have Q. 17 controls in place to assure that the components and 18 its drug product conformed to appropriate standards; 19 that's what it says, right? 2.0 MS. LEONARD: Objection to form. 21 That's a very general THE WITNESS: 22 statement. I would not say that. 2.3 BY MR. SUTHERLAND: That's what the observation says: 2.4 Laboratory Ο. controls do not include the establishment of 25

- 1 | scientifically sound and appropriate test procedures
- 2 designed to assure that components and drug products
- 3 conform to appropriate standards of identity,
- 4 strength, quality and purity.
- 5 A. Right. So that's a general statement when
- 6 the inspector completes the form. I'm pretty sure
- 7 that's an autopopulated statement when they perform
- 8 inspections of facilities, because do you see how
- 9 | vague it is? Do you see how kind of general it is?
- 10 That is the reason.
- 11 Yes. I mean, it's generally true, but
- 12 it's a very vague statement that's used to kind of
- 13 encompass any kind of a quality control issues that
- 14 stem in that particular department.
- 15 Q. What is Observation 3?
- 16 A. I don't see Observation 3.
- 17 Q. Do you see it now?
- 18 A. Yes. The responsibilities and procedures
- 19 applicable to the quality control unit are not fully
- 20 followed. Pretty straightforward.
- 21 Q. Tell me about that.
- 22 A. So that, again, I can only make assumptions
- 23 based on what I read, because this was before I was
- 24 there.
- 25 0. Sure.

1 Α. It says: SOP 1501 supplier vendor quality 2 program is not fully followed in that you have not established -- whatever it is -- as required by our 3 SOP with, blank, reviewed. 4 I'm assuming that probably is -- there is 5 an FDA list of suppliers. There is a list of raw 6 7 materials suppliers that you are supposed to have. And this is Nephron, when we just started being a 8 9 503B outsourcing facility. And I am assuming -- again, I wasn't 10 11 there -- but I'm assuming at the time when we started, we just were not aware that that was -- we 12 13 were supposed to create a list. 14 At least, that is my assumption. 15 that's what it sounds like, that it is a list of 16 suppliers that we were supposed to be able to 17 provide. 18 We do have it now. We've had it years. 19 Since I've been there, we've had it. I'm assuming 2.0 perhaps it was the correction for this citation, I don't know. 21 What would happen if you don't have a list? 22 Q. 2.3 I mean, it may just be more of an administrative type of problem, because as long as 2.4 25 you are receiving raw materials that are USP grade --

1 and keep in mind, at Nephron we actually have labs and most of our incoming raw materials we test 2 according to USP. 3 So we don't even necessarily even rely on 4 the USP certificates from the manufacturers. 5 We actually do our own in-house testing to verify that 6 those procedures are -- you know, those quality 7 standards are met. So we --8 The FDA doesn't know that, though, do they? 9 Q. Well, when they come -- no, no, they come to 10 see our facility. They saw our labs. 11 But, you know, if you don't have the list 12 for their review, then obviously that can become a 13 14 citation because, you know, you are to have the list. 15 Like I said, we do have it now. 16 does not necessarily mean -- and I'm pretty sure that 17 our lab, you know, was testing all of the products, but I would have to go back to verify. 18 19 But knowing Nephron, knowing our awesome 2.0 management and how well they run the ship and 21 having -- you know, keep in mind that we have a manufacturing background. So the owners of Nephron 22 2.3 have been doing this -- they have been in business for a long time, and so they are very familiar with 2.4 25 the general CGMP.

1 So I'm surprised that they did not have 2 this, but it might be something specific, because we have -- now we have a lot more products that we work 3 4 with. (An off-the-record discussion was held.) 5 BY MR. SUTHERLAND: 6 7 Ο. The reason you have to have a list is why, 8 Dr. Almgren? Well, one of the -- honestly, I don't know 9 Α. all of the regulations, so I guess I shouldn't go 10 11 into great detail. But, in general, traceability, you know, 12 13 make sure that you can verify your sources of APIs. 14 Okay. At the time these observations were Q. 15 made, you weren't able to do that? 16 Α. I don't know what happened. 17 Ο. Observation 4: The container labels of your outsourcing facility's drug products are deficient. 18 19 Specifically, the following products did not have 2.0 your firm address and or phone number listed on the 21 syringe label. Is that just a labeling issue? 22 23 Α. It certainly sounds like it. What is glycopyrrolate? 2.4 Ο.

It's a medication that we use for a variety

25

Α.

- 1 of uses.
- 2 Q. Like what?
- A. I see it commonly in geriatric patients where
- 4 | they have drooling. Excessive drooling can be one of
- 5 them. There are a lot of different uses. It's an
- 6 older drug.
- 7 Q. I think there are five drugs listed.
- 8 Neostigmine, what is that?
- 9 A. Neostigmine, yeah.
- 10 Q. Neostigmine, what is that?
- 11 A. If I'm not mistaken, that's used to reverse
- 12 anesthesia.
- 13 Q. And atropine, what's atropine?
- 14 A. Again, many different uses; older drug. It's
- 15 anticholinergic.
- 16 Q. I'm sorry?
- 17 A. It's anticholinergic.
- 18 Q. Give an example.
- 19 A. It depends on, you know, the atropine can be
- 20 used during anesthesia; it can be used for management
- 21 of certain types of poisoning.
- They use it for your eyedrops when they
- 23 do your vision test and they put those drops in your
- 24 eyes to open your eye, pupils, so they can see the
- 25 | back of your eye. So --

- 1 Ο. What type of drug is it? 2 What do you mean? Α. I'm sorry. What did you say? 3 Q. 4 Α. Anticholinergic. 5 Phenylephrine? Ο. Phenylephrine. 6 Α. What is that? 7 Ο. Medication used for managing your blood 8 Α. 9 pressure; people are in shock. And then what's that last one? 10 Ο. 11 Succinvlcholine. Α. Yeah. And what's that for? 12 Ο. 13 Succinvlcholine, let me think. I think that Α. 14 one is used -- you see, I don't practice in this 15 area. 16 My area of expertise is really sterile compounding, and, you know, handling of the drugs, 17 18 the regulations that come with that. So, you know, 19 keep that in mind. I feel like I'm here on a 2.0 pharmacy drug quiz. So these container labels -- I mean, what are 21 Ο. these that weren't properly labeled? What are these 22 23 drugs being used for? What are they -- why are they
- 25 A. They are shortage drugs, and so that's why we

at Nephron? Does that make sense?

2.4

made them at the time. I'm not sure we make any of 1 2 them at this point. I know we make -- I think we make 3 4 phenylephrine, but I think the neostigmine we maybe 5 off or on. The atropine I don't think we make right 6 Succinylcholine I'm not sure we make right now. 7 You know, being a 503B outsourcing 8 pharmacy, you can only make medications that are on 9 the FDA shortage list, and so we make those. So these were compounded drugs? 10 Ο. 11 503B compounded drugs, yes. Α. And so you get this Form 483, and then what 12 Ο. 13 happens? 14 MS. LEONARD: Objection to form. 15 THE WITNESS: So it depends on your 16 management. I mean, obviously, you address all of 17 the issues that you can as soon as possible. 18 MR. SUTHERLAND: Rob, can you put up what 19 will be Exhibit 10. It should be a Form 483 from 2.0 April 20, 2018. 21 MR. MITCHELL: And as always, it's 22 en route. 23 MS. LEONARD: Okay. (WHEREUPON, a document was marked as 2.4 25 Exhibit Number 10.)

BY MR. SUTHERLAND: 1 So just for the record, Dr. Almgren, this 2 appears to be a Form 483 issued on April 20th of 2018 3 4 to Nephron Sterile Compounding Center. 5 Again, is that the compounding facility that you work for? 6 7 Α. Yes. What's the observation listed in this 483? 8 Ο. 9 This was the -- I am fully aware of this one, Α. because even though this happened before I have 10 really joined Nephron, I believe, but I have heard of 11 this one. 12 13 And basically it is a citation that one 14 of our sterile pharmacy technicians did not have 15 exactly complete gowning. What happened is, per CGMP 16 requirements, the gowning for the sterile environment 17 in a CGMP environment is more stringent. So in a cleanroom in a hospital for 503A, 18 19 you actually can have skin exposed. You have to wear a mask, hair net. I mean, there's a whole special 2.0 21 gowning. But for 503B compounding for outsourcing, 22 23 because we have extended beyond use date and because we have higher sterility dates and requirements, we 2.4

basically require -- or, it's required by CGMP that

25

all of the folks that work in a clean environment in 1 2 the cleanroom are fully gowned. So in this violation what happened is 3 there was a young woman, I believe, who worked in the 4 5 cleanroom, and she had one of these really big hairstyles where she had kind of like her hair pulled 6 7 up on top of her head. And so she had the gowning on. 8 The 9 gowning was appropriate, but because of the amount of hair on her head, when she moved in a certain way, a 10 11 portion of her neck was exposed briefly. 12 So what's the concern with the exposure of Ο. 13 her skin in the cleanroom? 14 Α. There is potential for contamination. 15 we typically do at Nephron -- and I have seen this at 16 Nephron multiple times -- we actually have air 17 quality monitoring system in each of our hoods. 18 So when we perform any kind of a sterile 19 compounding, we still require people to be fully 2.0 gowned, but we have a continuous air quality 21 monitoring system that basically monitors for presence of any contamination. 22 And so should this small exposure be an 23 issue, the alarm will go off, and everything that has 2.4

been compounded during that time will not be used for

25

patient care. 1 What kind of contamination could occur? 2 So it could be particulates. It could be, 3 Α. you know, bacterial. 4 And what could result from that type of 5 Ο. 6 contamination to the compounds that are being 7 prepared, the same as what we were talking about earlier? 8 Yes, just what we talked about before. 9 Α. like I said, we monitor the environment and, you 10 11 know, if alarms go off -- like I said, we require 12 full gowning. 13 So, of course, that was a violation 14 because there was a brief period, a very short 15 exposure where a person's neck was exposed, as it 16 states in the statement. 17 Anyway, the air monitoring will alert you if the particulate matter had entered the sterile 18 19 area, and in that case we will stop any production 2.0 and everything is discarded. MR. SUTHERLAND: Rob, can you put up what 21 will be Exhibit 11, and send that to Ms. Leonard and 22 23 Dr. Almgren. /// 2.4 25 ///

1 (WHEREUPON, a document was marked as Exhibit Number 11.) 2 (An off-the-record discussion was held.) 3 BY MR. SUTHERLAND: 4 5 Dr. Almgren, for the record, this appears to be a Form 483 issued to the Nephron Sterile 6 7 Compounding Center on November 15th of 2019. Uh-huh. 8 Α. 9 Are you familiar with this inspection? Ο. I do remember an inspection vaquely, but I do 10 11 remember it. Okay. Can you tell me what -- I'll wait 12 Ο. 13 until you have it so you can read it. 14 Α. Yes. 15 Ο. Yeah. Dr. Almgren, who gets presented with 16 these Form 483 by the investigators when they --17 Α. It is our CEO, Lou Kennedy. Okay. And are you part of the review process 18 Ο. 19 in your capacity when you-all receive one of these 2.0 when you are there? Not necessarily, because some of these are 21 Α. outside of the scope of my practice, so not 22 23 necessarily. What do you mean by outside the scope of your 2.4 25 practice?

1 Α. So, you know, if it has something to do with 2 things that I really -- you know, like engineering controls, for example. That will be more of an 3 4 engineering type of response. That would not be something that I would be able to address. 5 Okay. I received the document, I'll look 6 at it. 7 Observation 1: Test procedures relative to 8 Ο. 9 appropriate lab testing for sterility are not written and followed. 10 11 And then it gives: Specifically the firm's written procedures for conducting rapid 12 13 sterility testing are deficient in that -- and it 14 gives three -- it actually gives five examples. 15 If you can look at those, and if you are 16 able to comment on them, I'd like what your --17 Α. Fortunately, I'm looking at the microbiology report, the rapid sterility. I really don't know 18 19 what the "background too high," what that refers to, 2.0 so that makes it difficult to make any educated 21 statements. I would have to go back and ask what that was specifically --22 I understand. Number 4: Firm does not have 2.3 Ο. procedures requiring eye exams for individuals that 2.4 25 conduct review of rapid sterility test sample

1 results. Again, this is more of an HR issue. 2 assuming that they did not screen -- I don't know. 3 The rapid sterility testing, this refers to 4 5 microbiology testing for the sterile preparations. Procedure --6 Ο. 7 Α. It's just a part of it. I'm sorry, that's all. 8 9 Ο. All right. Procedures did not require observance of actual positive microbial events by an 10 11 analyst prior to performance of sterility testing conducted for commercial products. It was observed 12 13 that an individual was allowed to conduct an 14 inspection of rapid sterility samples, including 15 prior to completion of activities, and demonstrate 16 they have seen and can identify what a positive 17 microbial event looks like. For example, during the period from October 3, '19 to October 29, '19, a lab 18 19 associate was allowed to conduct finished product 2.0 rapid sterility testing prior to the associate 21 participating in a method validation on October 29, 22 2019. Where, according to firm management, they 23 would have been -- they would have seen and identified a positive microbial event example for the 2.4 25 first time at the first. During this time the

1 associate conducted tests from multiple lots of 2 product, including but not limited to rapid sterility 3 sample sets. 4 Are you familiar with this particular observation in this --5 No, I am not. You know, microbiology lab is 6 7 in management. You know, keep in mind, the company has 2,500 employees, if not more by now, and so I 8 9 don't keep up with all of these. I honestly am not familiar with what happened there either. 10 11 Observation 2: Procedures designed to prevent microbiological contamination of drug 12 13 products purporting to be sterile are not followed. 14 Is this something that you are familiar 15 with? 16 Α. I don't know. Let me read it all. T'm not 17 familiar with the second observation. Let me look at 18 this. 19 See, this is a great example. 2.0 that you have pulled up this, because this really 21 shows how the aseptic technique matters, and how such a small issue, what may seem to you as a layperson, 22 how serious of a violation it is. 23 So I'm really glad that you are bringing 2.4 25 Thank you for bringing this up, because,

1 again, it illustrates the importance of the aseptic 2 technique and how -- I mean, here we are talking 3 about the way that the operator was handling, you 4 know, how the caps were handled and how the door was 5 open. So I hope that this, if anything else, 6 7 also illustrates the importance of aseptic technique and how much of a concern it is to make sure that the 8 9 products are -- you know, it maintains sterility. So, again, I'm reading this with you. 10 11 do not remember this incident in great detail, but I 12 deal with sterile techniques. I think we did 13 training afterwards. Like I said, I don't remember. 14 I probably, at the time I was there -- so 15 at the time I'm pretty sure, you know, I dealt with 16 this as in I have heard about it. But it's been a 17 while, so I don't remember all of the details. But as I'm reading it, I'm thinking, 18 19 well, it kind of rings a bell. And I think we did 2.0 some additional training to address this. 21 Observation 3: Aseptic processing areas are Ο. deficient regarding the system for monitoring 22 environmental conditions. 23 Will you look at that and tell me if you 2.4 25 are familiar with this one?

1 Α. Yes, this is an interesting one. I do 2 remember this one. They are quickly tapping their 3 fingertips on each media plate. Yes, so this refers to how the -- how the 4 5 personnel monitoring is done. And this is very person specific. So, you know, when FDA comes -- and 6 7 this is something you have to keep in mind as well. You know, the FDA comes and does 8 9 inspection, it's a point in time. So they come in, and whatever they observe at a time is what you learn 10 from these inspection forms, you know. 11 So you have 12 to look beyond just those and look at the quality 13 reports and standards that they follow in the big 14 scale of things. 15 But in this one it's obviously the 16 technique, the proper technique for the personnel 17 monitoring was not exactly as it should be. Go back up to Observation 2, what on the 18 Ο. 19 aseptic technique issue that you are talking about --2.0 if the person that was observed doing this that 21 resulted in the observation, how do you -- I quess what I'm trying to figure out is, they were preparing 22 a product, right? 23 2.4 Α. Yes. So was that product discarded? 25 Ο.

1 Α. So we perform extensive quality control 2 after -- you know, after every batch is made, every product that's made, we perform sterility studies. 3 We perform assay, endotoxin, all that's required per 4 USP. 5 So I cannot honestly tell you whether 6 7 these observations had resulted in those particular products to be discarded, because I honestly do not 8 know. 9 T --They might not have been -- they might not 10 11 have needed to be discarded, right? Well, it depends. The quality assurance or 12 Α. 13 quality control testing is performed when these 14 products are finished. 15 Q. Right. 16 If they meet the quality standards, they Α. 17 potentially could be used, but, again, it depends. 18 But, you know, this is a prime example where you see 19 the operator in this Observation part 2, when you 2.0 read on the top of the page -- what is this, page 3, 21 I quess, where it talks about the -- yes, yes, right up there. 22 23 So it says, you know, the violation was that the person just moved their arm over the cap 2.4 scoops containing caps. And so they blocked the 25

1 first air and there's a potential for, you know, just 2 a minor, you know, contamination just from movement of the air. 3 So it just tells you how important 4 5 aseptic technique is and how important it is to not contaminate, for example, caps. Which a cap is, 6 7 obviously, concerned critical site. 8 Ο. Do you know whether this resulted in having 9 to not use product? I do not know. 10 Α. Is it possible that it didn't? 11 Ο. Objection. 12 MS. LEONARD: 13 THE WITNESS: I don't know. I would have 14 to see the quality. I could see what was done. 15 BY MR. SUTHERLAND: 16 Observation 4: Written procedures for Ο. 17 sampling and testing plans are not followed for each drug product. 18 19 Are you familiar with this? 2.0 Let me look. Yes. I do remember this Α. 21 because we had a training afterwards. And, basically, this just shows you, again, the importance 22 of visual inspection and how you have to have the 2.3 2.4 proper technique. 25 So what's happening here was the

technician instead of slowly inverting, or, you know, in a normal controlled motion observing the syringe, you know, to flip it over to make sure that you see any contaminants moving within the syringe, they inverted it quickly and, you know, did kind of a -- they didn't do proper technique, and so we were cited on that.

2.0

2.4

So we were cited in that our visual inspectors did not -- it was one inspector, and I actually talked with this person afterwards. And they said they just got a little nervous when FDA was standing and watching them.

But, you know, FDA doesn't care, you need to show your proper technique. So it is what it is, we did the proper training afterwards. And it is -- the SOP 4301 does talk about how you are supposed to perform that motion.

Q. Observation 5: Aseptic processing areas are deficient in the floors and walls are not smooth and/or hard surfaces that are easily cleanable.

Are you familiar with that?

A. So that's more of an engineering department than my department, but our floors and our walls are sealed. So there's a proper seal that we have on all of them to, you know, have the nonshedding surface to

1 maintain the room, you know, to prevent any pieces come in the walls and contaminating. 2 But in terms of the smoothness, again, 3 4 outside of my expertise in terms of how that was 5 addressed or anything like that. 6 Observation 6: Aseptic processing areas are 7 deficient regarding the system for cleaning and disinfecting the room and equipment to produce 8 9 aseptic conditions. Are you familiar with this observation? 10 I'm reading, just so you know --11 Α. I'm sorry. 12 Ο. Sure. 13 -- the entire paragraph. Α. 14 Yeah, this is more of an environmental 15 control, obviously, issue. 16 Relating to? Q. 17 Α. Cleaning procedure. 18 Ο. So, basically, there was a cleaning procedure 19 that wasn't being followed? 2.0 That's what it sounds like, yes. Α. 21 Observation 7: Established test procedures Ο. are not documented at the time of performance. 22 2.3 Are you familiar with this? So that is really just, I think, again, a 2.4

more specific issue. It appears like it is relating

25

1 to employees, really, more not when they -- you are 2 supposed to -- when the task is performed, you need to record it right away. 3 And what sometimes happen is they finish 4 5 the task and then they go and record it. And so if 6 you are recording it not at a time when it happened, 7 it is not appropriate. Observation 8: Appropriate controls are not 8 Q. 9 exercised over computers or related systems to assure that changes in master production and control records 10 11 or other records are instituted only by authorized 12 personnel. 13 Are you familiar with this? 14 Α. Outside of my expertise. That's an IT and 15 technology department. I have no control over that. 16 Does this relate to access, who has access to Q. the information? 17 We have very limited access, so I do not know 18 Α. 19 how that happened. I'm not really sure what that was 2.0 in regards to. It sounds almost like -- let me read 21 this again. 22 It sounds like there's some backup data

thoroughly review any unexplained discrepancy whether

Okay. Observation 9: There's a failure to

Again, I don't know.

23

2.4

25

missing.

Ο.

1 or not the batch has been already distributed. 2 Specifically, the firm does not conduct investigations when finished product rapid sterility 3 4 samples are deemed background too high. Samples were 5 deemed background too high approximately 23 times in the last 90 days, with no investigations performed. 6 7 Source nor cause of the high event counts have been The product is without identification of 8 identified. 9 the cause, nor examination to identify the events. Are you familiar with this? 10 I'm not familiar with this exact citation, 11 it's exactly -- I think it was already kind of 12 13 mentioned up earlier as one of the -- part of another 14 citation up here about the background too high, 15 and --16 What does that mean? Ο. So that's what I'm trying to decipher, what 17 Α. exactly does that mean, because that does not refer 18 19 to the product failure. 2.0 The products, you know, we perform 21 microbiological testing of all of our products, and, of course, if those were to fail, you are not going 22 23 to release those. So I'm not sure what the "background too 2.4 25 high" is. I don't know whether that relates to

1 technology or the rapid sterility testing; I'd have 2 to go back and ask. 3 Again, microbiology, this is a microbiology lab that had undergone some major 4 5 changes after this inspection. MR. SUTHERLAND: Lynne, can we take about 6 a 10-minute break? 7 MS. LEONARD: Yeah, that's fine. Do you 8 9 think you have much more to go? I'm getting pretty 10 MR. SUTHERLAND: close. 11 (An off-the-record discussion was held.) 12 13 BY MR. SUTHERLAND: 14 Dr. Almgren, I think I'm almost finished. Q. 15 Let me ask you this. 16 Have you -- as part of your work in this 17 case, were you asked to attempt to locate any source 18 of pentobarbital or any other lethal injection 19 chemicals on behalf of the plaintiff? 2.0 To locate pentobarbital? Α. What? No. No. 21 You weren't asked to help locate a source for Ο. pentobarbital? 22 2.3 Α. No. Or any other lethal injection chemical? 2.4 Ο. 25 Α. No.

- 1 Ο. Or any other chemical? 2 Α. Obtain, you mean like -- no. 3 Q. Well, let me clarify. 4 Were you asked to attempt to locate 5 pentobarbital or any other chemical that Tennessee 6 might be able to use as an alternative to its current 7 protocol? MS. LEONARD: Objection. This is getting 8 9 into privileged material. BY MR. SUTHERLAND: 10 11 Okay. Dr. Almgren, are you familiar with any source that would provide pentobarbital to the 12 13 Tennessee Department of Corrections for lethal 14 injection executions? 15 Α. I am not. 16 Okay. Are you familiar with any source that Q. 17 would provide any other lethal injection chemicals or other chemicals to the Tennessee Department of 18 19 Corrections for use in judicial executions? 2.0 I don't know of any. I don't know what you Α. 21 mean by that. No. I did not -- I'm not sure what 22 you are asking. Okay. Have you searched for pentobarbital or
- Q. Okay. Have you searched for pentobarbital or sources of pentobarbital that the Tennessee

 Department of Corrections could use in lethal

1 injection executions? 2 Α. No. 3 Q. Okay. Or any other chemicals? 4 Α. No. 5 You have not. Ο. Okay. How did you get involved in the -- it 6 looks to me that your first -- was your first 7 involvement in the lethal injection issue in the 8 cases we talked about earlier, like in '19, 2019, 9 '18, '19? 10 11 Α. Yes. 12 How did you get involved in this area? Ο. 13 I was asked to provide expert testimony or Α. 14 expert, I quess, report. 15 Q. And how did you get identified as -- did 16 somebody cold call you and say: We are looking for 17 an expert, just out of the blue? 18 Α. Yes. 19 And when was the first time that you received a call like that? 2.0 21 So I was an expert witness for the Swearingen Α. case in Texas. 22 So the lawyers for Swearingen just called you 2.3

It was not -- I believe there was some other

out of the blue?

2.4

25

Α.

1 office person or some -- I think it was -- I'm trying to remember what was the -- I think it was 2 actually -- let me remember before I say anything. 3 4 Let me try to remember. I think it was an organization called 5 Reprieve that had reached out to me. 6 7 And what is that organization? Ο. I believe that they are opposing death 8 Α. penalty, and they basically, I think, support maybe 9 whenever there are cases like this. 10 11 And so you just got a call out of the blue from this organization or somebody from the 12 13 organization? 14 Α. Yes. 15 Ο. Do you know how they got your contact 16 information? 17 Α. I can only assume -- I teach a lot of aseptic 18 technique and sterile compounding. And their 19 interest was to get my expert opinion on aseptic 2.0 technique, whether the aseptic technique that is used 21 for preparation of lethal injections is appropriate. And so they provided me some, you know, 22 23 materials for review and I provided my expert opinion, and they felt that it was sufficient. 2.4 25 Ο. So the first contact you had was from

- 1 somebody that was with this organization? 2 Α. Yes. Okay. And was that different than the 3 Q. 4 attorneys for Mr. Swearingen? 5 I honestly am not sure whether they work Α. 6 together or --Was it an attorney that contacted you first? 7 Ο. I'm not sure if that was an attorney. 8 Α. 9 Honestly, I just don't remember; it's been a while. But you think the organization was called 10 Ο. 11 Reprieve? 12 Yes. Α. 13 Do you have any personal views on capital Ο. 14 punishment? 15 Α. I do now, doing more research in this area. 16 What are your personal views? Q. 17 Α. I mean, I honestly don't think it's morally right to kill a person. I don't think you can ever 18 19 justify. 2.0 And tell me about that a little bit. Why do Ο. 21 you feel that way? I just think that there is never a right 22 Α. 23 reason to kill a person, and so I think it's just
- And seeing this, you know, how in this --

2.4

wronq.

- a lot of people basically may end up on death row,
- and there are even potentially some that may be
- 3 innocent, it really is not a good thing.
- 4 Q. And how long have you felt this way?
- 5 A. I mean, I never supported the death penalty,
- 6 but I never really thought about it in great length
- 7 until I really started looking more into it.
- 8 But I always felt that it is just
- 9 morally -- it's not -- I personally believe it is
- 10 wrong to put a person to death.
- 11 Q. And you would say that whether it was lethal
- 12 injection or any other method?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. And is that something that you have arrived
- 15 at working on these cases?
- 16 A. As I said, I always felt that it was not the
- 17 | right thing to do, but working on these cases, I
- 18 really solidified my view.
- 19 Q. And what was it --
- 20 A. I feel that medications should not be used --
- 21 if we are talking about lethal injection, the
- 22 medications are meant for people to get well. They
- 23 | are meant to heal people. They are not meant to
- 24 harm, and definitely not meant to kill a person.
- 25 Q. So you think lethal injection itself

- 1 shouldn't be allowed because of that reason? 2 Α. Right. 3 Q. Are those opinions you have about capital 4 punishment, are those opinions that you have shared 5 with other people? 6 Α. Perhaps at times, yes. Like who have you shared those opinions with? 7 Ο. I mean, my husband. 8 Α. 9 Colleagues within your profession? Q. Perhaps some of them, if the conversation 10 Α. 11 came up, but I would not necessarily bring up the conversation by itself. But if it did happen, I 12 13 would not be ashamed of expressing my personal view. 14 Have you talked about your views about lethal Q. 15 injection with colleagues in the pharmacy within your 16 profession as a pharmacist? 17 Α. In a pharmacy where I -- any pharmacy 18 colleaques? 19 My question is, colleagues at the 2.0 university -- at the university that you work with, 21 have you discussed your views with them in conversations? 22 I don't think we had these types of
- A. I don't think we had these types of

 conversations, so I don't think so. At least, I'll

 put it this way, I do not recall any specific

1 conversations that we would have about this. 2 I don't remember. If I did, it must have been a while back, but I don't remember. 3 MR. SUTHERLAND: Dr. Almgren, I think 4 that's all of the questions I have for you today. 5 Ι appreciate your time. 6 7 Lynne, thank you. THE REPORTER: Did you need this typed 8 9 up? MS. LEONARD: Yes. If we could have a 10 11 copy of the transcript, that would be great. Send it to the Federal Community Defender 12 13 Office. 14 THE REPORTER: Scott, did you want this 15 typed up? 16 MR. SUTHERLAND: Yes, the original. 17 FURTHER DEPONENT SAITH NOT 18 (Proceedings concluded at 4:18 p.m.) 19 2.0 21 22 23 2.4 25

1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2	
3	STATE OF TENNESSEE
4	COUNTY OF DAVIDSON
5	I, SANDRA ANDRYS, LCR, RPR, RMR, with
6	offices in Nashville, Tennessee, hereby certify that
7	I reported the foregoing videoconference deposition
8	of DR. MICHAELA ALMGREN by machine shorthand to the
9	best of my skills and abilities, and thereafter the
10	same was reduced to typewritten form by me.
11	I further certify that I am not related
12	to any of the parties named herein, nor their
13	counsel, and have no interest, financial or
14	otherwise, in the outcome of the proceedings.
15	I further certify that in order for this document to be considered a true and correct copy, it
16 17	<pre>must bear my original signature and that any unauthorized reproduction in whole or in part and/or transfer of this document is not authorized, will not</pre>
18	be considered authentic, and will be in violation of Tennessee Code Annotated 39-14-104, Theft of Services.
19	Belvices.
20	STATE
21	TENNESSEE NOTARY PUBLIC
22	SANDRA ANDRYS, LCR, RPR, RMR Elite Reporting Services
23	Licensed Court Reporter (TN) Notary Public State of Tennessee
24	
25	My Notary Commission Expires: 6/26/22 LCR 583 - Expires: 6/30/2022

Exhibits

Ex 01 - Dr. Michaela Almgr en 3:7 6:6,15,18

12:21

Ex 02 - Dr. Michaela Almgr en 3:9 12:25 32:18 33:2 43:7 109:5

Ex 03 - Dr. Michaela Almgr en 1:5 3:10 13:1 114:13

114:13 132:6

Ex 04 - Dr. Michaela Almgr en 3:12 27:21 28:5

Ex 05 - Dr. Michaela Almgr en 3:13 73:3 119:4

Ex 06 - Dr. Michaela Almgr en 3:15 181:19 182:5,7,9 188:18

Ex 07 - Dr. Michaela Almgr en 3:17 183:8,10

Ex 08 - Dr. Michaela Almgr en 3:19 188:18 189:20

Ex 09 - Dr. Michaela Almgr en 3:20 210:20,23 212:12

Ex 10 - Dr. Michaela Almgr en 3:22 226:19,25

Ex 11 - Dr. Michaela Almgr en 3:24 229:22 230:2

0

000001 190:23

06 55:10

08 55:14

1

1 6:6,15,18 12:21 33:18 34:3 187:25 212:23,24 231:8

1,000 118:19,20

10 65:9,21 196:19 207:8 226:19,25

10-minute 73:25 242:7

100 29:15 63:25 125:14 156:3,11 158:21 190:18 218:4,22

1000 118:25

10:47 74:4

10:50 74:6

11 74:25 132:6 200:18,19 229:22 230:2

110 80:14

1118 190:23

11:50 74:7

12 89:24 139:23 141:2

12:30 112:13.23

12:45 111:15 112:8

13 69:12,19 75:21 77:6,8 79:14,17 141:15 144:4 148:18 201:14 202:19

14 75:1 79:17 145:20 146:17 148:18 201:14 204:6

15 41:10,11,12,13 79:17 148:13 149:14 165:5 180:18 207:9

1501 221:1

15th 230:7

16 79:17 154:19 161:20 163:6 187:25 207:12

16th 188:1 191:15, 19 195:16

17 13:1 27:18 33:5 79:18 164:25

17th 13:12

18 77:7,10 79:14, 18 84:4 85:8,9 244:10

19 165:22 232:18 244:9,10

1:30 77:19 112:9, 13,23

2

2 12:25 13:5 27:8, 19 32:18 33:2,4, 18 34:3 43:7 109:5 114:13,15, 18 132:6 217:15 233:11 235:18 236:19

2,500 233:8

20 41:17,20 61:13 189:13 226:20

20- 102:17

2004 54:1 63:9

2010 55:10 58:19, 25 64:16,21 67:1 69:5,9,18 73:5,11 74:15

2012 64:22 65:9, 22 67:2

2013 74:22 75:4 76:1 77:17 90:9, 21 91:2,22

2017 211:21 212:20

2017-2018 102:18

2018 29:13 76:1 164:8,11,14 226:20 227:3

2019 187:25 188:2 230:7 232:22 244:9

2021 13:1 27:18 33:5 113:21

20th 227:3

21st 211:21 212:20

22 149:1 169:8 171:8

23 177:13 178:3 182:18,21 183:1, 19 184:1,4,13 241:5

24 177:13 178:3 183:5 184:1,17 199:14

25 41:21 184:1

26 185:23

27 186:22 187:8, 18,20

28 196:23

29 189:4,22 190:2 191:3 196:23 232:18,21

2:15 182:2

3

3 13:1,5 27:9,19 33:19 34:4 114:13 115:19,20 220:15, 16 232:18 234:21 236:20

30 41:6

32 196:23

34 30:24 31:6 202:14 203:1

35 26:24 29:19 30:18,20 31:6,8, 10

36 31:15

37 31:18 204:19 205:12

38 31:23 190:16, 24 205:19

39 31:25 **503B** 84:15,17 24 143:25 155:8 18 90:2,3,5,14 91:1 166:2 192:6.15 accomplish 92:3,7 93:2,10,25 197:3 198:25 4 17:24 95:2,7,18 96:23 97:4,9,19 131:20 accomplished 8 **4** 27:21 28:5 132:6 211:9 218:13 17:24 139:20,22 140:4 221:9 226:7,11 account 81:21 149:3.5 177:9 **8** 185:17 188:18 227:22 223:17 231:23 189:20 209:18 accredit 125:8 5th 29:13 237:16 240:8 accreditation **4-16-2019** 191:8 122:25 123:2 6 193:25 194:21 9 125:7 **4-21-2017** 210:21 accuracy 105:15 **6** 33:9 149:2 9 190:2 209:18 **40** 26:24 29:20 181:19 182:5,7,9 210:20,23 212:12 accurate 74:20 32:2 73:24 185:15,18 188:18 240:24 145:24 147:5 209:18 239:6 **4301** 238:16 90 241:6 accurately 17:8 6-A 33:12 148:12 153:7 **45** 112:18 191:15, 96 54:24 **6-B** 33:15 16,18 192:6,15,23 achieve 118:10 **97** 44:2,12,19 193:3,9 6-C 34:19 45:13,14 49:6,13 achieved 50:25 **45-day** 195:16 6-D 34:20 99 30:9 44:23.25 acronym 91:3 **483** 131:18,19,21 45:15,19 49:6,14 **6-E** 34:22 acronyms 90:13 210:21 211:8,11 50:15 54:1 212:13,23 226:12, **6-F** 34:24 act 68:7 90:20,25 19 227:3,8 230:6, 91:11,24 122:12 6-G 34:25 Α 142:11 165:8,14 483s 211:18 Abbott 62:24 action 200:25 7 4:18 249:18 **Abbreviated** active 22:5,13 **7** 104:18 183:8,10 99:15 127:7 **4:30** 77:19 185:15 196:19 abilities 186:18 activities 213:11 4th 8:25 200:13 209:18 232:15 239:21 ability 9:24 43:15 **Acts** 121:7 5 **70** 64:1 **absence** 163:10 actual 19:25 171:19 **795** 57:7 **5** 73:3 104:18 52:17,18 107:24 absolutely 15:8 114:17 119:4 **797** 35:13 52:25 194:13 232:10 53:15 56:4 72:13 139:20 155:16 72:8 73:15 91:23 add 47:18 48:11 89:1 97:15 128:25 156:18.25 177:8 95:9.12.16 96:2 103:23 192:9,19 183:23 238:18 118:16,24 119:9, academic 67:2 12,17 120:6,8,18, 68:25 74:17 75:7 adding 192:11,16, **5-31** 195:6,9 23,24,25 121:1,2, 85:11 **5-31-2019** 193:15, 8,23 122:5,11,18, additional 26:19 accept 122:23 19,25 20,22,24 123:2,5, 95:21 207:18 10,13,22 124:7,19 acceptable **50** 41:11 189:5 234:20 125:1,10 126:20 121:11 197:5 50/50 86:13,14 127:10,12,22,23 Additionally accepted 121:8, 128:4,8,9 129:2,4, 144:5 **503A** 91:23 92:6. 12 122:20,22 12 130:11,15 22 95:2.7.9 97:1 additives 192:17 132:9.11.19.21.23 accepts 122:11 121:19 125:17 133:15 134:14.23. address 143:8 131:23 227:18 access 20:6 25 135:3,12,16, 183:3 184:2 38:14,16 240:16. 18,24 136:10,20, 194:13 201:1

223:20 226:16 231:5 234:20 addressed 120:12 239:5 addressing 207:18 adequate 141:4 200:25 adjunct 74:23 adjust 192:17 administer 123:21 124:19 142:8 149:23 150:2,7,11,18 152:7,15,20 153:1 156:3 administered 127:22 138:7.22 158:24 161:22,24 199:3 administering 126:5 137:11 142:17 151:7 155:5 170:12 administers 123:22,23 administration 152:13.18 177:11 administrative 52:5 221:24 admit 12:21 admitted 66:13, 17

administering
126:5 137:11
142:17 151:7
155:5 170:12
administers
123:22,23
administration
152:13,18 177:11
administrative
52:5 221:24
admit 12:21
admitted 66:13,
17
adopted 53:11
133:20
advanced 55:25
59:3 78:24 86:24
165:1
advise 199:4
advisor 84:11
Affairs 106:22
affect 9:24 97:13
affirmative 15:5
afraid 158:17

AG's 7:18 **agree** 118:8 119:13 129:14 ahead 12:20 27:5 48:7 79:3 90:22 119:4 **Aid** 55:8,20 56:6, 7,21 57:4,11 63:3 64:4,24 65:1,8,23 74:20 134:12 **air** 157:7,9,13,15 167:10 168:12,15, 17.24 169:1.3.5. 22 228:16,20 229:17 237:1,3 airflow 157:5 airtight 164:2.5 alarm 228:24 alarms 229:11 albuterol 93:13 alcohol 166:5,17, 18,19,21,22,24,25 167:2,4,6,13,21 168:1.3 alert 200:3 229:17 **Alex** 5:20 6:20 7:16 39:22 181:2 algorithm 48:13 allegations

allowed 142:10. 13 150:12 232:13, 19 248:1 **Almgren** 5:2,8 6:4 7:3 8:2 13:7,9,22 14:11,20 25:14 27:7,13 28:6,12, 22.25 29:14 30:20,25 33:4 38:17 43:8,15 69:24 73:23 74:11 110:9 111:19 113:2 114:17 116:21 117:3.17 119:5,7,15,17 132:8 139:8,23 164:8 181:3,13,21

10:22,25 11:6,19,

24 12:3

182:11 183:12 189:22 190:10 193:11 205:10 208:7 210:25 211:4 223:8 227:2 229:23 230:5,15 242:14 243:11 249:4 Almgren's 12:22

Almgren's 12:22 13:1 27:18 43:7 114:14

alternative 91:17, 25 243:6

ambiguous 125:22

amended 11:10 12:2

amount 47:17 80:7 92:9 153:15 162:16 170:24 228:9

amounts 144:10

Ana 5:20

analyses 117:25 **analysis** 19:12 32:6 39:12 51:4 52:3 54:21 99:17

analyst 232:11

analytical 200:14, 20

analyze 30:15 47:14 48:10 51:24 52:16

analyzed 11:22 47:2,8,9 52:17

analyzing 46:6 52:11,18

and/or 238:20

ANDAS 94:3 99:13

Andrys 5:9

anesthesia 224:12,20

answering 10:10 16:18 174:19,21, 24 175:11 205:6

18:13 anticholinergic 224:15,17 225:4 anymore 53:23 147:19 150:22 218:1

answers 14:22

214:22

API 22:5,13,22 24:18,20 25:1 26:6 35:21 207:24,25 208:18, 23 209:11

APIS 103:23 144:7 208:2 223:13

apologize 37:21 47:5 82:22 119:20

app 55:24 112:21 apparent 144:18

APPE 86:24

appeared 177:16

appears 146:18 171:15 176:5 178:16 204:14 212:15 227:3 230:5 239:25

applicable 53:5 220:19

Application 99:15

applied 124:13,16 135:12 202:11

applies 122:5 123:8 128:9,12,20

apply 53:3 123:5 127:18 128:23 134:1

applying 133:17

appointment 76:10,22,25

appropriately 153:2 202:2

approval 106:16 approximately

41:5,7 113:15 241:5

April 191:15,19 195:16 211:21 212:20 226:20 227:3

area 63:21 72:22 77:23 84:12 106:24 225:15,16 229:19 244:12 246:15

areas 17:6 71:24 97:3 141:22 144:22 234:21 238:18 239:6

arise 215:14

arm 236:24

arrangement 87:16 94:23

arrived 247:14

arrow 50:9

arts 44:9

ascertain 207:10

aseptic 84:19 91:9 152:1,3 153:6 165:1,6 166:1,12 213:3,4, 8,9 215:11 216:18 233:21 234:1,7,21 235:19 237:5 238:18 239:6,9 245:17,19,20

aseptically 126:3 151:22

ashamed 248:13

assay 201:5,7,9 203:6 236:4

assigned 149:15

assignment 78:8, 12 89:15 144:11

assist 98:9

assistant 5:11,12 69:3,10 72:4 75:8,

assisted 52:22

associate 33:17 232:19,20 233:1

assume 192:14 193:3 194:3 209:9 245:17

assumed 96:5

assuming 34:1 36:24 40:4 87:24 150:23 158:23 191:1 194:9 203:19,20,21 221:5,10,11,19 232:3

assumption 97:4 136:15 168:21,22 194:15 210:9,13, 18 221:14

assumptions 220:22

assurance 213:10 236:12

95:23 96:13 153:18 197:5 209:12 217:18 219:17 220:2 240:9

atropine 224:13, 19 226:5

attach 153:25 167:15

attempt 242:17 243:4

attend 60:13 99:3

attention 34:11 145:21 146:3,6,16 147:8,9,20,24

attorney 5:10,11 8:5,6 246:7,8

attorneys 5:12 36:1 39:15 40:9 42:21 43:1 109:15 110:22 111:5,9 114:20 246:4

attributes 171:16

Atyia 5:13 audit 52:8.22 123:1 124:7,9,16, 21 130:18.19

audited 131:12

auditors 124:21 125:4

audits 124:20 130:16

August 64:22 65:9 69:12,19 77:6.17 79:14

authorized 240:11

authorizing 141:4

automatically 24:11

autopopulated 220:7

availability 8:19

average 98:11,17 160:19

aware 9:19 23:9 42:9 88:24 89:4 124:17,20 153:5 164:7,9,10,13,20, 21 171:9 172:14, 22 221:12 227:9

awesome 222:19

В

bachelor 44:1

back 20:21 26:7, 21 29:16 36:23 44:14,18 45:11 53:15 54:8 59:10 73:5 74:2 75:4 81:20 84:13 99:18 100:20,22 102:19 112:22 113:2,17, 19 127:9 132:5 136:9 139:17 144:19 145:7 146:14 148:3 152:5 173:17,24 181:4 187:14 188:14 204:1 214:12 216:2 217:4 222:18

224:25 231:21 235:18 242:2 249:3

background 38:25 169:17,18 171:1,20 213:21,

23 222:22 231:19 241:4,5,14,24

backup 240:22

bacteria 157:12

bacterial 214:8 229:4

badge 87:19 88:14

bag 154:3 169:22

bags 103:22

balanced 56:11

balances 210:11

Baldridge 5:20

bar 23:14,17,24 24:7 26:5

base 144:15

based 8:17 90:18 92:24 100:6 114:22 161:19 162:7,21 174:5,14 187:23 192:6 204:10 205:15 220:23

basic 153:16

basically 20:15 21:13 22:2 23:15 24:15 47:8 48:13 51:12,15,24 53:8 54:9 56:23 60:4, 20,23 61:5 63:2 64:19 66:9 70:13 76:13 87:7 95:12 118:2 119:1 131:11 156:25 157:9 200:2 201:8 202:10 209:11 211:10 214:2.5 227:13,25 228:21 237:22 239:18 245:9 247:1

basics 152:19

basing 144:14 **blocked** 236:25 **bubbles** 169:23 58:21 67:9 68:6,7 71:5 75:5.10.22 **basis** 70:17 **blood** 168:9 225:8 BUD 192:23 193:2 77:6,10 81:11 102:21 blue 244:17,24 **bunch** 104:8 89:8 133:24 Bass 5:21 245:11 carriers 125:9 **Bureau** 109:8 board 53:6 106:5. bat 11:12 35:24 business 49:11 case 5:13.23 8:7 148:25 17 121:11 122:10, 9:4 10:7,11,14,24 93:10,15 94:9,12 14 131:24 **batch** 92:20,23 11:1,11 12:7 222:23 182:23 236:2 **boards** 121:6 13:18 16:7.13 busy 70:1 80:17 241:1 17:1,5,9,14 20:16 **bodies** 123:1 113:18 21:13 30:2 36:8. batches 57:24 124:25 **buy** 23:4 11,15,20 37:6,13, 91:6 92:10 99:10 **body** 125:7 21 38:5,8 39:9,11 173:9 175:6,19,23 40:10 41:3,25 178:17 C **book** 20:10 23:4 42:14 89:3 53:16,17,18,19, 109:10,23 111:3,8 batching 57:23 20,22 calculate 71:21 113:8,12 114:8 Bayer 82:15,20 137:12 173:23 **boot** 107:17 calculations 175:2 216:1 bedside 155:20 70:12 71:18 **bottle** 16:24 229:19 242:17 157:14 calendar 87:7 244:22 **bottom** 13:11 began 73:8 23:18 29:8,11 calibration cases 17:5 109:1, beginning 34:14 207:8 210:10 11,19 110:11,17, 21 244:9 245:10 **behalf** 12:18 bought 66:6 **call** 5:10,20,22 247:15,17 125:8 242:19 55:24 103:18 **bow** 50:9 244:16,20 245:11 catch 214:17 believed 145:22 **boy** 48:21 114:4 called 5:3 45:24 causing 165:14 **bell** 234:19 **BP** 35:19 36:3 72:15,16 83:4 **caveat** 15:18 benefit 179:8 208:19 209:7 131:18 244:23 245:5 246:10 **center** 55:13 56:3 break 7:6 15:16 **Berry** 5:21 90:11 91:3,4 94:8, 73:25 111:14,20 calling 55:22 **big** 62:22 92:10,11 13,15,19 97:18 112:3,25 113:5 97:17 117:19 **camp** 107:17 100:9,13,16 107:4 181:25 242:7 118:3 228:5 122:24 134:7 **campus** 67:15 **breaks** 9:8,11 235:13 227:4 230:7 cap 236:24 237:6 **briefly** 26:18,25 bigger 103:22 Central 112:14,23 228:11 capable 51:15 biggest 210:1 **CEO** 230:17 **bring** 163:21 capacity 33:20 bill 40:22,24 certainty 125:14 191:25 248:11 230:19 159:18 160:16 billed 41:2 bringing 63:23 **capital** 246:13 certificate 106:22 233:24,25 biology 44:2 248:3 certificates 222:5 British 208:1 bit 32:24 125:22 caps 234:4 236:25 164:24 196:22 237:6 certification **broke** 74:14 208:9 213:23 100:21 103:15 care 53:9 111:18 **broken** 179:4 246:20 106:12,17,21 151:23 216:5 107:11 **black** 169:18 **bromide** 154:21. 229:1 238:13 170:14 171:1,20 22 155:10,25 certified 100:20, **career** 44:16 156:4,12 158:20 22 101:20,22,24 **blank** 221:4 159:9,25 **careful** 144:10 102:1,3 106:5 blends 49:22,25 brought 25:1 51:8 Carolina 44:3,6 **CGMP** 85:6 90:7,

45:22 55:9,14

15,18,24 92:18 95:19,22 103:14 104:6 222:25 227:15,17,25

CGMP-RELATED 211:13

chance 28:3 58:6 64:6 136:7 167:7

change 80:8 81:4, 6 82:19 184:10 185:13

changed 80:1,3

chapter 20:7,8 35:13 53:7 73:15 95:12 118:17,24 119:1 120:12 121:8 122:11,18 123:25 124:1 125:1 128:6,12,16 129:25 132:23,24 134:25 135:24 162:18

chapters 20:11, 12 35:14 118:18, 19,23

check 31:1,4

chemical 45:18, 20 47:4 48:14 49:15,17,23 50:22 72:7 144:6 152:8 158:18 159:23 168:16 187:9 196:21 242:24 243:1,5

chemicals 10:19 35:1 45:24 46:9 47:7,12 49:18,20 51:24 141:6 142:9 150:3,7,19 151:8 157:12 168:4,11 171:10 177:10 242:19 243:17,18 244:3

chemist 45:17,19 46:4,13 47:1,7 48:2 49:14 50:19, 21,23 51:1,17,21, 22 52:2 53:4 54:19

chemistry 44:2 46:6,19 47:18

52:9 57:7 58:25 59:9 60:5 61:4,18

chemists 48:17

chemotherapy 57:22 72:8

children 65:15

chipping 59:13

chloride 34:22 138:1,2,4,7,22 154:22 155:25 158:24 159:7,8 160:1,21 162:2 171:22 172:3,7, 15,23 173:11,15, 20 174:2,8,13 175:3 176:12,14 177:15 178:1,25 180:21 181:17 183:7 184:5,9 185:15 201:4,14 204:7,14 205:14, 23 206:3,17

circumstances 9:18 137:8

citation 131:11, 14,22 221:20 222:14 227:13 241:11.14

cite 124:17 127:10,17 144:25 145:2,9 147:13,16

cited 124:22 131:4,10 145:3,16 146:2,4,7 147:12 214:24 218:10,16 238:6,8

cites 35:3 162:13

citing 124:18

Civil 9:9

clarify 195:13 243:3

clarifying 109:25

class 62:2 72:6 79:8,12 104:18 155:16 156:18,25 210:16

classes 60:2

clean 168:20,21 228:1

cleanable 238:20

cleaned 168:13

cleaner 168:14

cleaning 100:2,3, 4,6 239:7,17,18

cleanroom 57:20 58:5,7 78:7,10,12 157:4 227:18 228:2,5,13

cleans 165:23

clear 116:18 117:15 164:18 212:19

click 36:6

clinical 63:21 64:6 68:23 69:4, 10 72:4 75:7,9 84:11 85:12 86:16 87:3,5 89:15 99:19 128:10 129:13,16,19

close 131:8 212:4 242:11

closed 103:9

cloth 167:22

CMS 123:3 125:8

co-preceptors 87:9

cold 244:16

collaborated 98:5

colleague 5:21

colleagues 5:19 71:5 89:1 248:9, 15,18,19

collection 118:6

college 44:3,8 53:21 71:3,4 74:17 75:10,22 76:8,9,14,24 77:10 80:25 81:1, 3,5 98:15 104:17, 19.21

color 171:12

Colorado 107:16

colors 169:19

Columbia 44:3,8, 9 45:22 55:9,14 67:15 77:6 100:11

comfortable 57:8,16 206:25 207:6

comment 231:16

comments 145:15

commercial 232:12

commercially 31:20 184:25

commissioner 5:14 33:19

common 56:13 98:20 125:9 177:2 198:23

commonly 200:6 224:3

communicated 113:8

communication 39:6 66:20

Community 5:17 249:12

companies 88:20

company 45:18, 20,23 46:9,13 47:3,24 48:3 49:11,16 50:9,22 72:16 84:7,10,16 89:11,17,19,25 98:12 233:7

comparable 35:17,18

compendium 23:2,10,12 24:7 53:7 118:9,17,18

120:9 200:24

compensated 89:10,16 competency 133:21

complaint 11:9, 10,14 12:2

complete 60:5 87:2 124:16 132:3 227:15

completely 164:3 165:25 184:23 217:25

completes 220:6

completing 204:3

completion 232:15

complex 57:22 102:25 103:6,10

complexity 95:5

compliance

20:19 52:24 200:15,21

compliant 21:17, 19 22:11 104:18

comply 90:15,24 104:5

component

85:11 86:17 93:18

components

88:6 217:18 219:17 220:2

compound 22:18 58:17 90:6 91:22 92:2,5,9 96:8 97:9 101:3 103:13,16 104:16 105:3,5 121:18 125:20,21 126:2 133:8,18,22 152:11 157:4 169:13 192:8 193:1 197:24 198:19 208:21

compounded

22:2,10 34:19 95:14 97:20 100:9 102:10 114:25 115:7,22 123:20, 23 124:8,12 127:21 130:21 132:11 135:11 137:11 142:20 155:11 163:23,24 170:13,18 171:3 172:22 184:25 185:6 193:4 197:8,21 198:3 199:2 200:14,21 202:21 207:1 226:10,11 228:25

compounding

21:25 57:3,6,16, 21 58:10 71:11. 14,17 72:7,9,10, 17,21,22 73:1,8, 10,13 77:22,23 84:15,16,18,19 90:3,4,5,11,15 91:1,2,4,21 92:7, 22,23 93:2,17,18, 22,23,24 94:7,8, 13,14,17,19 95:18 96:23 97:18 100:9.13.14.18 101:4,9,12 102:8, 10 103:2,4,11,19 104:3,9,10,22 106:9,15,17,25 107:2,5,6,9,11,14, 17,21,24 119:2 121:4,13 122:8,16 123:4,14 125:16 126:10,18 132:8, 17,18 133:3,4 134:2.7.10.20 156:18.24 157:1. 8,14,21 158:2,5 169:21 170:2,5,8 197:10.24 199:1 200:6 206:23 207:9,14,17 211:9 225:17 227:4,5,22 228:19 230:7 245:18

compounds

102:21,24 103:8 104:13 108:2 124:19,23 126:11 170:3 176:12 200:9 207:4 229:6

computer 16:21

computers 240:9

concentrated 172:7 **concern** 97:6,17 147:5 156:2,4,14 158:22 159:13

165:6,7 166:23 167:5 178:12 182:20 184:8

204:8,18,21 205:14,18 206:2,5

210:1,15 228:12 234:8

concerned

144:22 160:10 161:18 206:21,22 237:7

concerns 141:9, 12,14 148:6 178:10,11 183:13 201:15 204:2 205:11,24 210:2,3

concluded

249:18

condition 10:3

conditions

126:22 127:1 128:17 129:25 143:11 156:5 160:7 196:21 197:9 234:23 239:9

conduct 231:25 232:13,19 241:2

conducted

232:12 233:1

conducting 231:12

configurations 99:22

confirm 183:11

conform 217:19 220:3

conformed

219:18

confused 44:5 182:24 185:3 215:4

confusing 67:10 160:6 185:10 196:10

confusion 36:21 110:6

considered 68:8 88:3 101:10 129:18 155:20 187:12

constituting 156:10

constitution 170:7

consultation 110:21 144:1

consulted 109:15 110:25 111:3,9 143:18

consulting 64:8 66:4,22 143:7 144:2

consuming 70:11

contact 193:5 245:15,25

contacted 114:7 246:7

contacts 16:8

contained 30:13

container 164:3 223:17 225:21

contaminants 157:17 219:14 238:4

contaminate 237:6

contaminated

91:8 136:6 137:21 138:5,22 153:19 161:17 165:15 215:23

contaminating 165:8 167:3 239:2

contamination

96:25 97:7 132:13 135:1,25 136:4,5 137:25 138:8,12 156:15,16,22 157:2 158:15,16 159:2,5,8,14,23 160:13 166:15 167:19,24 168:16, 24 213:1 214:8 216:12 228:14,22 229:2,6 233:12 237:2

context 136:3 137:10,20 158:3 165:4 216:16

continued 59:18 79:5 123:10

continuing 72:19 107:12,13 133:13 134:18,21

continuous 198:7 228:20

contract 80:3 82:6 87:18 113:20 114:5 209:16

contract-wise 80:7

contracts 79:21

contrasting 169:19

control 52:4,20 62:9 203:24 220:13,19 236:1, 13 239:15 240:10,

controlled 144:8 159:3 238:2

controlling 48:15

controls 217:16 219:17,25 231:3 240:8

conversation 248:10,12

conversations 248:22,24 249:1

coordinating 70:7

copies 19:3

copy 12:1 13:23 14:18 23:2 26:23 27:22 29:16 33:12 43:9,20 53:22 116:22 181:2 249:11

core 93:15

corner 29:8

Corporation 94:11,12 210:21 212:14

correct 9:25 10:5 12:18 13:13 14:17 26:9.10 31:21 43:20 44:10 50:19 52:25 53:1 55:7 58:23 62:18 64:18 66:21 67:17 68:19 69:2,13 75:3,6,12, 23 76:3,4 85:14 93:1 97:23 109:1 110:20 111:11 115:9 117:9 129:12 140:8 141:10,11 145:25 147:6.13.14 153:12 154:20 155:12,15 171:24 178:15 184:6,7,22 204:5 205:16.17. 20 207:22 212:22 218:18,19

corrected 177:4 218:17

correction 221:20

corrections

33:21 129:1 131:5 172:16,23 173:1 174:2,18 175:3,7, 20 176:13 188:4 243:13,19,25

correctly 47:3 92:21 142:3

corresponds 175:25

counsel 8:9,17 11:22 13:18 41:22 119:24

counseling 56:25 83:20

count 15:24

country 97:22

counts 241:7

couple 13:23 39:20 40:20 42:20 43:1 61:22 70:4

74:18 75:16 109:20 110:13

190:8,19 203:4 **courses** 59:13,

15,20,25 60:24 61:4 70:2,4,8,11 72:14,20 78:21 107:7,12,14

134:19,22 153:9 coursework

59:17 60:4,21 62:1 81:22

court 14:20 17:9

cover 49:3

covered 127:22, 23 129:2

covers 124:2 129:4

create 62:10 169:22 221:13

credit 61:14

critical 72:15,16 107:15 153:7 157:11 160:11,25 161:15 166:14 237:7

crucial 141:3 146:19

CSTDS 103:9

cure 50:7

current 23:22,23 63:6 90:17 200:24 218:3 243:6

curriculum 86:22

customer 176:8

customers 56:22

cut 81:20 205:7

CV 13:15 14:4 43:4,7,20,24 44:1, 22 45:7,8 46:17, 23 47:19 52:21 55:2 64:15 72:3 74:13 98:2 Czechoslovakia 44:14

D

daily 16:24 101:5, 6 102:21

dangerous 199:20

data 11:22 136:15 240:22

date 8:16,17 97:5 113:13,16 114:5, 10 146:21 155:19 188:13 191:17 192:6,15,23 193:4,6 194:20, 21,24 195:2,8,17 218:25 227:23

dated 13:12 191:8 196:3 211:20 212:19

dates 8:19 185:24 227:24

dating 144:11 196:5

Davis 109:9

day 8:21 17:18 69:23 70:12 78:8, 13 80:11 81:5 82:1 83:24 95:5 98:24 113:14 199:13

day-to-day 51:3 69:17,19 70:17 77:13 85:20

days 79:6,7,21 80:5,14,19,20 81:11,12,15 82:6, 7 83:11 85:25 98:11,17 191:12, 14,15,16,18 192:6,15,23 193:3,9 241:6

dead 159:10

deal 107:14 204:2 234:12

dealing 56:22 177:22 215:11

deals 31:20 183:23 dealt 134:12 234:15 **Dean** 5:12 death 128:18 130:1,4 137:12 150:19 165:5 245:8 247:1,5,10 death-sentenced 114:21 Debbie 34:3 December 53:25 54:1 60:6 decide 99:7 decipher 241:17 decision 163:5 decisions 35:18. 20 deemed 241:4,5 deep 198:11,19 **defect** 219:10,12 defects 218:9.14 **Defendant's** 190:23 defendants 5:13 Defender 5:18 249:12 deficiency 135:15 136:10,22 215:11 deficient 223:18 231:13 234:22 238:19 239:7 **define** 20:23 definition 101:11 118:13,14 129:16, 18 degradation 156:9

degrade 96:18

162:16

degrades 176:23 degrading 168:5 degree 44:2,12,19 45:5,13 49:13 58:19 59:8,12,23 60:1,5 61:24 63:5, 6,12,17,20 64:16 67:21 68:4,25 69:7 70:23 133:21 degrees 59:3 95:5 delay 25:18,21 181:14 delineate 118:2 delivering 58:4 delivery 163:25 demonstrate 102:23 133:21 214:1 215:19 232:15 demonstrated 148:1 department 13 174:1,14,18 175:3,6,20 departments 98:5 **depend** 137:7

33:21 82:17 83:4 172:16.23 173:1. 176:13,15 188:4 220:14 238:22.23 240:15 243:13,18,

150:21 160:7 depending 34:10

51:23 78:7 98:14 105:14 121:5 131:16 137:13 184:22

depends 71:22 86:4,6 92:12 98:13,25 101:19 108:20 131:2 132:1,20 133:6,11 136:21 137:24,25 138:11 142:11 143:20 160:24 176:20 199:13,14

224:19 226:15 236:12.17

DEPONENT 249:17

deposed 10:7 deposition 6:6

7:21 8:9,10,16 9:7,20 12:22,23 15:17 16:4,9,19 17:22 18:18,24 25:25 26:16 27:20 29:19 30:1 31:13 32:13,22 34:14 39:13 42:9.19 108:25 144:15,16, 19,21,25 145:2,4, 17 146:11.14 180:18 188:24

depositions 12:13 33:15,22 34:8 37:19 38:21, 24 40:25 41:8.14 140:13 177:16,19

deputy 8:5 describe 128:16 129:25

describes 95:13 119:1 165:22

description 154:13

designed 130:3 212:25 217:18 220:2 233:11

designee 33:20

detail 46:16,18 85:25 145:21 146:3,6,16 147:8, 9,20,24 151:10 152:14 179:10 210:12 223:11 234:11

detailed 177:10 179:8

details 11:8,13 85:9 125:23 214:11 234:17

detect 169:18 219:9

determine 48:10 114:11 143:12 144:9 184:11

determining 99:5 100:5

develop 62:14 70:2,6 218:7,9

developing 70:13

development 51:5 52:22 62:13 84:12 85:2 99:2

devices 103:9

died 91:10 differ 182:23

difference 116:19 144:6 155:4

differences 95:1. 7 117:11

differently 18:6

difficult 61:3 80:13 186:16 231:20

direct 82:15,18

directed 83:7

direction 82:10

directions 177:18

directly 46:23 57:18 71:9 88:2 89:15 93:6 94:21 103:13

director 83:1,2,3

dirt 168:23

dirty 160:14

disagree 120:3

discard 193:12. 19,25 194:2,24 195:6

discarded 196:16 229:20 235:25 236:8.11

disclaimers 23:18

disclosed 210:8

discovered 211:12 219:15 discrepancies 131:13 discrepancy 240:25 discuss 6:10 42:7,13 99:3,9 135:6 201:3 discussed 17:13 19:2 41:23 42:5 143:20 149:2 248:21 discussing 10:17 16:12 148:22 discussion 25:12 74:9 182:3 223:5 230:3 242:12 disinfecting 239:8 dispense 96:4 126:10,25 133:10 198:10,18 199:6 dispensed 97:8 108:21,22 dispensing 83:13 198:19 dispose 105:4 Distance 74:24 distant 60:24 distinct 88:6 distinction 38:1

184:24 distracted 7:7 distributed 241:1 District 10:9

doctor 123:9 195:2 196:4

94:1

division 93:9,25

doctors 106:6 150:13

document 6:17 8:11 13:8 28:4 29:3,9,22 30:9,14 31:1 35:16 36:6 37:16.17 73:2 148:25 177:20,22 180:5 182:4 183:9 189:19 210:22 211:25 217:9 226:24 230:1 231:6

documentation 11:21 37:24 114:23 144:11

documented 239:22

documents 7:16. 22 11:25 12:7 13:4 26:19 32:12, 20 33:24 34:5 35:2,7,8 38:10 181:9 189:23 190:15,24

dog 15:24 16:23 60:18 119:20

dog's 15:25

Donnie 186:23 188:1,6,19 189:5 191:5,21 194:1

door 126:1 128:6 234:4

dose 158:24 177:2,12

doses 152:12

double 163:15

double-check 19:19 37:2 66:21 109:2

downstairs 170:18

DQ 90:19

DQSA 90:9 91:11, 19.24

draw 158:6

drawing 154:22 155:13 156:20 158:3

drawn 155:2

drooling 224:4

Dropbox 34:2,6 36:1.9.12.23 38:10 39:7

drops 224:23

drug 21:8,15,16 24:12 33:15 84:12 90:20,25 91:12 96:16 97:7 99:14, 15.16 103:8 117:22 120:21 123:7 136:1,6 137:22,25 140:5 141:3,15,25 142:16 144:5,7,8, 11,15 145:20,21, 24 146:3,20 147:3,23 148:9, 15,22 149:7,11 160:7,25 161:14 171:5,12 173:3 179:7 187:22 188:23 201:1 208:25 213:1 217:19 219:18

233:12 237:18 **drugs** 21:6,22 26:24 31:21 32:6 34:19 51:19 52:13 91:8 96:10 103:20 111:10 118:3 120:22 137:11 144:10,18 161:3, 21 162:8.22 172:10 178:16 182:23 206:1,13 224:7 225:17,23, 25 226:10,11

220:2 223:18

224:6,14 225:1,20

dry 22:14

dual 76:10,25

due 8:23 90:10

duly 5:3

dumb 18:11,12 62:19

dust 157:16,17 167:8,21 168:6,8, 17,23

duties 46:5 77:11

Ε

earlier 86:23 93:21 113:5 116:24 143:20 148:9 187:21 215:7 229:8 241:13 244:9

early 32:15 191:20,24

easily 25:18 238:20

Eastern 74:7 112:13.23

eat 111:17

educated 142:19 143:2 231:20

education 17:7 43:25 62:17 72:19 107:12,13 132:25 133:13 134:19,22 140:1

Edward 189:5 191:5,21

effect 159:9 165:11 166:6

effective 95:24 132:10

effectively 62:12

effects 132:14 136:1 161:25

efforts 57:2.25

elaborate 176:3

electives 61:23

electronic 19:3 20:5 24:16 26:23 29:16

email 7:1,7,9,10, 15 8:15 13:22 27:13.14 28:9 31:1 43:10 114:11 117:8,12 212:7

emailed 19:3 37:17 117:4

emails 7:15

emphasis 133:2 emphasize 133:4 employee 87:14, 21 88:3,12,15 102:17 employees 54:13 104:5 233:8 240:1 employment 43:25 49:8 55:4 87:16 **EMT** 34:3,4 en 226:22 enclosed 164:3 encompass 91:1 220:13 encompasses 209:19 end 17:18 34:15 110:4 165:7 191:20,24 195:17 247:1 endeavor 17:6 ended 54:8 80:4,6 endotoxin 138:5. 12,23 139:1,3,5 236:4 **enforce** 120:18 122:7,14 128:6

130:14 enforceable 121:17,23 122:1,6 **enforced** 120:15. 16 130:17 enforcement 130:11 enforces 121:3 engineer 48:14 engineering 231:2,4 238:22 England 90:11 91:2,4 134:7 enjoyed 55:22 **ensure** 199:1

entered 16:5 229:18 **enters** 16:3 entire 15:10 29:21 30:15 87:7 92:20 239:13 entirety 122:22 entry 63:6 145:22 203:17 environment 97:1 103:14 155:16 156:7,19, 25 159:2 227:16. 17 228:1 229:10 environmental 234:23 239:14 **EP** 35:19 36:3 208:19 209:7,10 epinephrine 216:22 equilibrate 153:13 equipment 134:3 213:17 214:18 239:8 **Ernest** 33:17 error 185:5 errors 185:21 54:16

essentially 9:9 establish 191:17 192:6

establishment 217:17 219:25 et al 9:5 10:8 ethically 150:2,6 European 208:1

established

221:3 239:21

evaluate 143:5 event 232:17,24 241:7

events 232:10 241:9

evidence 213:10 **exact** 63:10 87:16 94:9 114:5 125:13 241:11

exam 60:20,23 61:1,2 106:19

examination 5:6 170:21 241:9

examine 172:12

examined 173:1

examples 140:19, 22 146:2 147:10, 23 162:15 167:20 231:14

exams 80:18 231:24

Excessive 224:4

excipients 193:7

excluding 9:8 **excuse** 128:10

executed 188:1,6

execution 29:1,2 109:9 154:23 165:4

executioner 33:16 140:6 141:4 148:14 149:13,14 151:15,18 154:9 164:25 165:22 171:9 172:18 179:5,20 184:11 185:5

executioner's 171:21

executioners 173:4

executions 155:2 164:7,11,17 243:14,19 244:1

exercised 240:9

exercises 105:15

exhibit 6:6,15,18, 20 12:21,22,25 13:1,5 14:2 27:21 28:5 32:18 33:2 43:7 73:3 109:5

114:13 119:4 132:6 181:19 182:5,7,9 183:8, 10 188:18 189:20 210:20.23 212:12 226:19,25 229:22 230:2

exhibits 5:25 13:24 14:3 27:18, 19 180:18,19 185:15

expand 210:6

expect 158:12 159:25 160:1.5. 20,21 161:3,7,24 162:1,7,21 166:6 167:18,23

expected 165:9

experience 55:25 72:9,10 73:10 74:14 86:24 107:21,24 130:14 134:11,15,16 162:22

experienced 71:17

expert 10:15 12:17 13:8 19:12. 13 32:6 36:7,8,10, 15 38:6,7,20 39:6 42:12 108:24 109:21 110:1,9,10 113:11 114:8,22 133:15 244:13,14, 17,21 245:19,23

expertise 71:23 120:11 133:12 225:16 239:4 240:14

experts 36:11 37:7 120:9

expiration 163:15,16 185:24 188:13 192:12.20 193:8,9

expire 192:22

expired 53:23 162:4 163:7 186:5,20 187:3,8, 12 195:19

explain 11:2 18:23 123:18 124:5 192:2 216:14

explanation 118:23

explanations 118:22

exposed 97:3 156:7 176:6 227:19 228:11 229:15

exposure 53:13 134:22 228:12,23 229:15

expressing 248:13

extend 155:19

extended 227:23

extensive 139:25 151:11,12,13 236:1

extra 65:17 81:5 156:13 158:14 160:3,20 161:6 162:24 163:11,13,

extremely 80:23 103:6 131:7 141:3 168:21

eye 224:24,25 231:24

eyedrops 224:22

eyes 224:24

F

facilities 104:19, 22 124:22 220:8

facility 26:22

84:17 99:24 125:2 131:12 199:1 207:10 212:16,17 221:9 222:11 227:5

facility's 223:18 fact 100:19 122:19

134:18 150:11 164:6 165:17 188:4 205:24

factors 133:14 165:16

factory 47:9

facts 17:20 18:15

factually 9:25 10:4

faculty 65:13 71:1 74:24 76:10,21 77:16

fail 166:11 217:9 241:22

failed 203:6 204:15,23 205:20, 23 206:9

fails 206:1

failure 135:3 219:11 240:24 241:19

failures 203:5 204:13,14

fair 15:14 25:22 26:13 30:4,6 56:22 118:13,14 148:16 154:24 208:3

fairly 17:8

fall 54:24 65:21,22 67:2 75:4 77:8 78:20,24 79:5 113:25 172:10 176:21

fallen 172:3,15,24 173:10,12,15 174:3,8,14 175:4 176:14

falling 171:23 173:20

falls 176:18 **familiar** 11:18

33:23 94:18 119:17 120:11 132:9,18,19 133:15 134:14 138:2 143:24 151:21 179:7 191:1 209:17 211:17 213:5 222:24 230:9 233:4,10,14,17 234:25 237:19 238:21 239:10,23 240:13 241:10,11 243:11,16

familiarize 27:2

fantastic 60:6

fast 6:24

FDA 35:17 36:3 120:15,16,18 121:3 122:6,7 123:12,18,21 124:17,18,23,25 125:5,7,10,20,25 126:19 127:10,16, 17 128:5 130:14 221:6 222:9 226:9 235:6,8 238:11,13

Federal 5:17 9:9 109:8 249:12

feedback 99:13 104:2

feel 7:8 15:3 25:19 179:5 225:19 246:21 247:20

felt 76:19 80:23 140:14,19 144:22 148:5,7 245:24 247:4,8,16

fiber 167:21 168:9,17

fibers 167:14,15

field 120:9

figure 179:15 235:22

figured 85:5

file 190:21

filed 10:24 11:3, 10,11,15 12:2,7,8, 11 94:3

files 39:5

filing 99:12

filings 12:10

fill 66:16 77:25 213:16 214:2,5,13 215:18

filled 195:16

filling 56:21,25 58:2 63:22 83:16 98:3,8 216:19

filter 157:5

final 60:20 165:10

find 49:24 53:22 130:25 180:15

fine 7:1,5 38:15 74:1 112:12 113:4 131:17 176:5 182:2 189:14 242:8

fines 131:5

fingertips 235:3

finish 29:24 34:9 121:16 139:8 157:24 174:23 175:9,14,17 199:24 205:2,4 240:4

finished 47:9 170:10 232:19 236:14 241:3 242:14

fired 166:11

firm 223:20 231:23 232:22 241:2

firm's 231:12

fit 76:19

five-day 107:16

five-minute 181:24

five-year 79:16 83:24

fix 219:3,8

fixed 219:4,6

flag 214:9

flip 169:20,23 238:3

float 65:3 formal 17:7 frozen 191:11,12, glanced 34:2 13.22.23 193:4 floater 65:5 format 7:20 glass 101:17 195:16 198:19 102:5 164:4 floating 167:9 formed 37:20 full 13:23 31:1 210:17 168:12,14,25 **forming** 35:10 49:5 56:18 68:1 glassware 76:14 78:22 floor 124:9.15 169:23 210:16 229:12 170:19 **forms** 131:25 Glaxo 59:10 floors 238:19,23 full-length 13:24 132:3 235:11 14:3 Glaxosmithkline Florida 60:8 formulating 45:15 46:17 50:16 full-time 49:8 61:19 74:24 75:2 104:3 51:2.18 54:17 65:4.12 67:18.23 focus 61:20,25 formulation 54:5 59:7 68:4 74:16 75:18 85:1 98:8 99:9,18 99:16,23,25 76:13 85:11 glycopyrrolate 107:18 171:13 192:18 223:24 fully 220:19 221:2 **focused** 30:7,16 formulations 227:9 228:2,19 goal 18:10 31:8 32:5 34:13 98:3 **fungus** 168:25 62:3 95:19 149:12 **good** 5:8,16 6:7, **Fortunately** 24 8:2,3 14:7 focusing 62:2,4 231:17 15:15 52:24 54:10 G folder 38:24 39:4 Forty-five 191:14 59:1,2 90:17 95:8 105:13 111:19 folks 64:4 120:10 forward 6:3 7:3 gave 188:19 112:5 120:7 136:7 124:9 228:1 13:22 27:21,24 137:5 140:19 general 5:11,12 28:11,21 99:6 follow 85:6 87:22 143:19 147:21 8:5 20:11 44:17 190:6 151:5 168:23 88:14,17 90:7 62:8 91:13 92:9 forwarded 172:8 191:13,23 92:17,18 95:11, 120:23 128:12 119:15 193:3 247:3 14,19 108:4 133:1 135:10 117:24 118:19.25 148:2 184:18 Gosh 61:9 found 34:11 120:22 122:17 191:16 208:15,21 131:13 governing 123:2,15 124:7, 219:21 220:5,9 four-hour 61:2 115:19,21 10,12 127:25 222:25 223:12 130:24 135:3.18 four-year 63:12 **gown** 97:2 General's 5:10 136:24 142:25 100:19,20,22 8:6 169:15 186:18 fourth 55:15 101:20,22,24,25 235:13 generally 20:23 102:3 frazzled 80:23 21:11 52:9 113:15 **follow-up** 118:22 free 7:8 15:3 gowned 228:2,20 163:9 215:17 forceable 121:11 157:16 220:11 gowning 227:15, foreign 209:1,4 16,21 228:8,9 freeze 198:11 generic 94:4 229:12 forever 132:21 freezer 198:13 geriatric 224:3 **grade** 144:7 **forgot** 87:10 **freezers** 198:17 gestures 15:3 208:23 221:25 189:11 frequently 101:8, **give** 15:5,10 18:13 grading 80:15 form 6:9 88:21 10 23:20 25:19 37:16 graduate 59:12 97:24 105:7 47:14 69:23 85:25 freshen 112:4 110:10 131:18 95:6 124:4 126:16 graduated 60:3,7 148:19 149:19 **Friday** 78:14 79:7, 128:14 129:8 63:15 67:1 68:3. 195:21 201:17 18 80:2 137:19 167:19 14 69:9.18 73:6 206:6,19 208:5,11 189:12 197:8 74:15,16 75:21 Fridays 78:4,14 211:8,11,18 198:2 213:22 132:23 133:12 212:13 219:20 front 43:9 157:5 224:18 220:6 226:12,14, great 6:21 43:13 187:6 189:18

19 227:3 230:6.16

glad 111:16

233:24

74:8 102:24 181:7

210:12 211:2 223:11 233:19 234:11 247:6 249:11 **greatly** 134:15 group 189:23 quarantee 22:10 127:6 **guess** 11:16 19:8 21:2 31:5.6 32:18. 23 35:2 43:1 44:8 45:7 46:11 48:15 52:24 55:15 61:11 68:1,8,23 74:4 79:16 85:10 111:21 112:2 114:1 126:7 132:16 135:6,7 142:12 145:7 150:13 156:17 164:17 165:3 188:17 191:20 201:12 210:20 213:2,22 214:13 223:10 235:21 236:21 244:14 guidance 20:10 21:14 35:16,22 36:3,5 53:8 73:15 92:14 95:10 117:20 121:12,13 125:13 127:25 132:11 134:25 135:24 162:19 guidances 62:10 162:12 guideline 121:10 guidelines 19:17 21:2 22:9 26:1 53:10 73:14 97:11 117:20 118:10,20 120:15 132:10 **Gunn** 5:21 guy 129:8 **guys** 111:15 Н

hair 157:18 158:11 227:20

228:6,10 hairstyles 228:6 half 43:3 71:1 76:23,24 **halfway** 195:23

hand 7:21 15:3 185:23

handful 40:13

205:7

148:4 handle 50:10 128:3 129:6

141:6,17 142:3,20 152:19 153:1,7, 19,23,24

handled 123:7. 10.11 151:22 154:10 161:10,12 234:4

handles 123:9 128:4,13 129:9

handling 26:24 30:16 32:6 115:23 124:3.23 129:3 142:16 146:24 154:4 161:14 225:17 234:3

hands 104:3

hands-on 103:18

handwritten 34:24

handy 31:2 57:8

happen 22:24 55:18 126:14 156:14 158:17 159:2,13,15 172:6,9 173:6 174:11 213:19 214:16,24 216:9 219:8,9 221:22 240:4 248:12

happened 37:11 55:18,19 79:20,22 139:7 162:10 175:16 203:11,16 206:2 214:12,19 216:1,4,6 217:11 219:7 223:16

227:10,15 228:3 233:10 240:6,19

happening 113:19 124:11 237:25

happy 14:4 144:20 145:8 146:10

hard 238:20

harm 114:25 115:8 128:10,17, 21 130:1,4 132:13 134:24 135:2.4. 16,18 136:1,10,20 137:22 150:14 247:24

harmed 91:10 137:1 138:7,23

harmonized 209:9

hate 18:10

hazardous 103:8

he'll 6:2

head 6:11 15:4 228:7.10

heads-up 23:21

heal 247:23

health 53:9 67:25 76:2,17 77:5,17 78:21,25 87:12 89:3 91:12 107:23

healthcare

124:18,22 127:14, 21 128:20 129:6, 10 130:20.23 170:12 198:15

hear 68:20

heard 8:4 158:9 227:11 234:16

heart 161:5

heat 48:11 50:7

held 25:12 74:9 141:21 182:3 223:5 230:3 242:12

helped 49:1 51:5 70:16 85:1.3

helpful 6:22 38:9 45:16 77:15 180:5

helping 47:23 48:15 70:7

HEPA 157:4

HEPA-FILTERED 157:6.13

hesitate 18:7

Hey 216:21

high 176:7 231:19 241:4,5,7,14,25

high-risk 125:19 126:10

higher 227:24

highest 50:25 118:11

highly 141:20

Hippocratic 150:14

hired 30:15 77:16

hit 24:13,25

hold 50:10 153:20 154:2,17 170:14

home 16:1 44:14 45:11 126:5,16 129:17,20 198:3, 12,16,20,21 199:9

home-infusion 126:23

homes 64:7 66:10,13

honest 11:16

honestly 12:5 38:12 39:10 40:13 49:12 55:21 65:14 114:1,5 125:13 223:9 233:9 236:6,8 246:5,9,

hood 155:18 157:4,8

hoods 228:17

inappropriate **infusion** 129:17 hope 17:22 husband 42:7 165:25 176:6 203:23 210:8 248:8 198:16 234:6 hydrochloride incident 234:11 **Inglis** 34:3 hospital 55:12 213:9 inclined 57:15 ingredient 22:6, 56:3,5,16,19 14 192:21 57:14 58:10 64:7 **include** 127:13 66:20 73:13 76:1, 200:1 217:16 ingredients 2,11,15,16 77:1,2, 218:5 219:25 192:9,19,20,22 **Idaho** 109:11 4,5,21,24,25 78:5, includes 36:3 inhalation 93:13 15 79:6,19 80:2,5, idea 21:11 38:25 153:11 94:1 8,9,12,20,25 identification 81:12,16,21 82:1, including 42:21 initial 13:3,17 218:25 241:8 5,9,11,25 83:8,18, 72:6 128:17 130:1 14:18 20:11 27:14 23 87:12,13,18, 232:14 233:2 33:2,4 114:14 identified 182:20 19,23,24 88:1,2 115:18 188:17 232:24 incoming 222:2 93:6 102:16,17,22 241:8 244:15 initially 45:8 107:8,23 122:16 incorrect 154:13 76:16 identify 16:5 124:6 127:15 166:1 106:23 183:1,18 134:13 170:17 initials 90:13 increased 81:21 209:23 219:11 198:8,16 227:18 **inject** 142:13 232:16 241:9 increasing hospital-related 154:2 166:15 identity 217:20 72:6 injectable 22:19 220:3 incubate 214:7 hospitals 64:12 26:8 **II** 33:5,6 209:19 88:19 93:7 96:11 independent injectables 84:20 97:21 170:11 37:5 38:5 **III** 115:20 93:22,24 94:5 hour 43:2,3 73:23 indicating 207:24 100:8,14 170:13 illustrates 234:1. 111:23 155:23,24 7 individual 33:20 injected 158:21 156:13 158:14 158:23 232:13 IM 142:14 150:12 160:3,9,20 161:6, injecting 166:25 22 162:14,15,19, individuals 172:13 **imagine** 198:19 24 163:11,13,14, 231:24 injection 10:19 immediately 16,19 187:11 industrial 47:3 20:2 22:3,4,20,23 155:21,22 **hours** 9:8.10 61:20 62:6.22 23:25 24:18 25:6, **impact** 47:22 39:20 40:20 41:2, 10 26:8,22 29:1,2 industrial-grade 7,10 42:20 43:1 35:1 110:18 111:1 impacting 211:15 47:7 56:14,18 61:7,14 128:23 137:10 76:15 83:6 87:2 importance 141:6 142:9 **industry** 53:9,11 111:24 154:23 144:10 234:1,7 57:17 62:3.5.21 150:3,7,19 151:8 237:22 156:3 161:24 152:8 155:2 63:22 64:14 163:17,20 187:10 164:7,11,21 165:4 118:12 120:21 important 135:7 199:14 168:5 177:9 146:19,23 172:12 influence 9:23 184:21 187:9 HR 232:2 197:4 201:25 189:6 192:12 **inform** 10:25 202:7,9 237:4,5 hub 154:1 196:20 201:20 information 10:5 improper 124:22 202:16 242:18,24 huge 53:20 17:9 21:3,7 35:4 156:17,18 243:14,17 244:1,8 109:16 138:17 human 42:6 247:12,21,25 in-depth 72:2,17 146:20 148:21 248:15 humongous 141:21 160:17 163:5 60:22 injections 142:14 169:5 173:11 in-house 222:6 150:12 245:21 175:2 176:11 hundred 180:2 in-person 102:6 186:4 187:3,5,6, inmate 110:22 hungry 112:18 16 240:17 245:16 inaccurately 158:22 159:10

207:5

innocent 247:3 intern 45:10 55:8, **issue** 109:25 judge 18:14 13.20 56:5.20 136:8 137:7.14 inside 155:15 **judicial** 243:19 58:2,12,17 73:12 141:9 201:2 inspected 130:24 107:4,22 214:10 218:1 **July** 29:13 187:25 223:22 228:24 internal 52:7,22 inspection **jumbled** 15:13 232:2 233:22 130:25 169:9,11, Internet 25:18.21 235:19 239:15,25 **June** 55:14 14 171:4,7,10,18 244:8 191:20,24 195:18 172:19,21 173:4 internship 56:1,2 **issued** 193:24 211:10 215:11 justify 246:19 internships 211:11,18 212:13 217:24,25 218:4, 72:12 227:3 230:6 8,21,22 219:12 K 230:9,10 232:14 intricate 96:21 **issues** 10:18 235:9,11 237:23 introduce 167:16 17:12 130:25 **Kennedy** 230:17 242:5 131:3,15 134:7 introduced **key** 52:10 134:2 inspections 137:13 140:1,15 167:10 168:8,15 220:8 143:10 145:10 kill 246:18.23 154:9 171:22,25 introducing 247:24 inspector 220:6 172:2 173:20 167:2 238:9 kind 17:3 19:2 175:22 176:1 introduction 20:15 23:21 25:20 183:18 186:14 inspectors 238:9 78:21,23 128:15 48:14 51:2 57:13 205:25 207:2,4,21 instances 145:6, 59:12 61:25 62:24 211:12,14 217:10 inventory 34:24 9 146:5 203:4 65:10 66:14 69:23 220:13 226:17 145:24 70:5 79:11 84:12 instincts 153:22 items 33:10 146:8 inverted 238:5 117:19 118:4 instituted 240:11 **IV** 33:18 72:7 133:5 147:17 inverting 238:1 153:16 156:16 129:9,20 154:3 Institution 5:15 investigations 157:2,12 158:16, instruction 241:3,6 18 167:9 169:23, J 178:10 24 196:7 211:14 investigators 220:9,12,13 instructions 230:16 January 74:25 228:6,18 229:2 34:21,23 47:15 **involve** 83:13 234:19 238:5 48:8 151:7 177:9, Jennifer 82:15,19 110:17 152:18 241:12 14 178:1,5,7,12, Jeremy 5:21 13,15,19,22,24 involved 10:16 kinds 49:19 50:4 179:1,9,12,17,21, 21:9 52:5 66:19 Jimmy 112:20 **King** 5:23 8:18 9:4 23 182:8,9,17,22, 99:1,13 100:1 **job** 17:5 44:22 10:8,11,13,22 24 183:2,7,19,24 137:14 151:10 45:5 46:11 49:5 11:3 12:2.10.18 184:2,3,5,9,18 153:3 244:6,12 54:9,10 56:5,7 40:10 41:22 185:7,9,12,15 involvement 65:12 66:2 67:2, 114:21 199:8 244:8 18,20 68:10,12,24 King's 43:21 insufficient 74:16 75:18 76:13 involving 111:5,9 141:5 146:24 knew 59:11 ipratropium integrity 128:1 **jobs** 45:9 46:17,19 knock 128:6 93:13 56:9 64:16 65:14, interest 62:4 knocking 126:1 irrelevant 45:9 25 75:17,24 245:19 knowing 17:23 irritation 165:15 John's 112:21 interesting 59:6 222:19 120:7 235:1 **ISO** 104:17.18 **Johnson** 186:23 knowledge 155:16 156:25 188:1,6,20 189:5 interject 13:20 17:12,16 32:23 191:6,22 194:1 109:24 isopropyl 168:3 72:2 106:24 107:1 joined 227:11 148:23 162:22 intermediate issuance 52:23

47:11

Kroger 63:14,15 64:5

Kursman 5:20 8:18 39:22 40:2 41:23 42:4

L

L-I-N-D-A-U 46:2

lab 39:4 46:6 72:6 79:24,25 80:5,22 81:6 102:20 103:11 104:9,17 107:7 108:2,4 209:15,16 217:16 222:17 231:9 232:18 233:6 242:4

label 195:12 196:6 223:21

labeled 13:8 225:22

labeling 223:22

labels 223:17 225:21

laboratory 34:19 202:14 219:24

labs 70:16 77:18 79:15 80:16 222:1.11

lack 146:16 147:8, 19 204:3 209:25 210:6

lacking 147:23 210:11

lacks 141:15 145:21 146:3.6

laminar 157:5

laptop 212:5

large 70:10 92:2,9 96:9 97:20 98:7 103:17,21 171:13

large-scale 57:23 90:14 91:6,21 93:2

larger 86:18,20 90:7

lasted 40:20

law 90:10 118:5 136:25

lawsuit 10:21 11:3,7 12:3,4,8

lawyer 17:4 18:14 19:10

lawyers 18:3 43:21 244:23

lawyers' 110:4

lay 154:6

laying 156:6 160:9

layperson 18:14 142:19 143:1,11, 14,18 151:6,24 152:7 185:10 233:22

lead 83:4,5,8 132:12 134:25 135:25 136:4 185:20

learn 17:6,11 56:24 62:8 71:14 235:10

learned 72:11,12

learning 60:9 166:12

leave 17:22 56:9 198:4

leaves 123:4,13 126:20

leaving 158:14

lectures 70:6

left 54:24 74:22 122:21

left-hand 29:8

legal 11:18 19:8 42:5

legible 186:13

length 247:6

Leonard 5:16,17 6:7,12,21,25 7:6, 14 8:18 13:20 14:1,14 28:10,15,

20 30:25 40:3 41:23 42:4 43:8. 13 74:1,4,8 88:21 97:24 105:7 109:24 110:3 111:18 112:7,12, 24 116:12,16 117:2,6,10,14 119:5,14 130:5,12 136:13 137:2,15 139:6 145:11 148:19 149:19 157:23 162:25 175:9,13 180:4, 10,14,20,23 181:2,7,12 189:7, 14 190:4 195:21 201:17 205:1,5 206:6,19 208:5,11 210:24 211:2 212:7 214:25 219:20 226:14,23 229:22 237:12 242:8 243:8

lethal 10:19 26:21 29:1,2 35:1 110:18 111:1 128:23 137:10 141:6 142:8 150:2,7,18 151:7 152:8 155:1 158:24 164:7,10, 21 165:4 177:9 184:21 187:9 196:20 201:20 242:18,24 243:13, 17,25 244:8 245:21 247:11,21, 25 248:14

249:10

letter 136:25

level 10:16 42:16 50:25 63:6 71:15, 23 133:11 149:24 152:24

levels 133:2

Lewis 33:17

Lexington 55:13 56:3 107:4

liberal 44:9

library 218:5,9,11, 12,14,21 219:14

LIC 184:22 185:23 186:5 187:4

license 133:17

licensed 58:16 86:25 133:22

LICS 141:17 196:24 197:5

life 60:7 69:23

light 169:17

limited 207:16 218:12 233:2 240:18

limits 100:6

Lindau 45:24 46:2,3,20 49:14, 16,18

lines 46:21 87:17

link 36:6

list 33:6,9 34:24 35:12,24 46:24 50:18 74:23 75:24 109:19 117:23 118:1 131:14 153:10 201:12 221:6,13,15,22 222:12,14 223:7 226:9

listed 21:20 34:1, 17 35:8 36:9 37:10 46:22 108:23 141:22 223:20 224:7 227:8

listen 197:22 204:25

lists 99:5 202:16

literature 100:7

litigation 110:18

living 11:17 129:19

load 70:10

loans 65:18

lobby 50:8

locate 242:17,20, 21 243:4

located 20:4 22:25 51:24 78:13 log 145:24 187:23 logs 188:8,10,11, 14 207:9 210:10 long 29:25 30:9 39:19 47:5 53:16 58:15 84:24 85:4 112:6,19 137:21, 22 138:6 153:10 176:2 193:3 199:9,12 221:24 222:24 247:4

long-term 99:11 longer 9:11 96:13

146:12 165:14 199:15

looked 19:1,3,4, 13,17,22 22:22 25:5 26:1,3,23 31:6 32:9 116:24 164:12,22 168:2 173:7 190:20

lost 195:23

lot 27:1 33:24 55:17,18 56:10 65:18 70:2 72:24 76:9 80:16 84:21. 24 85:4 91:15 95:21 97:13 99:1, 20 107:5,10 113:18 118:21 121:7,15 131:24 133:14 134:4,8 139:13 147:17 151:9 157:19 160:15 168:18 175:25 177:4 193:7 199:23.25 209:6.25 214:17 223:3 224:5 245:17 247:1

lots 46:16 90:13 206:8 207:3 233:1

Lou 230:17

love 233:19

loved 56:4

low 176:7

lucky 206:14

lunch 111:14,17, 20 112:25

Lynn 114:21

Lynne 5:17,24 6:6,15,20,23 27:23 28:14 39:22 73:22 109:18 111:12 112:11 180:9 181:11 205:4 242:6 249:7

M

machines 58:3

made 46:9 47:2,6 49:18,21,25 50:11 101:1 145:23 202:2 207:4 223:15 226:1 236:2,3

magnesium 49:23

main 23:16,19 36:25 68:12 93:15 209:1

maintain 66:14 128:1 146:25 147:4,5 148:11 199:2,10 239:1

maintained 191:22 213:11

maintaining

maintains 234:9

major 242:4

majority 41:9 60:10 64:10 72:5 83:22,24 89:1 188:9 200:20

make 14:3,4 15:4, 5 17:10 23:22 27:18 35:18,20 38:1,22 46:14 47:21 49:24 50:5, 8 57:23 79:11 80:10 82:7 84:20 92:10,19 96:16 99:22,24 103:2 104:24 105:14 108:17 116:2,4,9, 25 123:6 124:25 131:4 152:21,23 160:17 163:5,12 166:20 170:2 178:18 180:6 181:8 185:14 189:2,15 199:16 200:12 202:1 219:13 220:22 223:13 225:24 226:1,3,5,6,8,9 231:20 234:8 238:3

makes 155:14 201:24 231:20

making 12:4 14:1 22:2 60:23 99:4,6

Mallory 5:12

manage 47:23 56:10 62:12 80:13

managed 66:9

management

62:2 222:20 224:20 226:16 232:22 233:7

manager 82:14

managing 80:15 83:6 225:8

manipulation 103:18

manual 29:2 142:23

manufacture 52:12 97:11

manufactured

31:20 207:25 208:18

manufacturer

47:4 93:12,19 94:4 131:19 192:13

manufacturers 120:22 216:18

manufactures 51:19

222:5

manufacturing

47:15,24,25 48:16 51:25 52:24 90:17 93:8 94:1 95:20, 23 216:19 222:22

marked 6:17 12:25 13:4 28:4 73:2 175:24 182:4 183:9 189:19 210:22 226:24 230:1

market 63:23 99:17

married 44:15 45:11

mask 227:20

Massachusetts 91:5 134:6

master 58:24 61:7 240:10

master's 59:8,23, 25 61:17

matches 116:25

material 22:5,17 62:13 147:24 243:9

materials 33:6 46:10 47:8 52:12, 16 62:12 70:3,14 114:15 218:24 221:7,25 222:2 245:23

matter 71:24 100:19 108:9 109:8 122:19 134:18 163:14 214:20 229:18

matters 41:24 71:7 111:16 233:21

Maximum 5:15

Mays 5:15 33:17

meaning 22:13 86:16

means 16:8 213:6 215:22

meant 107:13

163:25 164:1 247:22,23,24

measures 53:12 92:19 96:16 117:23

measuring 57:9

media 213:16 214:1,2,3,5,8,13 215:18 235:3

Medicaid 122:25

medical 10:3 55:13 56:3 107:4 114:22 129:22 141:5 149:15 151:3 194:16

Medicare 122:24

medication 9:22. 23 83:14 92:9 96:4 115:22 123:8,9,20,23 124:8,12 126:4,16 128:5,13 129:7 132:12 135:1,11, 25 143:13 146:21, 24,25 149:22 151:20,21 152:19 153:18 162:17 165:13.18 166:7 167:25 176:18,20, 24 177:21 185:4 186:8,17 194:7,20 198:10 202:10

medications

225:8

206:25 223:25

21:22 30:16 57:18,21,24 58:4 63:23 84:2 90:6 91:6.14 92:4 93:3 97:10.21 108:3 114:25 115:7,22 127:23 129:9 130:20 142:3.16. 18 151:24 152:12, 15,16 153:1,8,12 154:11 155:6 160:23 161:17 162:5 163:23 164:2 176:21 177:1,4,23 178:13 179:6 186:19

188:11 197:8

198:10,14,17 200:14,21 202:1,4 208:22 209:3 226:8 247:20,22

medicinal 61:4

medicine 76:9 91:17 123:9

medicines 91:16

meet 21:16 39:14, 15 202:21 236:16

meeting 39:17, 19,21 40:5,7 42:21 207:11

meetings 39:14 40:12,22 99:3,11

meets 209:13

member 33:18,19 65:13 77:16 201:1

members 141:5

memory 39:8

mention 42:16 97:16 140:5,10 159:22 187:19

mentioned 32:8 64:4 89:20 144:17 171:8 177:19 185:22 210:17 241:13

mentioning

86:23

met 40:9 222:8

met all 108:18

method 51:10 232:21 247:12

methodology

20:12 51:6,13,16 209:17

Michaela 5:2 13:9 69:24

microbial 96:25 156:15,16 157:17 158:19 168:23 232:10,17,24

microbiological

212:25 233:12 241:21 microbiologicaltype 138:14

microbiology

231:17 232:5 233:6 242:3,4

midazolam

19:18,23 20:1,2,6, 16.18 21:18.25 22:2,3,4,5,10,16, 19,20,22,23 23:25 24:5,8,10,11,13, 16,19,25 25:6,10 26:2,5,6,7,8 34:20 145:23 155:1,5 177:14,25 178:25 180:20 181:17,18 182:7,8 184:4,9 185:14 186:22 187:24 188:5.19 189:5 191:11,12, 21 192:10,11 193:14,24 195:9, 10,19 201:3,13 202:15,16,20,21 203:12,17 204:4

middle 10:9 15:18 141:19

milligrams 156:3, 12 158:21 189:5

mimic 214:3

mind 71:1 84:22 163:21 170:16 181:24 198:9 218:20 222:1,21 225:19 233:7 235:7

mine 171:13

minimize 96:24

minimum 92:15 95:10

minor 131:3 237:2

minute 12:16 27:25 139:18 181:14 187:1 212:9

minutes 13:23

73:24 112:18 138:10,18,24 159:11 165:5 170:20 missed 82:7 164:17

missing 240:23

mistake 110:4

mistaken 224:11

misunderstandin g 135:23

Mitchell 5:11 6:19 13:24 27:10 112:18,20 119:10 181:10,16 189:11 211:1 226:21

mix-up 178:14

mixing 103:20

moment 156:10

Monday 78:14 79:7,18,25 101:24

Mondays 78:4,14

monitor 197:4,7, 11 199:16,21 229:10

monitored

185:24 196:24 198:4

monitoring

197:16 198:7 200:1 228:17,21 229:17 234:22 235:5,17

monitors 228:21

monograph 20:1, 7,9,14,17,24,25 21:1,4,8,12,20,21 22:3,7,16,20 24:8, 16 25:1 26:6,9 202:15 208:3

monographs

19:25 20:13 22:12,25 26:2 32:16 35:20 118:1

month 56:1 86:21 87:7 98:17,19 102:2

month-to-month 77:14

months 89:24

100:21 192:13

morally 246:17 247:9

morning 5:8,16 8:2,3 24:24 26:3 29:6 32:10,15,17 37:5 38:4 39:16 42:9

motion 169:24 238:2,17

move 27:17 79:25 81:7 111:13 195:25

moved 228:10 236:24

movement 237:2

moving 99:6 200:16 238:4

multiple 93:3 96:15,22 99:20,21 144:17 192:9,16 228:16 233:1

mute 74:11

Ν

names 39:23 82:23,24

Nashville 5:22

naturally 153:22

nature 168:24

NECC 90:10 91:3

necessarily

18:12 71:7 105:20 124:14 125:6 133:23 209:2 222:4,16 230:21, 23 248:11

neck 228:11 229:15

needed 49:3 58:1, 4 64:19,21 65:18 66:16 79:24 83:10 134:3 144:10,20 236:11

needle 153:20 154:1,3 161:15

165:23 166:2,4, 17,24 167:1,5,10, 16

negative 15:6

neostigmine 224:8,9,10 226:4

Nephron 84:7,9, 11,17 86:5,9,12, 15 87:6 88:10,12 89:7,11 90:2 93:9, 12,17,18,23 94:7, 10,11,12,18 97:18 98:3,10,18,22,25 103:17 104:5 210:21 211:17 212:13 219:16 221:8 222:1,19,22 225:24 227:4,11 228:15,16 230:6

Nephron's 93:7

nervous 238:11

net 227:20

no-brainer 154:7

nodded 14:23

nods 6:11

non-healthcare 127:11.14 129:1

non-iso 156:18

nonclassified 157:20

nongovernment

al 120:13

nonpracticing 151:2

nonshedding 238:25

nonsterile 57:6 166:20,21

normal 104:16 198:23 238:2

note 15:25 29:17 140:17

noted 148:15

notes 17:1,3 37:2 147:17

notice 6:6,15 8:8, 16 9:1 12:21

notify 16:9

November 13:1, 12 27:18 33:5 114:14 230:7

nowadays 23:4 85:3

number 6:18 28:5 32:20 33:10 72:19 73:3 87:2 102:20, 24 118:18 145:3 147:4,5 153:6 154:12 175:25 177:9 182:5 183:10 189:20 204:13,14 207:16 210:2,23 223:20 226:25 230:2 231:23

numbers 13:5 22:25 23:9

Numeral 33:5 115:20

numerical 33:9 114:17 132:6 139:22 141:2

numerous 147:23

nurse 123:8,21, 22,23 149:23 150:2,6 152:14,20 170:19

nurses 170:25

nursing 64:7 66:9.13 152:24

nutrition 103:3,5 126:24 129:21 199:6,19

0

oath 9:15 16:18 150:14,15,16

object 88:21 97:24 105:7 137:11

objection 130:5,

12 137:2 145:11 148:19 149:19 162:25 195:21 201:17 206:6,19 208:5,11,15 219:20 226:14 237:12 243:8

objections 6:9

objective 128:9, 16 129:12.24

observance 232:10

observation 212:23,24 214:24 216:7 217:15 219:24 220:15,16 223:17 227:8 231:8 233:5,11,17 234:21 235:18,21 236:19 237:16

238:18 239:6,10, 21 240:8,24

observations 211:22 212:24 223:14 236:7

observe 101:2,4, 8,12,15,16,20 104:1 235:10

observed 232:12 235:20

observing 238:2

Obtain 243:2

obtained 44:11 207:24 208:19

occasionally 133:9

occlusion 168:9

occur 158:14 159:5 165:5 216:13 229:2

October 232:18, 21

off-the-record 25:12 74:9 182:3 223:5 230:3 242:12

offered 54:12 59:17 72:15

offers 72:17 107:16 office 5:10,18,19 7:18 8:6 16:25 40:2 245:1 249:13 official 33:20 officially 65:10 officials 130:15 older 178:16 224:6.14 oncology 198:16 online 24:7 60:21 61:17,18 **open** 7:9,10,14 14:2 43:11 76:18 146:10 157:1 179:12 190:14 224:24 234:5 opened 7:11 opening 62:11 operating 84:25 142:23 operation 84:24 98:8 operations 66:22 82:21 98:3 143:8 operator 234:3 236:19 opinion 37:20 110:10 113:11 114:22 160:22 163:3 170:11 245:19,24 opinions 17:12, 20,21 35:11 109:21 248:3,4,7 opportunity 58:6 76:18 opposed 63:3 157:3

opposing 245:8 option 124:14,15 order 20:19 21:16 22:9 86:25 123:2 128:1 132:10

133:21 194:16 208:21 218:7 ordered 50:14 ordering 57:1 orders 66:15.16. 21 77:25 78:11 84:1 organization 106:13 120:14 245:5.7.12.13 246:1,10 original 51:13 249:16 originally 44:13 outsourcing 76:12,20 84:17,23 85:12 96:9 103:12,14 212:16, 59:21 144:3

17 221:9 223:18 226:7 227:22 overlapping oversee 66:22 98:2.7 143:7 overseen 58:15

oversees 83:3 owner 33:18 owners 222:22

Ρ

p.m. 249:18

P2 78:22 package 54:11

packaging 96:14 99:21 200:2

packet 38:25

pages 26:23 29:19 30:7,9,10 32:7,9 190:16,23 201:14 207:17

paid 34:11 88:1,5 **pain** 165:12

Palmetto 67:25 76:2.16 77:5 87:12 89:3 107:22

paper 194:19 195:1

papers 17:1

paperwork 51:10 80:16 214:20

paragraph 33:9 114:17 132:6 139:23 141:2,15, 19 144:4 145:20 146:17 148:13 149:1,14 154:19 161:20 163:6 164:25 165:22 169:8 171:8 182:18,21 183:1, 5,19 184:13,17 185:23 186:22 187:8,18,20 202:14 203:1 205:12,19 239:13

paragraphs 148:18,21 149:8, 10 177:13 178:3 184:1 196:23

paralyze 161:4

parenteral 103:2. 5 126:24 129:21 199:6,19

Parker 5:14 9:4 10:8 33:19

part 20:5 32:23

50:10 53:12 68:21

76:13,21 77:2 85:10 86:21 87:2, 4,20 89:14 90:9, 19.24 94:23 122:11 168:2 193:23 200:1 216:14 219:10 230:18 232:7 236:19 241:13 242:16 part-time 65:25 68:17,22 73:18 75:24,25 76:5

85:11 88:19 partially 168:5 participating 232:21

particle 167:9 169:25

particle-free 157:13

particles 157:12 167:8,21 168:8, 14.17 169:19

particulate 229:18

particulates 138:13 229:3

parties 17:8 120:18

party 131:10

pass 203:23

passage 91:24

passed 90:10 91:11,20 206:16

passes 209:10

past 124:21 211:19

patient 66:12 92:8,25 96:4,6,19 105:22 108:14 125:18 126:4,23, 25 128:14 132:13 134:24 135:1,4 136:1 137:22 194:22 197:8,12, 13,14 198:11,20 199:8,16 229:1

patient's 125:20

patient-specific 92:6

patients 57:21 63:22 66:12,17 83:18,20 91:10,15 93:3 95:24 104:24 108:7 125:16 126:11,15 127:13 128:10,18,21 129:13,15 130:1,3 137:1 162:5 198:2 199:5,21 224:3

pattern 79:4

pause 28:18

pay 59:17 65:18 89:7 131:5

paying 89:4

pays 88:2

PDF 119:8

penalty 245:9 247:5

pending 10:9

Pennsylvania 5:18

pentobarbital

111:6,7 242:18, 20,22 243:5,12, 23,24

people 39:24 40:1 42:2 50:14 56:8 63:20 82:19 97:14 104:4 120:20 127:20 129:1 135:16 140:5,11, 16 151:10 225:9 228:19 247:1,22, 23 248:5

percent 29:15 63:25 125:14 180:3 190:18 218:4.22

percentsomething 64:1

percentage 64:1, 9 86:12

percentage-wise 86:11

perfect 14:6 112:10 201:24

perfectly 104:17 182:1 217:9

perform 21:19 51:15 71:14 73:14 84:18 100:3 117:24 130:16 139:24 143:25 149:7 161:7 169:12,14 171:4, 7,9 173:4 213:2 214:1,13,18 215:18,21 216:18, 25 217:4 220:7 228:18 236:1,3,4 238:17 241:20

performance 232:11 239:22

performed 51:4 52:3 73:13 107:5, 6,8 201:16 202:23 203:2,3 204:10,21 205:15 213:4,7,16 214:2 216:21 217:5 218:23 236:13 240:2 241:6

performing 124:9 140:20 172:18,20 218:4.22

performs 100:4

period 49:9 61:5 79:17 83:23,24 158:25 229:14 232:18

permit 134:1

person 16:5 17:15 40:11 60:14,22 70:19 82:24 105:22 128:4 129:9 135:4,19 136:20 138:6,21 141:21 143:8,25 147:2 149:15,17 150:20 154:6 155:5 160:11 165:17 194:12 235:6,20 236:24 238:10 245:1 246:18,23 247:10,24

person's 229:15

personal 42:24 246:13,16 248:13

personally 135:13 247:9

personnel 139:24 140:20 149:6 235:5,16 240:12

persons 38:7 136:11 137:1

perspective 54:12 87:25 162:3 **Pfizer** 46:18 54:2, 11,19,24 59:16,18 62:24

Ph 192:17 202:8,9

Pharma 62:22 84:13

pharmaceutical

22:6,14 46:23 50:19,23 51:1,22 54:4,5 58:25 59:8 60:5 61:18 69:11 70:11 71:18 72:4 88:20 94:11 118:11,12 208:23

pharmaceuticall y 127:6

Pharmaceuticals 84:7,9 89:11

94:10 210:21 211:17 212:14

pharmacies 91:7, 20 92:1,22 93:5 121:18 122:8,15, 17,23 123:1

125:17 131:20 pharmacist 18:5 33:16 53:10 56:23 58:15,16 64:17,19 65:7,8 66:4,23 67:23.24 68:1.5 70:20,25 71:16, 19,25 72:1 76:2, 21 77:1,3,5 78:5 83:4,5,8 87:12 103:12 106:4 123:14 132:17,19 141:22 142:1,4,8 143:3,4,7,14,16, 19.24 144:1.2 149:21 150:8,17, 18,21 173:14,23 174:13 175:2 176:12 193:22 195:5 197:11 200:25 248:16

pharmacist's

57:2 150:16 175:21

pharmacists63:7,14 64:2,3,6,
8,9,13 66:22
67:14 71:3,6

72:25 73:16,17 76:11,12 78:6 85:12 87:1 126:10 132:9,22 133:7 134:9,11,13,14, 20,21 142:13 143:21 197:24

pharmacology 59:21,22 61:3

pharmacopeia 35:20 117:18 208:1

pharmacy 33:17 53:21 54:8.25 55:3,5,9,12,15,25 57:4 58:2,18,25 59:19.22 60:15 61:20 62:6,20,22 63:21 65:2,22,23 66:2,5,8 67:7,11 68:6,7,8,11,15 69:18 70:21,22, 23,24 71:7 72:10, 11,23 73:6,12,19 74:15,18,25 75:10,21,22 76:9 77:6,10,18,21 78:22,25 83:1,2,3 84:16,18 86:16, 21,24,25 87:5,13 88:18,24 90:3,4,5, 6 91:1,5 92:2,13, 23 93:4 95:2,3,9 104:21 106:8 107:23 118:11 121:6,12,24 122:10,12,14,16 123:5 125:17 126:18,21,24 130:15,19,22 131:9,24 133:1 134:2 140:1 142:11 149:16,21 151:20,23,25 152:2,11,22 153:4 162:3 166:10 170:18 172:5 174:3 175:21 176:5 177:20 187:24 193:1,5 194:7,19 196:9 198:5,8 205:25 206:7,15,22

207:1,3,11 211:9

225:20 226:8

227:14 248:15,17 plastics 50:5 23 206:3,17 precept 86:15,19 **Pharmd** 58:19 **plate** 235:3 **potency** 96:18,19 precepted 70:15 60:3 63:5,16,20 105:18 108:19 86:19 play 165:16 64:16 67:21 68:25 160:2,23 201:6,7 precipitant 71:2 point 63:16 72:16 202:2 204:17,23 174:17 176:23 205:20 206:1,4,16 79:8 107:15 Phd 71:20 177:5 122:21 144:21 potent 202:1 **Phds** 71:2 146:11 148:3 precipitate 176:7 166:16 174:10 potential 96:24 precipitation phenylephrine 226:2 235:9 137:5 158:15 213:8 216:24 177:2 160:12 161:16 217:1 225:5.6 pointed 179:5 166:15 168:19.23 **predate** 211:21 226:4 poisoning 224:21 185:4,21 215:8,13 preference 58:5 **Philadelphia** 218:9 219:14 policies 87:22 5:18 228:14 237:1 preferred 143:4 88:17 **phone** 40:11 potentially 99:12 preparation **pool** 129:8 223:20 140:24 142:21 10:19 18:19,20 143:3 152:3 Poor 209:20 29:19 30:1,22 **phrase** 18:6 165:13 167:4 31:13 32:9.13.22 portfolio 70:5 physical 159:22 168:4 169:3 34:20,22 39:13,18 186:19 213:20 portion 85:22.23 72:8 81:19.24 physician 34:3 214:15 215:22 94:7 228:11 105:16 115:21 194:4 195:7 236:17 247:2 124:2 129:3 154:8 position 46:14 pick 194:18 155:11 156:6 powder 22:15 50:18 54:2,17 171:21 177:10,14 **picking** 168:16 65:4 67:3 69:10 **powders** 103:24 179:7 184:19 74:17 75:7 76:6, 187:11 214:7 picks 125:18 practicals 80:22 25 77:4,9 78:6 245:21 piece 194:19,25 82:24 83:1 84:6 practice 55:25 88:5 89:13 141:20 preparations 63:20 68:7 71:8 **pieces** 239:1 93:14 103:6 144:24 77:21.24 85:22 **Pizzuto** 109:10 105:19 121:22 positions 55:4 86:24 92:2 104:2 127:21 133:9 68:17,22,24 73:18 106:8 107:19 **place** 62:15 92:19 153:24 197:25 76:13 141:3 118:12 121:6 96:16,23 100:17 198:3 202:21 145:16 219:17 122:12 142:11 232:5 positive 232:10, 162:3 166:8 places 23:4 45:10 16,24 198:16,24 200:7 prepare 18:24 145:3 225:14 230:22,25 25:25 26:15 57:18 possession 95:13 99:10 187:25 188:5 plaintiff 5:23 practiced 107:3 102:23 103:7 195:19 12:18 242:19 133:24 108:1 124:8 plaintiff's 8:9 potassium 34:22 practices 52:25 126:24 132:10 138:1,2,4,7,22 13:18 90:17 118:10 149:22 150:10 154:22 155:25 119:2 121:4 122:6 151:20,24 152:7, **plan** 17:14 99:15 158:24 159:6,8 12,14,15 169:13 128:17 129:25 112:22 160:1,21 162:1 140:15 209:21,23 170:3,4 177:18,21 171:22 172:2,3,7, planner 16:24 206:3,13 208:22 practicing 17:4 15,22 173:11,15, 215:19 planning 99:12 63:7 67:24 68:4,8 20 174:2,8,13 189:8 71:6 72:1 150:22, prepared 32:14 175:3 176:12.14 102:20 103:8 177:15,25 178:25 **plans** 99:4 237:17 104:15 108:10,11 180:21 181:17 practitioner **plant** 47:13 126:4 154:10 183:7 184:5,9 56:24 95:13 100:15 155:15.19 160:8. 185:15 201:4,13 204:7,13 205:14, precautions 24 161:13 171:23 **plastic** 50:7,9,10 96:23 165:19 173:9,20 185:11

187:23 196:15 206:8 229:7 preparer 160:25 prepares 121:21, 24 179:6 preparing 22:1 42:19 45:8 57:21 103:4,20 151:7 153:4 154:21 161:1 165:17 172:2 205:25 235:22 prescribed 194:6

prescribed 194:6 prescribing 63:21

prescription 35:1 63:15 78:1 90:8 92:4,7,8,24 96:3,6 125:18,19 191:8 193:11,17,22,24 194:4,10,13,16,18 195:1,3,9,11,15 196:2,6

prescriptions 34:25 56:21 63:22 66:17 77:25 83:16 92:3

presence 15:25 157:11 163:11 228:22

present 17:8 39:21,22,23 75:14 85:8,10

presented 169:4 230:15

pressure 153:13 225:9

pretty 111:16 218:17 220:6,20 222:16 234:15 242:10

prevent 9:19 10:4 128:9,17,21 130:1 212:25 233:12 239:1

previous 182:24 206:8

previously 29:21

85:22

pride 104:20 217:22

primarily 32:5 52:11 68:11 94:16

primary 52:15 67:2 68:10,24 95:7 107:18,23 207:21

prime 236:18

principles 17:20

printed 23:1 53:22

prior 19:16 172:12 209:12 232:11,15, 20

prison 160:19 168:20 169:5

prisoner 114:21

Prisons' 109:9

private 67:13

privileged 243:9

PRN 64:17,18 65:8,14,22,23 66:2 69:14

problem 158:13 160:2,22 161:21 163:7 178:25 179:2 202:25 206:17,20 208:19 215:14 221:24

problems 178:21 179:16 183:2 206:22 215:8

procedure 7:24 9:9 27:3 95:16 103:10 129:22 169:15 170:1 171:2,19 184:23 186:1 212:25 213:24 214:3 215:18,22 218:3 232:6 239:17,18

procedures 23:23 29:2 62:14 84:25 85:1 87:22

108:5 117:22.23

141:7 142:23,25 153:8 185:19 211:14 217:18 220:1,18 222:7 231:8,12,24 232:9 233:11 237:16 239:21

proceed 47:15 99:14

proceedings 249:18

process 47:12, 13,24 48:9,16 141:3 144:9 170:6 198:7 213:3,4,8,9, 11 214:1 216:18, 25 230:18

processing 234:21 238:18 239:6

procure 146:25

procurement 144:8

procurer 33:16 140:5 141:4,15,25 142:17 144:5,16 145:20,22 146:3 147:3,23 148:10, 15,22 149:7,11 151:17 161:14 173:3,18,22 187:23 188:23 196:17 201:1

procurer's 188:24 197:2

produce 239:8

produced 91:5 92:20

producing 97:20 215:23 217:8

product 47:10 51:6,7,14 62:13 99:2,8 101:1 103:3 105:14 126:25 127:5,6 128:1 129:21 169:16 170:10,20 171:3 175:25 176:4 178:19,23 193:9 199:6,19 200:2,3 201:21 209:11 211:16 213:1,18 214:14 216:20 217:5 219:18 232:19 233:2 235:23,25 236:3 237:9,18 241:3,8,19

production 35:3 53:14 217:7 218:13 229:19 240:10

products 47:5 48:3 50:11,14 51:4 52:4,9,17,19 84:19 93:7 94:2 95:14,23 96:8,10 99:20 100:5 104:24 126:12 132:11 142:20 165:8 173:2 199:2 204:15 211:12 215:20,23 217:2, 8.9.19 220:2 222:17 223:3,18, 19 232:12 233:13 234:9 236:8,14 241:20,21

profession 105:25 248:9,16

professional 76:8 127:11 129:10 141:16 151:3 160:22 179:3

professionally 142:9

professionals 124:18 129:6 139:25 170:12

professor 69:4, 10 72:4 75:9 77:9 81:10 88:5

professors 88:18,24

proficiency 61:6

program 59:8,14, 25 61:8 74:24 218:8 221:2

programs 76:8 133:3

progressed 52:1 projects 87:8 100:7 promised 96:20 promotion 54:10 **prong** 215:17,20 proper 91:9 146:23 161:12 165:20 166:12 178:19 235:16 237:24 238:6,14, 15,24 properly 141:17 177:21 191:22 196:24 199:20 206:13 218:7 225:22 proportion 64:6, 11,13 proprietary 49:22 **protect** 129:13,15 130:3 protection 157:1 protocol 26:22 27:22.24 30:5.15 33:13 38:25 109:9 110:18 111:1,5,9 114:24 115:6.14. 15 139:24 151:8 purchasing 164:13,20 177:17 179:22 184:17,20, 21 185:7,19,23 **purity** 201:10 201:21 215:9 216:9 243:7 purporting protocols 52:23 provide 8:18 9:24 purports 119:11 10:4 113:11 **purpose** 17:16 119:24 126:22,25 213:10 221:17 243:12,17 244:13 purposes 110:5 provided 8:9 9:8 10:23 11:22 12:1, pursuant 9:1 14 13:18 21:3 **pursue** 59:12 33:12 34:5 35:12 36:16 38:6 43:20 **pursuing** 106:20,

48:8 108:25

109:12.16.19

110:9 114:23

116:22 120:2

151:14 179:21 188:15 189:23 190:16,22 197:1 245:22,23 provider 92:24 providing 99:13 104:2 114:8 provisions 19:24 **public** 122:4 publish 23:3 **pull** 22:19 23:15 33:1 109:4 114:12 117:3 144:20 181:15,16 188:16 210:19 **pulled** 116:1 147:18 163:24 180:5 228:6 233:20 **pulling** 153:15 **pulls** 119:8 punching 112:20 punishment 246:14 248:4 **pupils** 224:24 purchase 22:14

23.7

35:21 36:4

217:20 220:4

52:19 129:11

pushing 154:3

167:1

233:13

201:25

247:10 248:25 **Pyxis** 58:3 Q qualifications 76:20 141:16 144:23 qualified 140:21 142:2 150:10 165:18 qualifies 153:1 quality 19:18,22 20:17 21:14,23 24:17 26:1 48:5,6 52:3,20 53:12 62:9,14 90:20,25 92:19 96:16 117:22,24 118:2, 9,11 121:8 169:3, 5 175:20 176:1 199:3 202:22 203:24 206:23 207:2,11 209:3,8 210:16 211:12,15 214:9 217:20 218:8 220:4,13,19 221:1 222:7 228:17,20 235:12 236:1,12,13,16 237:14 quantities 97:20 quantity 139:4,11 quarterly 23:5 question 15:10, 12.19 18:2 38:3 62:19 70:19 79:16 87:10 105:13 111:21 115:3,11 117:19 118:4 120:7 121:16 122:3 123:12,16

put 12:24 16:9

96:23 119:3

23:12 24:8,9 43:6

45:7 62:14 92:18

140:23,25 147:16

152:11 154:14

182:6,8 183:6

209:24 224:23

226:18 229:21

124:24 126:9 145:14 147:7 248:19 196:20 questioning 110:5 249:5 238:5 **quiz** 225:20 **quo** 66:14 **quote** 35:14 R

127:9 129:5.11 130:8 132:16 135:14 137:15,16, 17,24 138:16,25 139:9 141:23,24 150:4,13 158:9,12 160:6 161:9,19 162:6,21 163:9 167:23 174:12,22, 25 175:5,12,14 176:3.11 177:24 186:7 190:17 194:3,8 195:23 196:1 197:17,22, 23 201:12 204:25 205:4,6,10 206:24 214:22,23 215:2 216:5 219:5,7 questionable questions 5:7 9:10 10:1,5,11 14:21,25 16:14, 16,17,18 17:17 18:3,4,20 27:3 33:11 61:3 100:25 115:10 116:9,18 139:13 216:8 quickly 235:2 **quizzes** 80:18 **RAC** 106:21 raise 145:10 184:8 raised 207:21 **ramping** 218:13 ran 77:18 186:15

217:2 receive 28:7 recording 14:21 regulated 120:6, 207:14 208:25 240:6 17.18 125:10 **random** 152:2 209:3 230:19 127:10 131:10 recordkeeping range 52:2 64:1 received 8:14 209:20,23 regulations 62:9 117:21 199:18 78:2 91:23 92:3 14:10,12 27:13 200:5,12 204:17 records 11:23 28:9 172:16 104:23 108:11 12:14 124:10 175:23 181:10 144:7 208:24 ranges 197:6,7 147:4,6 148:10,12 188:12 207:16 223:10 225:18 172:20 173:8 rapid 231:12,18, 231:6 244:19 175:21.24 176:17 regulatory 104:5 25 232:4,14,20 receiving 129:17, 186:9 187:14 106:22 120:6 233:2 241:3 242:1 20 221:25 188:3,10,19 124:25 rate 139:12 196:17,18 197:1 recent 37:25 reimbursement raw 22:5,16 46:9 204:1,10 205:15 119:11.22 123:3 206:23 207:10,14, 47:8 52:11,16 recently 8:24 15,17,24 209:3,25 relate 240:16 62:12,13 221:6,25 210:7 240:10,11 222:2 101:23 106:16 related 10:11 17:1 recognize 24:12 redacted 186:14 21:8 46:24 71:8 reached 245:6 194:14 106:13 72:10 77:21 140:1 react 168:4 217:4,6 240:9 refer 11:24 35:9 recognized read 10:23 11:12. 132:4 201:9 105:25 106:3 relates 77:23 21 12:6.13 29:18 241:18 211:8 241:25 30:4 31:17 34:14 recognizes reference 123:25 106:10 relating 239:16, 37:20 44:1 55:2 124:1 218:24 64:15 129:23 25 recollection 132:22 140:4,13 references 35:25 relative 231:8 36:14,17 37:5 144:21 146:14 38:5 148:14 186:7 referring 142:15 release 241:23 recommend 171:25 184:20 207:20 218:2 relevant 7:11 185:3 220:23 230:13 152:21 30:8.10 34:11.12 233:16 236:20 refers 231:19 recommendation 39:3 47:19 240:20 232:4 235:4 151:19 152:10 relied 33:6 35:15 **reading** 183:22 recommendation refrigerate 127:1 114:15 188:22 213:6 **s** 152:21.23 234:10,18 239:11 refrigerated rely 222:4 126:12 199:7 reconstitute ready 38:16 relying 35:10 153:11 176:22 refrigerator 111:13 170:7,9 remember 36:19 177:3,21 185:8 199:10 reagent 144:6 37:8 38:2,9,11,13 reconstituted refrigerators 47:3,4,6 48:21 real 108:3.6 124:5. 161:10 163:24 199:22 49:20 50:8 61:9 11 171:2 102:19 114:2,9,10 regard 111:1 **realm** 133:14 reconstituting 125:13 173:22 145:4 217:11 143:22 153:15 158:8 180:1 188:8 regroup 80:21 203:13 230:10,11 reason 16:5 37:15 reconstitution 81:2,5 234:11,13,17 120:3 128:8 155:7 170:8 171:6 235:2 237:20 162:13 209:2 regular 77:2 245:2,3,4 246:9 record 6:19 15:1, 220:10 223:7 87:21 249:2,3 6.20 16:10 246:23 248:1 regularly 101:12 116:12,18 146:23 remind 19:2.20 reasons 46:22 197:4 173:5 193:23 38:11 47:16 74:12 195:13 196:7,9 recall 35:5 36:10 regulate 123:13, 211:8 204:20 206:12 39:23 114:6 22 125:20 remnants 167:2 227:2 230:5 248:25 240:3,5

remote 60:9 232:9 166:6 167:19 89:4 107:23 177:11 229:5 134:12 required 56:17 remotely 75:1 86:22 95:22 resulted 35:4 rings 234:19 repeat 18:2 38:3 118:19 202:23 189:24 190:16 195:22 risk 114:25 115:7 205:13 221:3 235:21 236:7 227:25 236:4 237:8 rephrase 18:6 **Rite** 55:8,20 56:6, 215:1 7,21 57:3,10 63:3 requirement results 135:4,18 64:4,24 65:1,8,23 report 8:24 13:2, 118:24 132:25 167:24 232:1 74:20 134:12 3,8,17 14:4,18 136:19 206:5 retail 55:21 56:13 Riverbend 5:14 17:13 19:15 requirements 64:2.11 68:23 26:22 27:14.15.19 19:18,21,23 92:23 93:4,5 32:18,19 33:2,4 20:18,20 21:15,23 133:8 **Rob** 5:11 6:1,5 35:3,5,9 36:15,16 24:17 26:2 53:3,5, 12:24 13:14 27:4, 37:6 41:24 42:6 **retained** 113:11. 14 88:15.16 90:7 8,21 28:2 29:10 108:23 110:1 13 92:18 95:2,10 30:17 33:1 43:6 111:13 114:14 97:19 104:6 retired 150:22 109:4 112:17,19 115:18 116:3,22, 108:18 118:9 114:12 119:3 23,24,25 117:3,7 **revamp** 79:10 125:11 126:19 132:5 139:20 131:21 140:17 100:2 133:20,25 134:5,9 180:13,24 182:6,8 141:10,13 147:16 200:15,22 201:19 revamped 217:25 183:6 188:16 148:14 154:15 207:11 227:16,24 189:8 210:19,24 161:20 179:15 reveal 215:11 226:18 229:21 183:18,23 184:10 requires 56:16 **reverse** 224:11 185:18 187:19 87:24 95:16,18 role 51:22 188:7 189:25 96:3 121:3 122:25 **review** 12:15 Roman 33:5 190:17,22 196:20 133:19 185:23 14:12 25:6 26:15, 115:19 200:13,17 207:18, 197:3 21,24 32:7,12 21 209:19,20 room 15:22 16:3, 34:8 35:25 36:7 requiring 231:24 210:4 231:18 6,22 129:20 40:24 41:14 77:24 244:14 reschedule 8:23 156:6,13 160:10, 176:17 178:5 13.14.19 161:12 197:2 207:23 reporter 14:21 research 107:10 239:1.8 222:13 230:18 249:8,14 138:19 246:15 231:25 240:25 roots 84:13 **reports** 19:13,16 resources 35:12 245:23 34:19 36:8,11,18 rotation 98:15 response 190:23 reviewed 11:9 38:7 39:5 110:10 231:4 rotations 87:3,6 14:11 19:14,16 172:1 200:14.20 25:24 26:6,8,12, 235:13 responses 9:25 rough 61:11 19 27:7 29:6,14, 12:11 represent 5:13,22 21 30:21 31:12 roughly 191:15, 8:6 114:20 responsibilities 32:15,18,19,22 142:24 147:2 33:24,25 34:10 representative route 226:22 220:18 35:4 36:9,10,12, 218:5 14.18 37:6.25 row 175:17 247:1 responsibility representing 174:5.15 178:22. 147:3.4 148:11 Rules 9:9 116:21 24 189:3 205:16 responsible 221:4 run 28:16 63:3 Reprieve 245:6 199:1 214:5,6 222:20 246:11 reviewing 39:8 rest 79:9 81:16 41:8 48:2 84:1 request 8:17 82:17 149:12 S 201:23 revised 29:13 restate 18:2 requested 81:3 revision 29:17 **SA** 90:20 result 128:18 require 92:4 Richland 67:25 safe 92:20 95:13, 135:16 136:10,20, 134:9 227:25 76:2 77:5 87:13 24 132:10 217:9 25 137:14 150:19

228:19 229:11

safely 96:17 192:14 193:2 202:11 safety 90:20,25 92:19 **SAITH** 249:17 **salary** 88:4,7 **saline** 166:5 **sample** 34:25 39:5 48:10 231:25 233:3 **samples** 46:7,8 47:2,14 173:8 204:23 232:14 241:4 sampling 237:17 sat 182:14 satisfy 206:4 Savannah 67:16 scale 86:18,20 90:7 92:2 96:9 98:7 103:17,21,24 235:14 **scare** 113:3 scenario 170:17 schedule 56:15 78:9 80:1 86:7 113:18,19 scheduled 9:7 scheduling 83:5 Schiller 5:12 school 44:9 53:21

54:8,25 55:3,5,16, 23 56:1,15 59:19, 22 60:16 65:2 67:12,13 68:15 69:19 70:22 72:11 73:6,19 74:15,25 75:21 79:19 81:17 86:12 87:5 104:11 105:12,19 schools 133:1 science 44:2 58:24 61:7 scientific 17:20

114:22 **sell** 92:10 93:4,6 166:19 scientifically 217:17 220:1 semester 78:19, 24 82:7 scoops 236:25 send 6:20 7:16 **scope** 50:3 27:8 105:11 230:22,24 119:4,23 124:8 Scott 5:8 8:4 170:19 174:16 117:10 157:23 176:4 177:20 180:11,13,23,25 205:1 214:25 249:14 **screen** 8:8,11 13:8 23:15 28:8 29:1 43:19 116:1, **sending** 119:12 4,5,10,20 181:22 **sends** 56:1 212:1,3 232:3 **scroll** 13:15 29:10 20,23 51:1,21 30:23 31:9.10 54:4,5 37:17 38:22 43:7 190:14 99:24 144:22 scrolling 31:3 179:2 201:24 seal 238:24 219:13 225:24 sealed 164:5 238:24 135:23 141:20 search 20:6 23:9, 17 11,14,17,24 24:7, separate 22:12 14,19 25:1,4,8 33:22 43:3 44:7 26:5,7 78:20 89:12 searched 26:7

senior 5:11 50:18, sense 17:10 80:3 sentence 129:24 144:5,14 146:16, 177:22 179:23 243:23 separately 88:6 searching 24:12 192:21 **seconds** 189:13 September 55:9 65:9 77:7,10 79:14 84:3 85:8.9

190:8 section 33:5 139:20,22 140:4, 12 141:10 149:2. 3,5,10 177:8 183:23 185:17,18 196:19 200:13 207:8 209:19 Security 5:15

sees 116:19 selected 140:18

selection 141:2

selfadministration 126:13

19 130:20,23 198:8.15 **setup** 198:13 seven-hour 9:20 **severe** 91:12 165:14 **severity** 131:2,16 132:1 **shadow** 56:23 181:3,5 189:8,12 87:8.9 209:15,16 210:24, 25 229:22 249:12 **shake** 15:4,5 **share** 6:1 28:2 **shared** 212:3 248:4,7 shift 48:25 49:1 56:18 shifted 79:21 **shifts** 48:23 ship 222:20 shipped 96:10 shipping 96:14 196:21 200:7 shock 225:9 **shoot** 6:2.5 short 46:25 54:7 96:5 97:5 111:14 158:25 170:23 176:2 229:14 shortage 99:5 225:25 226:9 services 41:3 122:25 shorter 56:13 **set** 17:25 21:2 193:8 53:10 80:21 117:20 121:6 142:22 163:16 28:25 51:15 187:13 192:12 53:19.20 61:6 195:2 209:6 102:4 124:7 134:2.3 148:5 sets 12:3 184:19

233:3

short-term 99:3 shortages 91:12 **show** 20:19 23:19 173:8 188:3 214:9 238:14 **showing** 24:15,16 196:5

shown 39:1 situation 28:16 **sound** 62:19 179:16 183:2 172:14 217:17 220:1 214:14 215:1 **shows** 39:4 44:22 223:2 235:6 202:3 233:21 situations 87:11 **sounds** 15:15 239:25 248:25 237:22 74:1 109:2 154:6 **size** 92:13 145:23 221:15 223:23 specifically 11:7 **shut** 131:8 146:20 239:20 240:20,22 19:17,23 22:24 sic 90:20 sketch 95:6 26:23 112:17 **source** 241:7 120:25 121:2 side 85:22 93:25 skills 52:10 57:7 242:17,21 243:12, 164:23 171:8 152:22.24 16 153:16 223:19 231:11,22 **sources** 106:24 side-by-side skimmed 30:11 241:2 73:16 207:25 209:1.4 specifications **skin** 97:3 227:19 223:13 243:24 118:10 signature 13:12 228:13 **South** 44:3.6 **slim** 64:5 specifics 42:13 **signed** 113:20 45:22 55:9,14 183:4 significant slower 169:24 58:21 67:5,9,11 specifies 196:4 68:6,7 69:4,11,21, 140:23 146:15 **slowly** 59:13 22 71:5 74:17 147:8,15,19 148:8 specs 202:4 238:1 75:5,10,22 77:6,9 significantly 81:10 89:8 133:24 **spell** 46:1 **small** 44:8 45:25 151:12 64:5.9.11.12 66:5. **space** 157:20 spelling 142:24 **silly** 70:18 8 67:13 92:23 159:24 168:20 **spend** 27:1 43:23 211:25 212:4,5 **similar** 46:18,21 **span** 127:2 87:7 218:11 228:23 50:14 54:18 57:17 233:22 **speak** 10:16 85:21 88:25 spent 41:8,9 smaller 179:4 112:21 42:18 150:15 218:15 speaking 163:9 **split** 76:14,15 similarly 85:16 **smooth** 238:19 **special** 103:15 splitting 77:11 simple 153:21 154:6 162:19 134:10 165:1 smoothness **spores** 166:22 217:24 227:20 239:3 simply 22:7 spread 82:8 104:23 202:6 sold 91:6 93:7 specialist 54:6 spring 78:20,24 Sims 5:21 solidified 247:18 specialists 85:12 79:5 113:25 specialized solution 153:14 simulation 213:3, **spurts** 56:13 17:15 141:20 4 214:18 216:19 167:3,11,17 stabilizer 192:18 171:6,23 172:4,7, simulations specialties 106:6 11,15,24 173:10, stage 176:23 213:8 specialty 105:25 12,16,21 174:3,9, 106:3,7,10,14 single 98:7,8 14,16,20 175:4 stages 48:3 145:16 176:14,18,21 specific 18:20 stand 118:23 189:6 201:11 19:24 20:8,9,16 sit 37:4 38:4 stand-in 7:24 21:8 92:24 96:6 187:15 solutions 172:12 113:14 120:12 standard 61:17 **SOP** 217:21,22 **site** 51:7,8,9,13, 133:25 134:4,5,8 84:25 121:8 14,16 99:1 237:7 221:1,4 238:16 137:13,20 139:17 122:20 142:22 145:9 146:5,8 **sites** 51:23 153:7 **sort** 7:23 18:10 177:17 152:21,23 156:2, 157:10,11 160:11, 21:4 28:17 54:16 standards 21:15 55:4 64:21 88:18 4,14 158:13 25 161:15 166:13, 23:22 25:7 53:11 92:22 95:6 107:23 165:6,21 169:15 115:19,21 118:2 167:7 171:25 175:23 **sitting** 161:6 122:24 202:22 176:17 177:10,23 162:23 163:19 sorts 54:21

170:22

178:9,10,23

209:7,8,13 217:19

219:18 220:3

222:8 235:13 **status** 66:14 **sticky** 167:7 120:8 166:10 236:16 **stayed** 79:21 **stop** 6:23 25:15 **studies** 69:11 standing 238:12 72:5 169:2 236:3 45:12 48:9 85:7 **stays** 191:13 90:12 161:4 standpoint 120:6 stuff 96:21 104:8 200:12 174:21 214:21 229:19 start 13:2 29:24 **steady** 206:12 **subject** 71:7,23 34:9 43:24 50:20 stearate 49:23 stopped 65:11 **submit** 80:17 54:4 70:20,24 164:24 114:22 115:17,18 117:17 stem 220:14 148:13 156:9 storage 10:20 subscription Stemming 181:18 208:22 34:20 115:22 23:8 156:17 126:22 127:1 substance 9:23 started 7:1 17:23 step 54:11 166:11 143:11 156:5 28:16 30:2 44:22 111.13 196:21,24 197:9 45:5.15 47:8 **steps** 62:18 substances 50:21 52:2 54:25 store 65:5,6 165:20 179:5 144:8 59:7,9 70:3,6,9 126:11 141:17 **sterile** 57:5,16 164:1 198:17 74:3 78:18 82:15 substantive 58:9 71:11.14.17 84:22.23 86:8 111:16 **stored** 160:8 72:10,17,21,22,25 98:6 205:6 212:20 170:22 197:5 substitution 73:9,13 77:22 217:23 221:8,12 198:11,15 199:20 93:14 94:8,12,14, 144:9 247:7 16.18 95:14 **storing** 142:16 subsumed 6:9 starting 73:10 102:7,9,21 103:1 101:23 115:18 story 176:2 104:22,25 106:9, Succinylcholine 196:19 207:8 17,25 107:1,5,6,8, 225:11,13 226:6 straightforward 10,14,17,20,24 starts 139:20,22 220:20 **sudden** 185:6 108:2 119:2 state 12:11 15:9 121:13,21 124:8, strength 99:21 **suffering** 115:1,8 110:25 111:4 201:9,11 217:20 19,23 125:19 165:12 121:12 122:11 126:11,17 129:3 220:4 Suffice 17:11 130:15 133:18 132:11 133:3,4,9 stricter 97:19 134:6 136:15 134:19 142:20 sufficient 79:11 153:24 155:18 **strictly** 32:9 66:11 162:16 245:24 **stated** 21:21 157:6,10 166:2, 69:15 105:3 26:17 95:15 148:9 summarize 30:13 18,21 169:13 171:13 188:23 strike 27:5 170:2,3 171:21 summarized statement 145:18 192:11 199:2 stringent 97:12 109:17,22 147:18 214:3,7 215:20 148:2 160:17 227:17 179:18,19 171:14 173:18,19, 225:16 227:4,14, **strip** 200:1,3 summary 20:15 25 174:23 175:22 16 228:18 229:18 31:7 188:21,25 204:22 230:6 232:5 **strong** 161:16 219:22 220:5,7,12 233:13 234:12 summer 79:5 strongly 140:14 229:16 245:18 80:9 81:15 82:5,8 **student** 55:8,13, 113:25 statements 145:5 sterility 105:18 20 56:20 58:12 172:1 186:7 197:2 108:19 159:20 summers 82:2 65:18 71:12,14 231:21 160:2,23 213:10 107:4,22 supervised 58:16 214:1 227:24 **states** 117:18 231:9,13,18,25 **students** 53:19, supervising 121:7 122:19,21, 232:4,11,14,20 21 62:1 68:11 82:20,25 23 130:9 134:4,8 233:2 234:9 236:3 70:15,16 80:14,17 201:21 229:16 supervisor 241:3 242:1 86:17.21.25 82:15,16 **stating** 173:22 89:20,23 98:15 sterilization 100:22 101:14,19, supervisors statistical 136:14 213:9 23 102:23 103:4 82:18 sticking 167:10 statistics 63:25 104:10 108:2

supplemental

8:24 13:2 27:15, 19 32:19 35:3,5,9 189:24 190:17,23 200:16 207:18,21 209:19,20 210:4

supplied 35:25 36:2 207:18

supplier 221:1

suppliers 221:6, 7,16

supplies 79:11 80:15

supply 35:24 184:22

support 17:21 57:1 245:9

supported 52:7,8 57:24 247:5

supposed 86:14 127:8 161:4,8 162:8,23 163:12 169:17,20 171:17 221:7,13,16 238:16 240:2

surface 238:25

surface-level 133:6

surfaces 238:20

surprised 223:1

surrounding 144:7

Sutherland 5:7,9, 24 6:11,14,23 8:1, 4 12:20 13:6,14, 16 14:6,9,16 25:13,22,23 27:4, 6,8,11,12,17 28:2, 6,13,19,24 29:10, 12 30:17,19 31:9, 11 33:1,3 43:6,14, 18 73:4,22 74:2,6, 10 88:23 98:1 105:10 109:4,7 110:2,7,8 111:12, 25 112:5,9,11,13, 22 113:1 114:12. 16 116:14,17

117:13,16 119:3,

6,16 130:7,13 132:5,7 136:17 137:3,16,18 139:10,14,19,21 145:13 148:20 150:1 158:1 163:2 175:11 176:9 180:8,12,17,22,25 181:5,18 182:1,6, 10 183:6,11,16 185:14,16 188:16 189:1,9,17,21 190:9.13 195:24 202:12 205:3,8,9 206:10 207:7 208:6,14 210:19 211:3,6 212:11 215:3,5,6 219:23 223:6 226:18 227:1 229:21 230:4 237:15 242:6,10,13

swab 166:5

Swearingen

109:9 244:21,23 246:4

243:10 249:4,16

switch 79:24

switched 8:25

switching 80:4

sworn 5:4 9:14,15

syringe 153:20 155:14 156:12,20 158:4,7 160:3 162:24 163:11,20 169:21 192:11 223:21 238:2,4

syringes 154:23 155:2 156:11 163:23,25 164:5 184:19

system 52:23 100:4 103:9 214:6 217:2,4 228:17,21 234:22 239:7

systems 77:17 78:22,25 91:13 240:9

Т

table 7:22

taking 89:20 136:2 165:13,19 198:21

talk 6:2 7:12 11:19 12:16 18:18 25:16 27:24 32:24 72:3 75:17 77:4 94:6 113:5 115:17 141:18 149:7,10 154:19 155:7,9 165:21 169:8 185:18 186:22,25 187:7 196:20,21, 23 197:3 200:13 201:5 205:13 207:9,20 238:16

talked 74:14 104:10 187:20 229:9 238:10 244:9 248:14

talking 11:25 15:12 19:24 25:15,16,24 29:4 43:24 59:9 62:20, 21 74:13 93:22 104:11 111:10 115:14 116:3,4,5 117:1,7,21 120:24,25 121:1 125:4 138:12 140:11 148:13 149:3,17 151:3 152:6 155:24 156:23,25 157:21, 22 158:2,3,6 159:20,21 161:11 163:17 170:5 177:13,25 180:6 181:8 182:18 184:4,24 185:2 186:17 194:5 197:9,20 198:6,7 201:6 215:8 229:7 234:2 235:19 247:21

talks 236:21

tapping 235:2

task 240:2.5

tasks 84:21 139:24 140:20 144:1 149:7

taught 70:3 71:20 72:5 79:23,25

TDOC 171:23 173:15 186:5 187:3,8 195:9,19 201:1

TDOC's 187:24

teach 42:11 71:6, 9,25 73:11 78:23 134:18,19 153:9 170:2 245:17

teaching 68:7,11 69:21 70:1,2,5,9, 10,13,16,20,24 73:8 75:1,18 77:3, 17,20 81:1 85:19 86:1,2,6,16,18 102:15,20 103:1, 11 107:7 108:1

team 33:18 42:5 52:8 77:2 100:4 141:5

tech 153:4

technical 135:15 136:9,18,19,22

technicalities 42:8

technically 32:21 88:13 123:24 124:4 125:24 216:24

technician 149:21 151:20,23 152:1,2,11 238:1

technicians 58:18 166:10 227:14

technique 91:9 152:1,3 153:6 154:1 165:1 166:1,12 215:12 233:21 234:2,7 235:16,19 237:5, 24 238:6,14

245:18,20 techniques

234:12

technology
240:15 242:1

telling 216:12

tells 35:17 53:16
196:14 202:10
237:4

temperature
127:2,4 156:7,8,
13 160:19 161:12
191:23 197:4,5,7
198:4 199:11,22
200:4

temperatures

temperatures 176:6 197:11,16 199:17 tendered 8:24

Tennessee 5:9, 22 7:17 8:5 10:9 12:12 33:21 133:18,19 164:8 176:13 188:4 243:5,13,18,24

Tennessee's 115:14

terminology 11:18 19:8

terms 17:19 84:25 85:1,5 87:16 88:15 95:8 96:23 99:14 107:20 108:18 134:4 153:4 154:8 183:3 201:19 239:3,4

terrible 166:8

Terry 5:23 9:4 10:8 12:18 114:21

test 20:18 22:15 105:12,15,17 108:17 201:20 202:9 206:16 209:4,12 213:16 214:2 215:18 217:18 220:1 222:2 224:23 231:8,25 239:21

tested 162:10 201:21 204:23 208:2

testified 5:4 145:22 187:23

testify 17:14

testimonies 19:4, 6 26:18 32:16 36:25 37:9 186:6

testimony 9:16 16:12 18:25 19:1 35:15 41:24 42:6 108:25 109:12,14 110:1 116:17 147:11 148:3 159:4 171:11 188:24 244:13

testing 21:20 52:4 105:11 201:3,13, 16,18,24 202:3,5, 8,15 204:7 206:4 209:16 222:6,17 231:9,13 232:4,5, 11,20 236:13 237:17 241:21 242:1

tests 60:23 95:21 105:18 202:20,23 203:1,4 204:3,9, 19 205:12,15,19 233:1

Tewalt 109:10

Texas 109:10 244:22

thankfully 60:10

thing 26:11,20 52:15 54:22 62:25 168:10 170:17 172:8 174:10 180:7 181:12 192:10 247:3,17

things 22:8 25:24, 25 35:23 42:12 44:15 47:18,20 50:12 51:18 52:5, 6,14 57:22 60:11 70:17 71:10,16 79:12 81:4,6,7 85:5 88:19 99:2 100:23 103:5 113:18 118:7 142:13 143:5,9 153:11,21,23 154:12 168:16

210:11 215:9 231:2 235:14

thinking 234:18

thought 55:21 140:22 147:15 171:12 180:1,2 247:6

thousands 92:16

three-day 107:16

three-drug 114:24 115:6,13, 15

thumbnail 95:6

Thursday 77:19 78:4,15 79:19,24 80:2

time 6:1 25:19 27:1 29:25 41:9 42:18 43:24 45:4, 5 47:5 49:5 54:7 55:21 56:17 59:11 63:16 65:7,15,17 67:1 68:1,2 70:5 75:16 76:14,23,24 79:9 80:7,10,21 81:2,4,16,21 82:2, 17 85:10 86:11 89:17.21.25 92:15 96:5 102:7,9 106:18 111:19,22 112:13,15 124:11 132:23 135:17 146:13 158:25 162:7,16 163:15 165:11 170:15,24 174:17 176:8,25 186:13 218:11,14 219:6 221:11 222:24 223:14 226:1 228:25 232:25 234:14,15 235:9,10 239:22 240:6 244:19 249:6

times 40:9,13 55:17,19 76:9 91:16 105:16 118:21 131:24 139:7 144:17 193:7 199:24,25 202:7 209:6 214:17 228:16 241:5 248:6

tiny 157:18

title 50:24

today 8:10,21,25 9:1,16,20 10:11, 17 14:22 15:23 17:24 18:19,25 19:14 26:13,16 30:22 31:12 42:19 53:18 218:15 249:5

today's 8:17

told 72:21 89:23 120:1 163:4

Tony 5:14,15 9:4 10:8 33:17,19

top 23:14,17,24 109:5 128:15 129:24 228:7 236:20

total 42:19 103:2, 5 126:24 129:21 199:6,19

totally 166:9

touch 153:7 154:4 166:12

touched 160:11 166:3,4,5

touching 160:25 161:15

TPN 72:6 103:2,5 199:6

trace 186:16

traceability 223:12

tracks 61:23

tradition 93:9

trained 142:19,21 143:2,18,21,24,25 151:6 152:4

training 72:8,18 87:4,23 88:16 107:15 132:20 133:12 134:4,10 140:1 141:5,16,22 142:12 143:5 149:16,24 151:11, 12,13,25 152:6,9, 11,18,24,25 153:4 165:2,6 217:24,25 218:25 234:13,20 237:21 238:15

transcript 249:11

transfer 51:7,11 59:20,24 103:9 196:7

transferred 51:14

transfers 52:8

translate 211:15

transport 176:5

transported 97:10

transporting

200:11

trial 99:10 108:25

trick 129:5

tricky 175:5

trouble 215:7

true 14:17 43:20 145:17 164:22 220:11

truth 9:15 72:21 89:23 163:4

truthful 9:25 10:5

Tuesday 77:18 78:3,15 79:19,25

turn 70:23

tutored 70:15

tweaking 84:24

Twenty-five 41:19

two-prong 215:15

two-year-old 207:1

type 23:25 24:4,8, 13 50:6 52:5 54:22 76:8 90:5 95:20 96:20 120:13 131:21 132:2 136:8 138:5 139:1 142:23 149:17 152:13,25 156:22 157:17 159:1,14,16 167:18 168:12 169:11 176:20 194:16,20 198:12 211:13 221:24 225:1 229:5 231:4

typed 24:5,25 25:10 26:5 249:8,

types 172:8 198:6,14 210:11 224:21 248:23

typical 7:24

typically 23:19 56:16 76:7 96:2 99:22 122:23 130:16,19 132:2 134:20 142:12 176:24 192:5 208:25 213:25 228:15

U

U.S. 118:5

Uh-huh 50:17 57:12 58:20 73:7 102:11 130:2 230:8

ultra-clean 157:9

umbrella 110:14

unacceptable 166:9

unavailable

91:15

unclassified

157:20 159:24 168:19

undergone 242:4

undergrad 44:12

understand 9:7, 11,14 10:10,15,21 11:4,6 14:20 16:10,14,19 17:17,19 18:1,7, 15,16 20:14 26:4 32:11 36:13 37:4, 23 38:3 42:15 48:1 53:25 65:16 81:9 92:21 104:4 105:9 106:1 110:2,15 116:23 122:3 123:4,11 126:9 128:2 137:24 141:17 144:6 154:20 155:1 182:21,22 184:6 189:2,24 197:17 202:13 218:6 231:23

understanding

8:20 9:2 10:13 30:11 71:21 72:20 141:7

unexpired 187:24 188:5 195:10

unexplained 240:25

unique 72:22 73:1 99:1 104:21

unit 220:19

United 117:18 121:7

Unitedhealthcar e 65:23 66:3 74:20

universities 71:2

university 42:11
44:5 58:21 60:8
61:19 65:13 67:5,
9,11 69:4,11,21
71:5 74:17,24,25
75:2,5,10,22
76:17 77:9 78:16
79:10,23 80:20
81:10,13 85:23
86:2,6 87:18 88:2,
3 89:5,8 94:24
248:20

unnecessary 115:1,8

unpredictability 159:12

unpredictable 132:14 136:1

unqualified 139:23 140:19

149:6

unshare 189:12

upcoming 23:20

updated 217:23

updates 23:5

uploaded 36:1 37:12

upside-down 190:20

upwards 41:6

USP 19:17 20:4,5, 8,10,19 21:14,16, 19,20 22:10 23:2, 15 24:6 26:1,5 32:16 35:13,14 52:25 53:2,5,6,7, 10,13 57:6 72:8 73:14,15 91:23 95:9,12 96:2 104:6 108:18 117:19 118:4,7,16 119:9,12,17 120:6,8,13,14,18, 23,24 121:2,8,23 122:5,11,17 125:1 126:20 127:10.12. 22 129:2,4,12 130:11,15 132:9, 11,19,21,22,24 133:19,20 134:14, 24 135:3,16,18,24 136:10,19,24 143:25 144:7 155:8 162:12,13 163:18 166:2 191:17 192:6,15 197:3 198:25 200:15,21,24 201:19,22 202:15, 22 205:13 208:2, 23,24 209:2,5,10, 12,13,16 221:25 222:3,5 236:5

USP-GRADE

208:22

V

vacation 49:4

vaccines 142:14 vague 220:9,12 vaguely 230:10 **valid** 141:14 validate 213:4,8, 25 215:18 validated 217:1.8 validation 100:2. 3.4.6 216:21.25 217:4,5 232:21 variable 86:8 varied 134:15 variety 12:14 70:1.17 100:7 223:25 vary 21:21 varying 95:4 vecuronium 154:21,22 155:10, 25 156:3,12 158:20 159:9,25 160:20 162:1 185:6 vendor 221:1 verb 135:8 verbally 14:25 verify 66:16 216:2 222:6,18 223:13 verifvina 78:11 84:1 version 29:14 119:11,19,22,23 120:2

versus 9:4 10:8 109:9,10 110:1 155:5 vessel 168:9 vial 145:23 153:14 154:4 156:10 159:17 164:4 167:1 169:21 195:12 196:6 vials 178:17 video 14:24 40:5

view 247:18 views 246:13,16

violating 211:14 violation 132:2 166:1 228:3 229:13 233:23 236:23 violently 169:22 vision 224:23 visual 169:8,11, 14 171:4,7,10,18 172:19,21 173:4 217:24,25 218:4, 8,20,22 219:10,12 237:23 238:8 volume 153:13 W wait 25:15 28:13 119:7 189:17 214:21 216:3 230:12 waiting 156:2 211:7 212:1 walk 45:14 walls 238:19.23 239:2 wanted 6:8 20:2 27:2 53:20 59:11 65:19 89:12,13 181:7 wanting 161:2 Warden 5:15 33:16,17 warm 160:11 **washing** 157:10 watch 169:24 watching 238:12 water 16:24 wear 227:19 website 26:5

248:13

248:14.21

Wednesday 39:16 40:19 77:19 78:3,15 79:19 80.1 week 56:12 80:12, 15,22 81:7,11,12, 16 85:23,24 96:11 98:11,14,19 99:4 100:20 102:2 week-to-week 77:13 weekdays 66:13 weekend 66:18 69:15 weekends 56:19 65:15 66:11,14,15 74:19 weekly 102:21 weeks 86:4,5 weigh 103:23 weighing 57:9 West 55:13 wet 167:5,6 whatsoever 9:24 whichever 65:6 white 170:14 171:1,20 whoa 216:3 wide 129:16 wipe 166:17,18 167:6,13 wipes 166:19,21, wiping 166:24 wise 44:16 withstands 96:14 witnesses 12:14 woman 228:4 wondering

words 16:16 127:20 129:23 work 36:2,20 42:12 48:25 50:15 53:9 56:12,14,17 57:13,18 58:1,7 59:4 60:8 64:6,10 65:17 67:25 69:15 70:12,13 72:6 73:16 76:14,15,20 77:1,14 78:7 79:6 80:9,11 81:19,24 82:25 83:22 84:12 85:16,18 86:2 88:25 89:5,8,12, 18,19,22 94:14, 16,21 102:22 107:22 120:10 126:23 130:11 132:22 159:18 212:17 223:3 227:6 228:1 242:16 246:5 248:20 worked 39:11 40:2 44:13 45:6. 10,17,18 46:6 47:1,12 48:17 49:1 55:19,20 56:6,8,18 57:20 59:5,6,18 60:4 64:21 65:1,11 66:4,10 69:3 74:18 81:15 107:8 108:24 228:4 workforce 99:25 working 37:22 45:15 56:20 57:17 64:4,5,13 65:22 68:22 78:5,10 81:11 82:10 87:7 88:19 98:11 100:2 106:18 127:7 159:23 168:19 211:21 212:20 247:15,17 works 64:2,11 76:7 88:13 world 108:6 124:5 woven 167:14

179:14

word 18:11 30:5

written 96:7 120:9 178:7 179:3,21,23 185:20 193:2,21, 22 194:23,24 195:6 231:9,12 237:16 wrong 64:18 129:13 154:20 177:12 207:22 246:24 247:10 wrote 188:6 Υ year 23:3 55:15,23 59:1,2,3 60:7 63:10 72:20 78:23 81:20 87:5 113:23 114:3 192:13 years 44:13 45:2, 4 60:7 61:5 69:12, 24 74:18 79:20 81:9 93:16 108:24 109:12 110:11 133:19 221:18 you-all 93:4 105:12 230:19 you-all's 180:18 young 228:4 Ζ **Zoom** 7:20 40:5